```
Page 1
1
                ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
 2
                        December 2, 2024
 3
 4
     IN THE MATTER OF:
                                       )
 5
     PROPOSE CLEAN CAR AND TRUCK
                                          R24-17
     STANDARDS: PROPOSED 35 ILL. ADM )
                                          Rulemaking - Air)
     CODE 242
6
 7
                     Record of proceedings before the Hearing
8
     Officers CARLIE LEONI and VANESSA HORTON commencing at
9
     10:00 a.m. on the 2nd day of December, A.D. 2024.
10
               ATTENDING BOARD MEMBERS:
11
               Barbara Flynn Currie
                Jennifer Van Wie
12
               Michelle Gibson
13
               Anand Rao
               Essence Brown
14
               HEARING OFFICERS:
15
               Vanessa Horton
                Carlie Leoni
16
                PROPONENT ATTORNEYS:
17
               Robert Weinstock
               Albert Ettinger
18
               Nathaniel Shoaff
               RULEMAKING COORDINATOR:
19
                Shannon Bilbruck
20
2.1
     REPORTED BY:
22
                CAROL CONNOLLY, CSR, CRR
23
               CSR License No. 84-3113
2.4
```

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Pag	e 2
1	INDEX	
2	POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD	
3	December 2, 2024	
4		
5	OPENING COMMENTS BY HEARING OFFICER HORTON	
6		PAGE
7		4
8		
9		
10	OPENING STATEMENT	
11		10
12		
13		
14	JULIANA PINO	
15		
16	Examination by Mr. Weinstock	25
17		
18		
19	JUSTIN FLORES	
20		
21	Examination by Mr. Shoaff	35
22		
23		
24		

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

		Page 3
1	MUHAMMED PATEL	
2		PAGE
3	Examination by Mr. Shoaff	40
4	Examination by Ms. Principe	46
5	Examination by Ms. Roccaforte	50
6	Examination by Ms. Brown	70, 156
7		
8	PUBLIC COMMENTS	
9		89
10		
11		
12		
13	PETER ORRIS, M.D.	
14		
15	By Mr. Weinstock	152
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Page 4 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Again, I'm Vanessa Horton. 1 2 It's 10:00 o'clock. Are we ready to go? MR. WEINSTOCK: Yes. 3 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Good morning. Welcome to 4 this -- Good morning and welcome to this Illinois 5 6 Pollution Control Board Hearing. My name is Vanessa Horton, and I'm one of the hearing officers for this 7 8 rulemaking proceeding entitled In The Matter Of Proposed 9 Clean Car and Truck Standards: Proposed 35 Illinois Administrative Code 242. The Board docket number for 10 11 this rule making is R24-17. 12 Also present today from the board are Chair of 13 the Board, Barbara Flynn Currie; Board member Jennifer Van Wie, Board Member Michelle Gibson, the Board's 14 Technical Unit Chief Environmental Scientist Anand Rao; 15 Environmental Scientist, Essence Brown. 16 17 Also here from the Board's staff is senior 18 attorney Tim Fox; my co-hearing officer, Carlie Leoni; 19 attorney advisor, Chloe Salt (phonetic), and Board staff 20 in Springfield via video are Board member Michael Mankowski, and the Board's rulemaking coordinator Shannon 21 Bilbruck. 2.2 23 For the Proponents of the rule today we have Robert Weinstock and Nathaniel Shoaff. 24

And then our witnesses for today will be -we'll start with via video Juliana Pino, in person
Muhammed Patel, Justin Flores, and Dr. Peter Orris.

2.2

This hearing is governed by the Board's procedural rules. All information that is relevant and that is not repetitious or privileged will be admitted into the record.

Please bear in mind that any questions posted today by the Board and its staff are intended solely to help develop a clear and complete record for the Board's decision and do not reflect any decision on proposal, testimony, or other questions.

For the sake of our court reporter, please speak clearly and avoid speaking at the same time as another person so that we can help produce a clear transcript.

On June 27th, 2024, the Sierra Club, the National Resources Defense Council, the Environmental Defense Fund, the Respiratory Health Association, the Chicago Environmental Justice Network, and the Center for Neighborhood Technology filed a rulemaking proposal that — a rulemaking that proposed the Board adopt a new part 242 of its air pollution rules.

The Proponents requested the Board adopt three

Page 6 California motor vehicle emissions regulations addressing 1 2 light, medium, and heavy-duty vehicles. These are the Advanced Clean Cars II, Advanced Clean Trucks, and Heavy 3 Duty Nox Omnibus rules. 4 On July 11, the Board accepted the proposal and 5 6 directed the hearing officers to proceed to hearing. That's why we're here today, for the first day of two 7 8 days of hearing. The first set of hearings will be 9 focused on the Proponents' proposal and their witnesses. Tomorrow, towards the end of the day, I'll go off the 10 11 record to discuss with everyone our plans and schedules for the second set of hearings. The second set of 12 13 hearings will be focused on other participants' 14 witnesses. 15 Included with its initial filing was the 16 Proponents' statement of reasons as well as the pre-filed 17 testimony of two of its witnesses, Muhammed Patel and 18 Kathy Harris. 19 Notice for this hearing was posted in nine 20 newspapers in different geographical locations throughout Those newspapers are: The Chicago Sun-Times, 21 the state. the Springfield Journal Register, the Belleville News 2.2 Democrat, the Champaign News-Gazette, the Moline Dispatch 23

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Argus, the Centralia and Mount Vernon Morning Sentinels,

the LaSalle News Tribune, the Peoria Journal Star, and the Rockford Register. All of those publications occurred before October 23rd.

On July 11th, 2024, the Board requested pursuant to Section 27(b) of the Environmental Protection Act, that the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity conduct a study on economic impact of the proposed rules. The letter requested that DCEO provide their responses by August 26th, 2024. On August 28th, 2024, DCEO responded via letter declining to perform an economic impact study saying that it does not have the industrial engineering expertise to meaningfully participate in this rulemaking.

Proponents filed the written testimony of their nine witnesses on September 16th. The Board and participants filed written questions on October 28th, and Proponents filed written answers on November 18th.

As to the order of today's proceedings: Proponents will put forth four of their eight witnesses to answer any follow-up questions participants and the Board might have regarding their testimony and written answers. We will begin today with remote testimony from Juliana Pino, then move to testimony from Justin Flores, Muhammed Patel, and Dr. Peter Orris. The court reporter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

will swear in any witnesses when they begin, and if they so choose, the witnesses may give a brief summary of the testimony before we start the questions.

2.2

Typically we enter pre-trial testimony as an exhibit if read, and Proponents' counsel are allowed to give a short opening statement, and if they so choose, witnesses are also allowed a short opening summary of their testimony, if they so choose.

As we go along, I'll be entering testimony and answers as exhibits. Following this hearing, I'll issue a hearing exhibit list. I will first start with entering Proponents' initial filing on June 27th, 2024 as Exhibit 1.

Ms. Court Reporter, please feel free to stop me or anyone at any point if we're going too fast, talking too softly, or if you need something repeated. For any participants asking questions today, please start your question by stating your name and organization you represent.

Since we're beginning today at 10:00 a.m., I anticipate going until noon and breaking for an hour for lunch from noon to 1:00 p.m. From 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. we will have an oral public comment, and then we will resume witness testimony around 2:00 p.m. We'll take a

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

10 to 15-minute break at a good stopping point, and we will end today around 5:00 p.m. At that point, we can discuss where we are in questions and come up with a plan for tomorrow.

With us today is a Spanish language interpreter, Chris. He's waving his hand in the back. If you need any interpreter services, please sit next to him. During the public comment portion, I'll ask that Chris come up forward to see if any members of the public need Spanish interpretation.

There's a sign-up sheet in the back of the room here in Chicago to sign up for public comment. So if there's any members of the public in person here today, please go ahead and write your name on the list. We have set aside an hour for public comments from 1:00 to 2:00 today. Also, anyone can submit written public comments on the Board's -- Board Clerk's Office On-line System. The Board weighs oral and written public comments equally.

So are there any questions from anyone here as to the order of today's proceedings? Anyone in Springfield have procedural questions? If not, we'll move on to swearing in Proponents' first witness Ms. Pino.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

2.2

Page 10 Can you hear us okay, Ms. Pino? 1 2 MR. WEINSTOCK: Hearing Officer Horton, did you want our opening statement first? 3 BOARD MEMBER MANKOWSKI: I think there's a slight 4 delay, but I can hear you. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Great. We'll swear in you The court reporter will swear you in and then I'll 7 8 turn to Mr. Weinstock and we can discuss if he'd like to 9 give an opening statement. I'm sorry about that. 10 Apologies. 11 We'll pause you, Ms. Pino. I forgot. 12 Mr. Weinstock. He'll give an opening statement, then 13 we'll move on to you. 14 THE WITNESS: Sounds great. Thank you. 15 MR. WEINSTOCK: Good morning. My name is Robert Weinstock, together with my student Chase Deatrick, we 16 17 represent the Chicago Environmental Justice Network and 18 Respiratory Health Association. With me today I have Albert Ettinger, who is counsel for Sierra Club and 19 20 Nathaniel Shoaff, who is counsel for Sierra Club, Chicago Environmental Justice Network, Center For Neighborhood 21 Technology, Environmental Defense Fund, and Natural 2.2 23 Resources Defense Council. We'll refer to this whole group as the Rule Proponents today. 24

2.2

Page 11

I want to start by thanking the Board members here today, Board Staff, and IEPA and everyone today for arranging this hearing and being here, particularly here for Spanish language translation. Thank you for that. It's meaningful.

I also want to provide a little bit of context, as well as some opening remarks to hopefully set us up for a smooth hearing today to create a clear and clean record for the Board.

To start, I just will remind us all why we're here. The legislature has entrusted the Pollution Control Board with the duty to use its expertise to issue rules to protect the people of Illinois from air pollution hazards. Statewide there is no more pernicious or persuasive air pollution hazard than air pollution from motor vehicles.

As established in our statement of reasons and pre-filed testimony, onroad vehicle emissions are the greatest source of climate changing greenhouse gas emissions in our state. When it comes to local air pollution from larger vehicles, Chicago, the largest intermodal shipping hub in the United States, has some highways where daily truck counts exceed 30,000.

Illinois ranks fifth nationally in per capita deaths from

2.2

Page 12

particulate matter from those which comes in part from those diesel emissions from those vehicles on our roads. Those diesel emissions cause the state an estimated \$4.6 billion in costs every single year.

Part of what makes the problem of transportation air emissions such a challenge to address is that we are all almost, every single one of us, drivers or passengers in polluting vehicles. We all rely on goods carried by those same diesel engines. Motor vehicle emissions are for many very good reasons pervasive.

As described by several of the witnesses you'll hear from, what makes transportation air pollution particularly pernicious though is that while we all benefit from our transportation system, it is people that live here near highways or warehouses that bear the brunt of the poison churning out of those diesel engines.

Often those communities are also the most overburdened by other environmental and social factors that make the effect of local air pollution more harmful in those communities than it would be in less burdened, better resourced ones.

It is also people who live in similar disinvested communities that lack air conditioning or

have inferior storm water infrastructure or who work outdoors jobs, those are the people who will suffer the worst effect of climate change, the same climate change being accelerated and amplified by transportation emissions.

2.2

Addressing air pollution from the transportation sector requires nuance and expertise. As described by the witnesses you will hear from today and tomorrow, the proposed rules prevent -- the proposed rules present a nuanced, phased approach to gradually change the transportation sector over a decade. The proposal recognizes that manufacturers and consumers need time and flexibility in making this transition.

The proposed rules harness the expertise of the California Air Resources Board and its unique role under The Federal Clean Air Act, and the proposed rules fit neatly with the various ongoing state policies and investment strategies here in Illinois, principally as set forth in The Climate and Equitable Jobs Act.

For those reasons, Rule Proponents respectfully request the Board use its long-held authority under Sections 8 and 10 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act to adopt the substantive emission standards contained in the Advanced Clean Cars II,

Advanced Clean Truck, and Low Nitrogen Oxide Omnibus regulations promulgated by the California Air Resources Board.

2.2

Before turning to the witnesses, I'd like to address a few overarching issues raised in the pre-filed questions to hopefully make for a clean record and make things as efficient as possible for the rest of the day.

First, many of the pre-filed questions submitted by other intervening parties were very broadly worded. That's fine, but it's important to remember that there are three separate though related sets of California emission standards packaged together, and we have to keep them straight if we're going to have a clean record for the Board's review.

The Advanced Clean Cars II rule, or ACC II, establishes greenhouse gas emission standards for new passenger vehicles. Those standards apply to auto manufacturers across the entirety of the fleets that they offer for sale in Illinois or would offer for sale in Illinois, and they increase -- they step up over time.

The Advanced Clear Trucks rule and the Low Nox rule -- I'll use that shorthand -- work together and apply to medium and heavy-duty vehicles. Like the ACC II rule, the ACC requires vehicle manufacturers to gradually

increase the shares of zero emission or near zero emission vehicles that they offer for sale in Illinois. The Low Nox rules sets out emission limits for the diesel engines in those medium and heavy-duty vehicles. Because these rules focus on different classes of vehicles and contain different standards, technical standards, they offer different benefits to society and will raise different compliance and implementation questions.

Rule Proponents believe all three rules should be adopted and have submitted testimony and evidence that addresses each, but it will be very important for questioners to indicate which of the rules to which they refer today so witnesses can provide the most accurate and relevant answers, and so the Board can have a clear record as to each rule.

Second, and relatedly, some of the pre-filed questions focused on assessing the degree to which the proposed rules would mechanically copy California regulatory language. This relates to a broader point about the way Section 177 of the Clean Air Act functions, how it works here. A central purpose of Section 177 is to ensure that vehicle manufacturers need only comply with two, and no more than two, sets of technical emission standards when they are designing and building

2.2

vehicles. Indeed, the statute even uses the term third vehicle to describe what it's trying to avoid. To do that, Section 177 allows states to impose mobile source emission standards more stringent than the federal baseline, but only if those technical emission standards are, quote, identical to California's substantive standards for each model year to which the state would apply them.

A couple things about that piece of the law. There is no requirement that Illinois adopt every California rule. The identicality requirement in Section 177 applies to individual technical standards, not to the entirety of California's regulatory language or its broader regulatory structure. There is also no requirement that Illinois adopt the California standard for every model year covered by a particular California rule that Illinois chooses to adopt.

For example, Rule Proponents have proposed adopting the ACT standards through model year 2035. As IEPA noted in its pre-filed questions, some other states have only adopted some California standards through 2032. Questions and comments about the ACT standards in 2036, standards that were subsequently added by California after the initial promulgation of ACT, those questions

2.2

are simply beyond the scope of this proposal. The proposal looks to 2035.

Third, there is no requirement that every word of a state's regulatory text be identical to California under Section 177. The emission standards that the engines are designed to meet must be identical, but provisions around enforcement, vehicle registration, and any number of other issues must, by necessity, be tailored to fit within each state's existing regulatory structures.

So as we explained in the Rule Proponent's pre-filed answers, there are many such instances where such state specific tailoring is permissible under Section 177. As we emphasized repeatedly, we would welcome the feedback and ideas of the Board Staff, the Board obviously itself, IEPA, and other intervenors in these sorts of tailoring questions. Indeed, we see that as one of the benefits of pursuing adoption of the proposed rules through this sort of Board proceeding. have an open process in which the language can be vetted, discussed, and improved upon.

With that said, I will now provide a brief topical introduction to the witnesses you'll hear from today and tomorrow.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

As Hearing Officer Horton previewed, we will start today by hearing from Juliana Pino the Deputy Director of the Little Village Environmental Justice Organization LVEJO, who is standing by to appear remotely and will speak on the disproportionate impacts of transportation air pollution on communities of color in Illinois.

Ms. Pina directs LVEJO's policy work at the local, state, and federal levels, including being centrally involved in the development and passage of the Climate and Equitable Jobs Act, and serving on government advisory bodies like the Illinois Electric Vehicle Permitting Task Force and the City of Chicago's Environmental and Equity Working Group.

Next you will hear from Justin Flores.

Mr. Flores has submitted pre-filed testimony in this
matter about the impact of air pollution on his community
in Pilsen.

Next, after Mr. Flores, you'll hear from
Muhammed Patel, the Midwest Transportation Advocate at
the Natural Resources Defense Council where he has gained
expertise on vehicle electrification efforts in Illinois
and nationwide.

Mr. Patel will be available to answer questions

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.2

regarding the pre-filed testimony that he filed jointly with Kathy Harris, his colleague and director of the Clean Vehicles Program at NRDC.

2.2

After the public comment period this afternoon, you will hear from Dr. Peter Orris, who is former chief of Occupational and Environmental Medicine at the University of Illinois Health System, who will testify from his perspective as both a medical academic who has researched extensively the health impact of air pollution, and as a treating physician who has seen first hand the impacts of air pollution on people's health in Chicago over his more than three decades in practice.

Tomorrow the first witness for Rule Proponents will be Tom Cackette. Mr. Cackette is the Former Deputy Executive Officer of the California Air Resources Board and the policy expert regarding zero emission vehicles. Based on his decades of designing and implementing predecessor versions of the proposed rules in California.

After Mr. Cackette, Ms. Myrna Salgado-Romo, the network manager for the Chicago Environmental Justice

Network will testify as to both her personal experience

living in an area disproportionately burdened with

transportation air emissions and as to the CEJN's work to

organize and advocate on behalf of frontline communities

who bear the most harmful health impacts from air pollution sources like diesel engines.

After Ms. Salgado-Romo, Professor Daniel
Horton, an assistant professor at Northwestern University
specializing in climate change and air quality research
will testify. Professor Horton leads the climate change
research group at Northwestern, which has produced
ground-breaking work modeling the public health and air
quality benefits of vehicle electrification.

Finally, Brian Urbaszewski, the director of Environmental Health Programs at the Respiratory Health Association will be the last witness tomorrow. He has held that role for over 25 years, and during that time he has been centrally involved in developing Illinois's legislative and regulatory policy on clean air issues after his experience at IEPA and within the Office of Illinois Governor Jim Edgar.

One note as to questions not directed toward particular witnesses. Rule Proponents received dozens of such questions that were not addressed to any individual witnesses. To facilitate efficient follow-up on those questions, and as directed by the Hearing Officer's order dated Wednesday, November 27th, I'd like to describe topically and to designate the witnesses who will address

2.2

the issues raised in those questions as follows.

2.2

For questions related to the ERM study included within the statement of reasons, including its cost benefit projections, the way the proposed rules would function at Illinois specifically as to credit generation or compliance flexibilities, and for EV market trends specific to Illinois, please direct those questions to Mr. Patel.

Mr. Cackette is the witness best positioned to answer questions about the mechanics of the proposed rules themselves, how they have been implemented by California and other states, along with broader questions about technological feasibility, and the national market response to the proposed rules as they are in effect in California and elsewhere.

Mr. Urbaszewski is the witness best positioned to address how the proposed rules fit with broader Illinois policies, including the Climate and Equitable Jobs Act and the financial supports and ongoing state efforts aimed at supporting electrification and charging expansion.

I do have a list -- question-by-question list of the pre-filed questions that I could read into the record that indicate which witness is best positioned to

address each one, if that would be useful, but I didn't want to burden with us that.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: I don't think it's necessary. I think if we come to a question where we're wondering, then you can refer back to it.

MR. WEINSTOCK: Thank you. That sounds great. just note finally on that issue, as indicated in Rule Proponents' responses to pre-filed questions not addressed to specific witnesses, many of those questions raise legal issues or touch on regulatory drafting questions that are not directly within the scope of expertise of any individual subject matter witness. They are legal or drafting questions. The witnesses are prepared to speak to the full range of substantive topics in the questions as I just indicated, but it is certainly possible that legal drafting will need to be discussed through legal briefing or legal written submissions later down the line.

Before introducing our first witness, I will just close with a brief reminder of the stakes here drawing on facts set out in our statement of reasons and substantiated in the pre-filed testimony. First, adopting the proposed rules make simple economic sense when considered from the perspective of Illinois as a

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

state, rather than the specific viewpoint of particular corporate or commercial interests. Even as compared to the recently strengthened federal standards, the proposed rules are conservatively estimated to deliver \$86 billion in net economic benefits for Illinois as a whole.

Second, motor vehicles are again the state's largest source of greenhouse gas pollution. The proposed rules will create the sort of new vehicle market that will allow the state to meet its commitment to reduce greenhouse emissions to do our part in slowing climate change by providing a necessary complement to what was done in the Climate and Equitable Jobs Act.

Third, the stakes cannot be overstated when it comes to the costs that local air pollution from medium and heavy-duty diesel engines impose on communities in Illinois. Every year diesel pollution causes 416 premature deaths in our state. 416 people. Every year diesel pollution causes roughly 24,600 days of missed work. Every year diesel pollution costs the state an estimated \$4.6 billion. And you will hear today from people who can explain how these quantifiable costs of diesel pollution only begin to represent the true human toll imposed by air pollution on their patients, families, and communities. Please listen to those people

2.2

because those costs are not borne by all of us in Illinois alike. Rather, the human and economic cost of diesel pollution are borne disproportionately by the people who live and work closest to where those diesel engines operate.

2.2

Communities near major roadways or industrial or commercial facilities that draw heavy truck traffic are all too often low income and people of color communities already dealing with other sources of air pollution and already suffering from other social inequities like a lack of access to healthcare. This means that the people forced to breathe the air most polluted by diesel fumes are also those whose health will suffer most from breathing them.

Finally, I have to note that the proposed rules provide the Board an opportunity to stand up for the health and well-being of our state at the precise moment that the federal government appears poised to abandon basic goals like pollution prevention and addressing climate change.

Crucially if the recently promulgated federal standards from last year are rolled back, as the incoming administration promises to do, well, then the proposed rules project to be even more crucial in protecting

	Page 25		
1	Illinois's health and well-being. In fact, in that		
2	instance, the modeling provided in the statement of		
3	reasons conservatively predicts that the proposed rules		
4	would provide over \$200 billion in net economic benefits		
5	to the State of Illinois as compared to staying with the		
6	federal baseline.		
7	On behalf of Rule Proponents I want to again		
8	thank the board and its staff and everyone here today for		
9	engaging in this crucial discussion about how Illinois		
L 0	could better protect its residents from both local air		
11	pollution and the worst effects of climate change.		
12	With that, I'm ready to turn things over to our		
13	first witness.		
L 4	HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Sounds great. Ms. Pino,		
15	are you all set?		
16	JULIANA PINO,		
L 7	called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,		
18	was examined upon oral interrogatories and testified as		
19	follows:		
20	EXAMINATION		
21	By Mr. Weinstock:		
22	Q Good morning, Ms. Pino. Can you hear everyone		
23	okay?		
24	A I can hear you. There is a little lag, but I		

Page 26 can hear you clearly. 1 It will force us to take our time. Could you please state and spell your name for 3 4 the record? Yes. My name is Juliana Pino. It's spelled 5 Α 6 J-U-L-I-A-N-A. My last name is spelled P-I-N-O. Did you submit pre-filed testimony in this 8 matter? I did. 9 Α Is that -- Do you have that document before you 10 11 now titled Pre-Filed Testimony of Juliana Pino? T do. 12 Α 13 Do you adopt that pre-filed testimony as your 14 sworn testimony in this matter? I adopt my pre-filed testimony as my sworn 15 16 testimony in this matter. 17 MR. WEINSTOCK: Hearing Officer Horton, we'd move 18 that into evidence? HEARING OFFICER HORTON: So your pre-filed written 19 20 testimony will be Exhibit 2. MR. WEINSTOCK: Q Ms. Pino, did you also direct the 21 preparation of pre-filed answers to pre-filed questions 2.2 submitted to your attention by other parties? 23 24 Yes, I did. Α

Page 27 Is that the document -- Do you have that 1 document before you now titled Rule Proponents' Answers to Pre-Filed Testimony of Juliana Pino? 3 I do have it before me. 4 And do you adopt those pre-filed answers as 5 6 part of your sworn testimony in this matter? Α Yes, I do. MR. WEINSTOCK: Hearing Officer, I would move that 8 9 document into evidence as well. HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Ms. Pino's answers will be 10 11 Exhibit 3. 12 MR. WEINSTOCK: Q Ms. Pino, before I ask you to 13 provide a brief overview of your testimony, could you please just explain the relationship between your 14 organization LVEJO and Rule Proponent Chicago 15 Environmental Justice Network? 16 17 My organization is a member of Chicago Α Environmental Justice Network and --18 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: You cut out a little bit. 19 20 Could you start your statement again? THE WITNESS: Yes. LVEJO, my organization, is a 21 member of the Chicago Environmental Justice Network. 2.2 23 network consists of multiple community-based organizations located in and serving Environmental 24

Page 28 Justice communities in Chicago. 1 MR. WEINSTOCK: Q Thank you. Ms. Pino, this is my last question. I'll ask you if can you please provide a 3 brief overview of your testimony and perspective on the 4 proposed rules. 5 6 Α Certainly. And at any time, please let me know if I break up and I can restate. 7 8 Thank you, Mr. Weinstock; thank you, Chair Flynn Currie; members of the Board; and Board staff for 9 the opportunity to give a summary overview of my 10 statement supporting the Rule Proponents' request that 11 the Board adopt the Clean Car and Truck Standards. Air 12 13 pollution from vehicles significantly and disproportionately harms the health of the Environmental 14 Justice community throughout Illinois, this includes in 15 Chicago where an immense share of vehicle emissions are 16 17 concentrated in and significantly contribute to elevated 18 pollution levels in zip codes including in areas where historically racist policies and practices have 19 20 systematically funneled industrial activity, highways, and accompanying diesel truck traffic. 21

One 2023 study our organization helped conduct used video traffic accounts to document over 400 truck through -- (technical difficulties).

2.2

23

24

One 2023 study our organization helped conduct used video traffic counts to document over 400 trucks per hour through intersections in EJ communities like McKinley Park and Archer Heights and over 160 per hour at one in Little Village. This study was inspired by high school statistics students living with the daily impacts of trucks who did their own traffic count that documented one truck per minute passing outside their school. impacts are significant and unescapable, especially for the most vulnerable youth and the elderly.

In one example, within one-third of a mile of Brighton Park community campus there is one elementary, one middle and one high school, as well as a retirement center. At their busiest hours between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., over 850 trucks pass through the adjoining intersection. Vehicle emissions harm the health and quality of life of EJ community residents. Other witnesses will elaborate on this further, but these consequences are worth stating again now, including premature death, heart attacks, asthma attacks, and other severe health effects.

EJ communities are also more vulnerable to pollution due to other structural inequities such as a lack of healthcare access, higher rates of asthma and

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

other pre-existing health conditions aggravated by air pollution and heat island effects, with extreme heat exacerbating air pollution.

2.2

We need these rules in place in Illinois as soon as possible so they can reduce harms from vehicle emissions, provide some relief from chemolytic burdens and deliver access to crucial program benefits.

In the federal jurisdiction, all of us, communities, organizations, this very Board, are operating in a context of future uncertainty. That uncertainty, however, is not reason enough to fail to act in our own arena in light of the conclusive and extreme harms from pollution borne by communities, harm that these rules would mitigate.

Members of this Board are in a position to use your unique authority to responsibly advance equity and public health by adopting forward-looking rules and, thus, directing remedy where the past has not provided it. Communities cannot wait and neither should you.

 $\label{eq:thm:constraints} Thank \ you \ for \ your \ time, \ and \ I'm \ prepared \ for \\ questions.$

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Okay. I think how we'll do this is, first, I'll start with Chicago to see if there's anybody here who has follow-up questions for Ms. Pino.

Page 31 Anyone here in Chicago? I don't see anyone so 1 2 now I'll it turn to Springfield. Does anyone in Springfield have follow-up 3 questions for this witness? 4 MR. MANKOWSKI: We don't appear to have any 5 6 follow-up questions here in Springfield. HEARING OFFICER HORTON: I think that concludes 8 Ms. Pino's testimony. 9 So, Ms. Pino, you're dismissed. Thank you so 10 much. 11 MR. MANKOWSKI: We did have a request down here in Springfield that maybe Mr. Weinstock could give that list 12 13 of which topics to direct towards, you know, the rest of 14 the witnesses for today. I don't know if that will take 15 up too much time, but we had a request down here. HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Ms. Pino, you're dismissed. 16 17 Mr. Weinstock, if you wanted to go through that 18 list. 19 MR. WEINSTOCK: Sure. Thank you, Ms. Pino. 20 I apologize for the length, and everybody buckle up. I'll do this by party. 21 For first questions -- pre-filed questions from 2.2 23 the Board, Question 1, best directed toward Mr. Cackette. 24 Questions 2 and 3, Mr. Patel.

```
Page 32
                4, Mr. Cackette.
 1
                5, Mr. Patel.
                6 and 7, Mr. Cackette.
 3
                8, Mr. Patel.
 4
                9, Mr. Cackette.
 5
 6
                10 could be directed to either or both
 7
     Mr. Cackette, Mr. Patel.
 8
                11, Mr. Cackette.
 9
                For the questions received from the Illinois
     Environmental Protection Agency, questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
10
11
     6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 --
     I believe that is 1 through 19 -- Mr. Patel.
12
13
                Question 20 has a number of subparts that we
     have to take that part by part. 20A and B, Mr. Cackette;
14
     C and D, Mr. Patel; 20E, F, G, as well as 21A and B,
15
16
     Mr. Cackette.
17
                2 through 25, Mr. Patel.
18
                26, 27, Mr. Cackette.
                28, Mr. Patel.
19
20
                29 through 38, Mr. Cackette.
                39 through 45, Mr. Patel.
21
                46A, Mr. Cackette.
2.2
                46B and 47, Mr. Patel.
23
                48 through 50, Mr. Cackette.
24
```

```
Page 33
1
                The Alliance For Automotive Innovation,
 2
     question 25 can be -- follow-up on question 25 can be
 3
     directed to Mr. Patel.
                For the Illinois Automobile Dealer's
 5
     Association, Questions 1 through 6 to Mr. Patel.
                Question 7 could be to Mr. Patel or
6
 7
     Mr. Cackette.
8
                8 through 11, Mr. Patel.
9
                12 through 14, Mr. Cackette.
10
                15, Mr. Patel.
                16 through 18, either Mr. Cackette or
11
12
     Mr. Patel.
13
                19 and 20, Mr. Patel.
14
                21, either Mr. Cackette or Mr. Patel.
15
                22 through 24, Mr. Patel.
                25, either of those gentlemen.
16
17
                26 through 36, Mr. Patel.
18
                37 and 38, Mr. Cackette.
19
                39, either Mr. Cackette or Mr. Patel.
                40 through 45, Mr. Patel.
20
2.1
                46, either of those two witnesses.
22
                47 and 48, Mr. Patel.
23
                49, Mr. Cackette.
                50, Mr. Patel.
24
```

Page 34 Then we have a few in the questions that were 1 2 part of the public comment submitted by the Truck and Engine Manufacturer's Association, we have included 3 those, even though they were not pre-filed questions but 4 were public comment. 5 6 Ouestion 1 to either of those witnesses. 7 Questions 2 through 5, Mr. Patel. 8 6, Mr. Cackette. 9 7, Mr. Patel. 8, either witness. 10 11 9 and 10, Mr. Patel. 12 And I would just again emphasize that these are 13 subject matter experts. These are not lawyers, and so for particular legal drafting issues, that's where we 14 15 are. BOARD MEMBER MANKOWSKI: We had the feed locked up a 16 17 little bit when you were going through the Illinois EPA 18 questions. Could you repeat 1 through 10? 19 MR. WEINSTOCK: Those are all Mr. Patel except for 20 question 20, which is Mr. Cackette. 1 through 19 for Patel, 20 for Cackette. 21 2.2 BOARD MEMBER MANKOWSKI: Thank you very much. 23 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: So we'll move on to Mr. Flores. 24

Page 35 1 JUSTIN FLORES, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined upon oral interrogatories and testified as 3 4 follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 By Mr. Shoaff: 7 My name is Nathaniel Shoaff. I'm an attorney 0 with Sierra Club. I'm here today on behalf of Sierra Club, the National Resources Defense Council, 9 Environmental Defense Fund, and Center for Technology. 10 11 Welcome, Mr. Flores. 12 Thank you. Α 13 Would you state and spell your name for the Q 14 record? 15 Justin Flores. J-U-S-T-I-N, F-L-O-R-E-S. Α Mr. Flores, have you ever testified before 16 Q either in a court or forum like this one? 17 18 Α I have not. It is okay to be a little bit nervous. 19 20 Mr. Flores, did you submit pre-filed testimony in this 21 matter? Yes, I did. 2.2 Α 23 And do you have it in front of you? Q I do. 24 Α

Page 36 1 Thank you. MR. SHOAFF: Hearing Officer, I'd move Mr. Flores' pre-filed testimony be moved into evidence. 3 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: That will be Exhibit 4. 4 MR. SHOAFF: Q Mr. Flores, did you also direct 5 6 answers to two pre-filed questions today? Yes, I did. Α 8 Do you have those in front of you? 9 Α I do. MR. SHOAFF: Hearing Officer, I'd move that the 10 11 pre-filed answers of Mr. Flores be moved into evidence. 12 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: That will be Exhibit 5. 13 MR. SHOAFF: Q All right. Mr. Flores, will you tell us a little bit about your neighborhood and why 14 15 you're here. So I live in Pilsen which is situated in 16 Α 17 the lower west side of Chicago, and it is an 18 Environmental Justice community, and I'm here to speak out on and be a proponent of the changes to the rules. 19 20 think that on a daily basis we're affected by air pollution that's caused by -- by cars and trucks and 21 stuff like that. So I'm here as somebody who has asthma 2.2 23 who grew up in an EJ community myself, who has been living there for 6 years to speak out on this and be in 24

support of a clean transition to electric vehicles and -yeah, I think that's it.

Q Thank you, Mr. Flores. You reference in your pre-filed testimony a study. I think it's the same study that we just heard about from Ms. Pino.

A Yes.

2.2

Q Can you tell us a little bit about how that study applies to your own life?

A Yeah. So the study that's addressed the intersections of Damen and Blue Island that I believe is the study that Juliana spoke about is a few minutes from my house, and it affects me on a daily basis, and not just myself, but my partner, my family, and all of my fellow residents of the community.

You know, I think there's -- I forget the number of -- the amount of trucks, I think it was 312 per hour in that intersection. As somebody who is very active and wants to keep an active lifestyle, I'm a runner, I play sports outside, I do as much as I can to be active, to stay healthy, I constantly have to -- pay attention to the air quality outside in order to make sure that it's safe for myself, my dogs, my family, everybody, you know, that I care about deeply to make sure it's okay for me to be outside. And it's

inescapable. Right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

It's so close to where we live that even if you aren't going outside -- like sometimes I can't even open my windows, right, as somebody that has constantly had a lot of difficulty breathing and have had trouble with my asthma for my whole life. So, you know, you can see -when outside, you can see -- during those peak hours, you can see black clouds of smoke and constant noise pollution, things like that that are constantly affecting myself and other people in the area, and that disrupts -that disrupts a healthy quality of life for, you know, not only myself but everybody in the community and surrounding communities that are in that intersection, which are some of the ones that have been addressed, McKinley Park, Brighton Park, Little Village, Pilsen area.

Thank you, Mr. Flores. Is there anything you've seen in your neighborhood that suggests to you that your neighbors, your community members, businesses in your neighborhood are prepared for an electric vehicle transition?

I believe so. One of the things that Α Yes. stood out to me is I typically -- I live about two blocks from a Walgreens. That's where I get all of my medicine

Page 39 and stuff like that. I frequently use my inhaler, so 1 2 when I go over there for stuff like that, I noticed that one of the small freight vehicles, the Frito-Lay truck 3 is -- it's an electric vehicle, and it's been consistent, 4 so I see it, you know -- anything I need, I see it there. 5 6 On my block alone I think there's at this point now maybe like four EVs that I see, and the Target that 7 is a little bit south of that Blue Island and Damen 8 9 intersection is -- there's some EV chargers for cars. So I think that is just a sign that people are ready to 10 11 adopt a new and more sustainable way of life in my 12 opinion. 13 Mr. Flores, thank you. I know you're taking time off work to be here today. I appreciate you coming 14 15 to share your story. Is there anything else you'd like to tell us? 16 17 No, I think that's it. 18 MR. SHOAFF: Thank you, Mr. Flores. That's all I have for you. There may be questions from the members of 19 20 the Board or other members here today. HEARING OFFICER HORTON: I'll start with here in 21 Chicago. Anyone here have follow-up questions for 2.2 23 Mr. Flores based on his written testimony? Okay. I'll move to Springfield. Anyone in 24

Page 40 Springfield have follow-up questions for Mr. Flores? 1 BOARD MEMBER MANKOWSKI: No, we do not have any questions at this time. 3 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. 4 Mr. Flores, thank you. You're dismissed. 5 6 We'll now move to the next witness, Mr. Patel. 7 MUHAMMED PATEL, 8 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, 9 was examined upon oral interrogatories and testified as follows: 10 11 EXAMINATION 12 By Mr. Shoaff: 13 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Do you have an opening statement that you'd like to give? 14 15 MR. SHOAFF: Q Mr. Patel, can you spell your name for the record? 16 17 Muhammed Patel, M-U-H-A-M-M-E-D, P-A-T-E-L. 18 And, Mr. Patel, I understand you have asthma. Is that correct? 19 20 Α Yes. Given the number of questions directed to you, 21 I think we may be here for a while. If you need a break, 2.2 23 please let the Hearing Officer know at any point. I will. And I apologize. I've had a flare-up 24

Page 41 this week so speaking for long periods can be difficult. 1 So might need a little break. HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Please let us know at any 3 time. 4 THE WITNESS: Will do. 5 6 MR. SHOAFF: Q All right, Mr. Patel. Can you 7 describe your work at NRDC? 8 Yeah. So I am a senior transportation advocate 9 with the national transportation team at the Natural Resources Defense Council. I specifically focus on our 10 11 Midwest Work, which works on integrating programs and 12 policies across various transportation pollution issues 13 in midwest states to find a way forward to reducing air pollution, accelerating adoption of various clean 14 technologies, and ensuring that we remain on track to 15 meet our climate goals in Illinois across the region and 16 17 in the U.S. 18 Mr. Patel, you submitted joint pre-filed testimony with a colleague of yours Kathy Harris. 19 20 also submitted supplemental testimony and responses to pre-filed questions. Do you have your joint pre-filed 21 testimony in front of you today? I see you have a binder 2.2 23 of documents. I have our joint pre-filed testimony as 24 I do.

Page 42 well as some of the exhibits from the statement of 1 2 reason -- the statement of reasons and the pre-filed 3 questions and answers. Do you adopt that joint pre-filed testimony 4 today as your testimony? 5 6 Α Yes, I do. MR. SHOAFF: Hearing Officer, I move to enter the 7 8 pre-filed testimony of Mr. Patel and Ms. Harris into the 9 record. 10 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Okay. That will be 11 Exhibit 6. MR. SHOAFF: Q Mr. Patel, you also filed 12 13 supplemental testimony with Ms. Harris, is that correct? 14 Correct. 15 Do you adopt that supplemental testimony as your testimony today? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 MR. SHOAFF: Hearing Officer, I move to enter the 19 supplemental testimony of Mr. Patel and Ms. Harris into 20 the record. 21 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: That will be Exhibit 7. 22 MR. SHOAFF: Q One more. You filed pre-filed 23 answers to pre-filed questions jointly with Ms. Harris, 24 is that correct?

Page 43 1 Yes. Α You adopt that as your testimony today? 0 3 Α Yes. MR. SHOAFF: Hearing Officer, I'd move to enter the 4 pre-filed answers of Mr. Patel and Ms. Harris into the 5 6 record. HEARING OFFICER HORTON: That will be Exhibit 8. 8 MR. SHOAFF: Q Mr. Patel, given the number of 9 general questions that Mr. Weinstock just ran through that are directed to you, I would like to note that 10 11 you're prepared to answer these questions to the best of 12 your ability today, correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 I would ask if there are questions that you 15 feel are outside your areas of expertise that you let the Board, the Hearing Officer know now? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 All right. Mr. Patel, would you give us a little summary of how it is your work overlaps with the 19 20 work of Ms. Harris at NRDC? Yes. Ms. Kathy Harris is the director of Clean 21 Vehicles Work at the Natural Resources Defense Council. 2.2 23 She oversees our national policy advocacy around clean vehicle policies and programs at the national level and 24

2.2

Page 44

at state levels. I have previously worked directly under Ms. Harris, and now we sit on the same team where I focus on certain policies that may impact the midwest and midwest states. So we work closely together on various policies that integrate here in the midwest which is why we jointly filed testimony in this hearing.

Q Thank you. And you referenced exhibits that were submitted along with the documents in this case.

Can you speak a little bit about the ERM studies that were submitted along with the statement of reasons?

A Yes. So as part of the statement of reasons, we included two studies conducted by Environmental Resource Management, or ERM, which is an independent consultancy. They provided two pieces of analysis on the various regulations that we're discussing today. One on the Advanced Clean Cars II standard, and one that jointly analyzed impacts in Illinois of the Advanced Clean Trucks and Low Nox Omnibus standards. Those are listed I believe as Exhibit 1 and 2 in our statement of reasons.

Exhibits 3 and 4 were a data update to that analysis that included the new federal standards passed by the US EPA earlier this year that increased the overall baseline of the analysis, and now Exhibits 3 and 4 contain that data update which show the incremental

benefits of adopting Advanced Clean Trucks, Advanced Clear Cars II, and the Low Nox Omnibus here in Illinois relative to the potential impact in Illinois that we might see from those federal baseline standards.

2.2

Q Thank you, Mr. Patel. Just one other question, which is how long have you worked on transportation policies, specifically in Illinois.

A I have been working on transportation policy in Illinois since the beginning of my career. I originally began after graduating from Northwestern with a degree in environmental engineering working as a consultant for federal, state, and local governments on transportation policy issues mainly related to how we build roads and bridges and the infrastructure itself that goes on transportation systems. Some of my clients were Illinois agencies.

Since I began my work with the Natural Resource
Defenses Council, I have been focused on our work in the
midwest and have been fully in that role for
approximately 2 years.

MR. SHOAFF: Thank you, Mr. Patel. I expect there to be questions for you from other parties or members of the Board, but I have nothing further. Thanks for your time.

Page 46 1 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Okay. I will start with 2 Chicago. Okay. Can you please come forward to the podium and 3 then state your name and what organization you're with. 4 5 EXAMINATION 6 By Ms. Principe: I'm Kara Principe, P-R-I-N-C-I-P-E, on behalf 7 8 of the Illinois Indiana -- Illinois, Indiana, Iowa Foundation For Fair Contracting. I had a couple 9 questions for you this morning. 10 11 Based on your -- it's Exhibit 8, the pre-filed 12 answers for our -- I think that's page 23 of 27. I want 13 to direct you to question number 4. I think it's page 93 of the whole thing, but page 24 --14 15 Yes, Question No. 24. You state that state transportation 16 Yes. 0 17 funding and the Motor Fuel Tax are not in question, but 18 do you agree that this proposal would necessarily impact transportation revenue for Illinois? 19 20 The -- there is a difference between the three rules that I think is important to note. Specifically 21 the Low Nox Omnibus affects new diesel engines and, 2.2 23 therefore, you know, would not necessarily change the overall fleet make-up. But if the implication is that, 24

Page 47

yes, with the Advanced Clean Cars II rule, the Advanced Clear Trucks rules both working together to increase the overall sales of zero emission vehicles within the state and those zero emission vehicles are not directly paying into the Motor Fuel Tax through purchases of diesel and gas, then it could potentially lead to a difference in revenues.

But as I sort of qualify in my answer, I think there are a few important aspects to note there. One, NRDC, as well as I believe the rule proposed in general, don't argue that zero emission vehicles should not pay their fair share for roads and bridges. In fact, in Illinois right now, owners of electric vehicles are required to pay a \$100 registration fee specifically in lieu of their payment into the Motor Fuel Tax to make up for that potential shortfall.

So I think that in general we would be supportive of a variety of methods that could support that, but to answer your specific question, potentially more zero emission vehicles coming on the road, that is happening whether or not these standards could potentially impact Illinois revenues.

Q So are you saying that the \$100 registration fee that EVs have to pay for makes up for the loss in

Page 48 Motor Fuel Tax revenue to the state, that this -- the 1 2 rule would implicate? Well, the EV registration fee is not related to 3 the rule. I should clarify. That was calculated based 4 on -- I believe the Secretary of State would have a 5 6 better understanding of this, but my understanding is that it's based on average mileage of a similar gas car, 8 and it's meant to specifically make up the deficit that 9 is generated from one EV compared to, you know, an average mileage of a gas vehicle. 10 11 Okay. So you are aware that the four main user 0 fees then are registration fees, Motor Fuel Tax, drivers' 12 13 licenses, and titles to the transportation revenue stream into Illinois, four main buckets of user fees, correct? 14 15 I was not familiar with those specific four 16 main buckets, but broadly I understand them, yes. 17 So are you aware that in fiscal year 2023, 0 18 according to the Illinois Comptroller's website, that the Motor Fuel Tax is the most significant source of total 19 20 revenue generated at 2.9 billion or 52 percent of Illinois state's transportation funding? 21 2.2 MR. WEINSTOCK: I just object. Is that an exhibit? 23 MS. PRINCIPE: It is not. Let me rephrase. Are you aware of the amount of revenue 24

	Page 49
1	generated from the Motor Fuel Tax?
2	A Not specifically the amount generated per year,
3	no.
4	Q Are you aware of how the Motor Fuel Tax gets
5	divvied up into state funds, local public bodies and
6	transit agencies?
7	A No, I'm not aware of the specific formula.
8	Q Okay. And then, finally, the proposal, the
9	proposed regulations do not contain a replacement for the
10	Motor Fuel Tax revenue stream, correct?
11	A The proposed standards are specifically vehicle
12	tailpipe emission standards. So the intention is to
13	reduce the emissions coming from tailpipes. The effects
14	that that might have on the Motor Fuel Tax or state
15	revenues are no, not included as part of that standard.
16	MS. PRINCIPE: That's all I have. Thank you.
17	HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Anyone
18	else here in Chicago have follow-up questions for
19	Mr. Patel? I don't see any so I'll turn to Springfield.
20	Anyone in Springfield have any follow-up
21	questions for Mr. Patel?
22	BOARD MEMBER MANKOWSKI: Yes, we do have follow-up
23	questions.
24	Would you mind coming up to the podium to ask

those.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: If you can start with your name and what organization you represent.

MS. ROCCAFORTE: Gina Roccaforte. G-I-N-A,
R-O-C-C-A-F-O-R-T-E. I'm with the Illinois Environmental
Protection Agency. And with me, in the event assistance
is needed, is Mr. Rory Davis, R-O-R-Y, D-A-V-I-S. And he
is the manager of the air regulatory unit in the Bureau
of Air with the agency.

EXAMINATION

By Ms. Roccaforte:

Q Good morning, Mr. Patel. Regarding Question 3 of the Illinois EPA's questions, in the initial response, the Rule Proponents state the incremental fleet costs of adding the Low Nox rule to the ACT rule based on ERM's, that's ERM's, 2021 analysis is \$2,889 per metric ton of avoided nox emissions in 2025 in 2020 dollars, which would be \$3,405 in 2024 dollars.

On the assumption that the Low Nox rules costs per ton of avoided emissions is about the same compared to the earlier federal standards or the new federal standards, and that only the total amount of the emission reduction achieved is lower relative to the new federal baseline, this would be the cost per ton of avoided

emissions under the proposed Low Nox rules.

Does that mean there is no estimate for the cost of reductions under the proposal for emission reductions beyond what will already be required by the current federal standards?

A If I understand your question correctly, what you're referring to is what the difference would be of the Low Nox rule compared to the existing federal standard that would be implemented on model year 2027. Is that right?

O Correct.

2.2

A Yes. As I note in that response, those reductions that we note, so that 2899 per metric ton of avoided nox emission in 2020 dollars, or \$3,405 in 2024 dollars, is assumed to be the same as the federal baseline in this analysis. So, yes, I believe that the answer would be that it would be no -- not necessarily different from the federal baseline, but it's important to note, as Mr. Weinstock noted in his opening remarks, that the Low Nox Rule, while it may in the future be intended to align with the federal standards, currently does not, and if those federal standards are rolled back, the Low Nox rule will provide those benefits as listed in the response.

Q Okay. What is the basis of the assumption that the low nox rule's cost per ton of avoided emissions is about the same compared to the earlier federal standards or the new federal standards and that only the total amount of the emission reductions achieved is lower relative to the new federal baseline?

A If I understand your question correctly, the reason for this assumption is because of my understanding is the clean trucks partnership and agreement signed between the California Air Resources Board and major original equipment manufacturers of trucking companies that committed in the future for the air resources board to align the Low Nox rule with the federal standards.

Now, that alignment has yet to occur, although it has been committed to, which is the basis for that assumption.

Q Okay. Thank you. Regarding Question 5 in this response, Rule Proponents discussed how the analyses in Exhibit 3 and 4, quote, take into account more up-to-date market information as well as account for the new federal standards on both LVDs and MHDVs in the baseline scenarios. The initial analysis predicted under the proposed rules in 2029 that 339,775 home Level II chargers for LDV would be needed, and the updated

2.2

2.2

Page 53

analysis predicts only 18,668 of those chargers. The original estimate is 18 times the updated estimate, and this same factors applies for Public Level II and DSCC FC chargers.

Can you please explain how these scenarios have differed so greatly?

think one is purely the time in between those two analyses. So the initial analysis was conducted a year or two -- I don't know the exact dates before the updated analysis in Exhibit 3 and 4 were conducted. In that period of time, investments from the Inflation Reduction Act, as well as numerous state investments hit the ground, increased the overall availability of charging and the overall just number of chargers that exist in the ground. So that's one factor, is that market conditions evolved significantly in that time that resulted in a reduction of the expected amount because the market grew significantly.

The second piece that's important to note is, as you mentioned in the question, the addition of the federal baseline. So as we noted, the federal government earlier this year passed through light-duty vehicle emission standards that took a fleet average and

estimated a certain number of zero emission vehicles would be added into the U.S. fleet in order for manufacturers to be able to comply with that standard.

So what the ERM analysis did was it took that new federal baseline, made some assumptions as to how that would apply to Illinois, and then used that as the baseline. So what you see in the table that's provided in that answer is the incremental investment need, or incremental charging need I should say, of home Level II chargers that would be estimated to be needed under the Advanced Clean Cars II rule in Illinois.

So those two factors together, updated market conditions, as well as new federal baseline result in a significantly lower charging need in the first year of implementation which is model year 2029.

Q Thank you. The agency also requested an estimate of the location distribution of charging stations needed throughout Illinois to reliably support the number of the EVs noted in the responses to agency's Question 4. Can the Rule Proponents' rule respond to this inquiry?

A Yes. I believe I provided a response to that effect in the pre-filed answers, but I can speak on that. Specifically the way we've denoted or the way ERM denoted

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.2

Page 55

location of chargers is through various classifications that note location broadly speaking of home, public charger, or depot charging. I'm going to assume you're referring to all the rules together, so I can just speak to all the charging needs at once.

And then at the same time refers to the capacity of those chargers, so whether they be Level I which is charger you can plug into your wall outlet, a Level II charger which can be used at existing dryer outlet that's converted to a EV charger, or a public fast charger, which is the ones you see at malls and different shopping centers potentially.

So when we speak on location of charging, I think it's important to note the high variability of the way investment occurs in EV charging. Investment in EV charging, and the way you see certain chargers pop up on the map, are highly dependent on a variety of market factors, and really consistently based on how the either agency or company that's choosing to build those chargers wants to make their investment.

So, for example, they might look at the existing capacity of the grid in a certain location.

That can vary within, you know, a certain square mile radius, so it becomes very difficult to estimate precise

locational distributions of chargers needed to support, you know, EV adoption large scale. And I think that this is a consistent methodological issue that you see across many different studies. There are studies that do assume locational distribution. I know that IDOT as part of its NEVI program provided some sort of spatial analysis as to where they anticipate those chargers will be built, but ERM did not include that analysis because of the high variability when you get closer to the ground of where those chargers are actually being built.

So, instead, what we did was made a broader assumption of whether they would be home, public, or depot charging. And that sort of allows you to better understand from a macro level where the investments from a public perspective or from a private perspective would need to be in order to support adoption overall.

So to answer your question succinctly, it is very difficult to provide an exact spatial analysis of where chargers will be needed. We can broadly assume they'll be along major travel corridors which is supported by evidence that Illinois agencies have provided, but, more specifically, we decided to look -- notate locational distribution based on those three overarching areas.

2.2

You mentioned the NEVI program. Is that -was -- with IDOT. Would that be public highways only, or does that also include local roads?

I'm not exactly an expert on the National Α Electric Vehicle Infrastructure program, but my understanding is that agencies are required to build along major alternative fuel corridors, but that's further defined by IDOT in their various documents.

Thank you. Regarding Questions 7 and 8, if the Board chooses to move forward with this rulemaking, it will likely be required to submit to the joint committee on administrative rules an agency analysis of economic and budgetary effects where the Board will need to describe effects on state revenues and expenditures, including an estimated dollar amount and to describe the economic impact on persons affected by the rulemaking including a listing of persons affected, the dollar amount per person affected, and total statewide costs.

Also, Section 27 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act provides, in part, that the Board may adopt substantive regulations, and in promulgating regulations under the Act, the Board shall take into account the economic reasonableness of measuring or reducing the particular type of pollution and make a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

determination based upon the evidence in the public hearing record whether the proposed rule has any adverse economic impact on the people of the State of Illinois.

Why, in your view, should the Board not consider the foreseeable reduction of state Motor Fuel Tax revenues that would result from this adoption the proposed rule?

A I think there are a few reasons, but the simplest one is that the transition to zero emission vehicles is happening right now, and really what comes into question with these standards is what is the approach to that transition. There are already potential shortfalls in the Motor Fuel Tax that I reference in this answer that have occurred between 2011 and 2019 in Illinois because of increased fuel efficiency, increased use of hybrid vehicles that are not solely attributable to zero emission vehicles.

Additionally, it seems that Illinois has already begun to think about this issue by instituting that \$100 registration fee. And what these standards are doing is really just setting very specific sales targets for manufacturers in order to reduce the overall pollution burdens that we see in Illinois for motor vehicles.

2.2

2.2

Page 59

So I think that reductions that could come about from the Motor Fuel Tax are not necessarily solely attributable to this standard. They are likely to happen whether or not Illinois adopts this standard, and, if anything, what this standard allows is for a much more planned and phased approach to that transition that would give us a better understanding of vehicle turnovers long term as we can directly attribute manufacturer sales targets to potential vehicle turnovers and better analyze what those shortfalls might be.

But, again, I don't think that potential shortfalls in the Motor Fuel Tax are solely attributable to the standards and, again, there are various factors that might go into that.

Q Would the Rule Proponents be willing to conduct an analysis of these topics if requested by the Board and provide it for all participants' review?

MR. ETTINGER: May I object? I'm Albert Ettinger for the Sierra Club. The Rule Proponents will answer questions. I believe we can make comments in response to those, however, don't wish individual witnesses to be put on the spot and have to talk for all of the Rule Proponents at this point.

So we will get back to you on that, but we -- I

2.2

Page 60

don't want each individual witness to try and wing it on its own as to what the Proponents as a whole are going to take as a position.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Your objection is noted. So I believe what you're saying is that you're taking this question from IEPA and you're saying now that you will respond in writing to them in the future?

MR. ETTINGER: Yes, as I understand Counsel, she is asking for what the Proponents as a whole are going to advocate or be willing to do in the future, and I don't want individual witnesses being put on the spot and being asked at this point what the Proponents as a whole are going to take as a position, and so that is our concern. I wish to note that comment.

If Mr. Patel has an answer as to what he would be willing to do or what NRDC would be willing to do, he can give that answer. I just don't want everybody up here as an individual witness winging it as to what position the Proponents will take.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Understood. That was not my question. It was whether the Proponents, the attorneys, counsel, would be willing to answer in writing this question.

MR. ETTINGER: We will answer her question, yes.

Page 61 Great. 1 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: 2 So, Mr. Patel, you can answer if you are able 3 to, but if not --THE WITNESS: I don't think I'm able to. 4 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Mr. Weinstock? 5 6 MR. WEINSTOCK: Sorry. Is there a clarification from IEPA? Is the analysis you're looking for what was 7 8 requested of DCEO, or are you looking for something different? I guess that's one thing I want to make sure 9 that we're addressing. 10 MS. ROCCAFORTE: Could you please repeat your 11 12 question? 13 MR. WEINSTOCK: I was just wondering if the analysis you're requesting is what the Board asked of DCEO or if 14 you're asking for something different? Just so we can 15 consider -- try to address the request. 16 17 MS. ROCCAFORTE: Probably what DCEO would be looking 18 at, which is an analysis of reductions in -- in the state Motor Fuel and -- the impacts of that so that the Board 19 20 could properly address economic reasonableness and any adverse effect impacts on the people of the State of 21 Illinois. 2.2 23 MR. WEINSTOCK: Thank you. Then just another question along the same lines. Is that study -- Would 24

Page 62 that be the same as the study required under 627, 607, 1 2 and CEJA that required the Illinois Department of Transportation to, quote, conduct a study no longer than 3 September 30th, 2022 to consider how electric vehicles 4 will adversely affect resources' need for transportation 5 6 infrastructure? MS. ROCCAFORTE: No. MR. WEINSTOCK: I guess -- Sorry to belabor this. 8 9 But has the agency talked to IDOT about that study? MS. ROCCAFORTE: IDOT didn't propose the rules so 10 11 we're asking the Rule Proponent. 12 MR. WEINSTOCK: I understand. I want to know if the 13 study already exists. That's all. So thank you. 14 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Okay. 15 DR. RAO: I think what Miss Roccaforte was asking 16 for was just ask for a list of answers the Board that is 17 to provide with its proposal. So it will help the Board 18 if you provide, you know, information that we can use to 19 answer those questions. So -- the studies on the 20 questions she asked. 21 MR. ETTINGER: To be clear, we're not ducking the 2.2 answer. 23 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: One at a time. DR. RAO: I just wanted to clarify that, because you 24

mentioned Department of Transportation study, and it would be helpful to have specific answers for the record.

MR. WEINSTOCK: We appreciate that, and we appreciate the request, Counsel, and just wanted to make sure with the state agencies that are required to conduct similar studies that we're not either re-inventing the wheel or we want to make sure the state has a consistent approach to these things across its agencies. But thank you for that.

MR. ETTINGER: I just wanted to clarify. We're not ducking any of these questions, but we may put them in our post-hearing briefs or something like that where we can think them through and answer the question rather than having individual witnesses attempt to answer them on the spot.

DR. RAO: Makes sense.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Ms. Roccaforte?

MS. ROCCAFORTE: Q Regarding Question 10A, with respect to the cost of home chargers, to confirm, this is addressed in the ERM analysis attached to petition at page 122 as Exhibit 2, correct?

A I believe that the -- yes, that would actually be -- Exhibits 3 and 4 would provide an updated analysis on the costs to a typical zero emission vehicle owner

which includes the costs of installing, operating, and maintaining an EV charger.

So while Exhibit 2 would provide the narrative and methodological background for those assumptions, Exhibit 4 would have the specific number that you're looking for on the cost of charging, including installing, operating, and maintaining a charger.

Q Okay. And that analysis concluded that in the scenario where the ACC II rules are adopted, Illinois residents would have to spend an average of \$443 million per year in 2022 dollars between 2027 and 2050 to purchase and install home based charging infrastructure, correct?

A If it's possible, I'd just like to better refer to the numbers.

On page 149 of the statement of reasons, we talk about the cost per vehicle for the average zero omission vehicle owner, a ZEV owner. And if you're referring to the incremental or the charger costs per zero emission vehicle, is that what you're referring to, or are you referring to a different number?

Q The charger cost, to install home based charging infrastructure.

A Yes. So the average cost of a charger per zero

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.2

2.2

Page 65

emission vehicle would be -- is calculated fairly consistently at \$1,817 across that time. The -- which would be the second row of the beginning with model year 2029 bucket on that page. I think it should be important to note that that number is put in direct contrast in the overall analysis with the incremental fuel costs that an average vehicle owner would pay by owning a gas car which is why we propose -- the information is displayed as the net life cycle costs of owning one of these vehicles, because while there may be costs associated with building a charger, installing and operating it at home, it is significantly less expensive than consistently paying for gas for that same owner were they to use a gas car.

So as shown in the analysis, the net life cycle costs of opening that zero emission vehicle are actually fairly significant, and come out by 2040 to be about \$18,000 saved by the average zero emission vehicle owner for owning one of those vehicles over the life cycle. That includes the charger, the maintenance costs, and the cost of the vehicle itself.

Q So you're using your updated exhibit. What would the cost be on average per year between 2027 and 2050 to purchase and install home-based chargers?

A \$1,818 per zero emission vehicle. I believe

2.2

Page 66

that that would need to be added to the fourth row which is charger maintenance cost, which begins at \$203, but by 2040 would be reduced to \$181, accounting for improvements in technology. That would be relative to the net fuel cost that someone driving a gas car would drive, which is \$9,721, and the incremental vehicle maintenance cost that you would get from a gas car compared to a zero emission vehicle which is \$5,631.

DR. RAO: May I ask a follow-up? The cost of installing a charger at a residence, you mentioned something around \$1700 or so. Does that include any tax credit, federal tax credit?

THE WITNESS: That does not include federal tax credit, state tax credits that are included as part of the beneficial electrification which tomorrow I believe Mr. Urbaszewski can speak more on. But if you are a user of Com Ed in Com Ed's territory, for example, you can get -- I believe it's up to a thousand dollars in a rebate for installing a home charger. So that cost is then significantly reduced.

I think it brings up an important note for the analysis is that ERM's analysis did not include any incentives in the overall calculations of net life cycle vehicle costs, which means that the average ZEV owner net

life cycle cost you see in this exhibit on page 149 are purely based on the technological differences between a zero emission vehicle and a gas car, and those are further reduced when you account for state, local, and federal incentives.

DR. RAO: Thank you.

2.2

MS. ROCCAFORTE: Q Regarding Question 13, with respect to the necessary grid investments that would need to be made as a result of adopting the proposed rule, what is the total amount of additional peak load demand projected for 2040 if the Board adopts the ACC II, ACT, and Heavy Duty Omnibus rules?

A So those numbers can be found in the same exhibit we were just referencing. I will provide the numbers based on first the ACC II rule and then the ACT rule and No Nox rule. Should be noted that No Nox rule because it does not involve a zero emission vehicle will not impact incremental peak load, and these two numbers generally can be seen as relatively additive, but because of the crossover in the way the vehicles are regulated under these standards, it wouldn't be wise to directly add them up and note incremental peak load that way. That's mainly due to the fact that manufacturers who produce Class 2B to 3 trucks can choose to comply with

Page 68 either the ACC II standard or with the ACT standard. 1 And I believe ERM notes how they vary that methodology in their analysis, but starting with ACC II 3 -- Give me one moment to find the right page. 4 So for the ACC II flex scenario, so 5 6 that's a scenario that ERM modeled that anticipates manufacturers take full advantage of their compliance 7 8 flexibilities under the rules -- and I'm sorry. 9 year were you referring to that you wanted the incremental peak load? 10 11 O 2040. 2040 with model year 2029 adoption for ACC II 12 13 would be 1,333 megawatts. Are you looking for the costs or just the megawatt number? 14 15 Just the additional peak load demand. That would be 1,333 under the flex scenario, 16 Α and that's for ACC II. 17 18 For ACT, by 2040, beginning with model year 2029, 375 megawatts of additional load. It's important 19 20 to note, as noted in the analysis, that any discussion of incremental peak load resulting from the standards or 21 from zero emission vehicles in general are generally 2.2

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

vehicles. So we reference this in our profiled answers.

directly offset by the revenues provided by those

23

But there was a backwards-looking study conducted from 2011 to 2021 that calculated all the grid costs and incremental peak load costs of providing support for the electric vehicles currently on the road in Illinois.

What that study found was that the revenues that were generated by these same electric vehicles, so what those vehicles paid into the system, were almost double the costs of what those distribution grid or peak grid upgrades that would be needed. And because in Illinois during our rate cases we tend to account for that difference, that fact -- that phenomenon results in an overall downward pressure on rates.

So what you're doing is you are spreading more fixed costs of the grid over more users of that grid, which puts downward pressure on rates for everyone. So while we may see -- we will see an incremental peak load from zero emission vehicles in general, any costs associated with grid upgrades are anticipated to be completely offset by the revenues generated by those vehicles.

MS. ROCCAFORTE: Thank you. That's all I have.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Great. Anybody else in

Springfield have follow-up questions for Mr. Patel?

BOARD MEMBER MANKOWSKI: Yes, we do have more

Page 70 They're coming up right now. 1 questions. HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Say your name and the organization you're representing. 3 MS. BROWN: Melissa Brown, M-E-L-I-S-S-A, 4 B-R-O-W-N. I'm outside counsel for the Alliance for 5 6 Automotive Innovation, and today I have with me Tom Miller who is -- T-O-M, last name, M-I-L-L-E-R, who is he 8 Senior Director of Energy and Environmental Policies for the Alliance For Automotive Innovation. 9 Before we jump into our questions, for clarity 10 11 for the court reporter, we've heard the term zero emission vehicle a few times already and abbreviated as 12 13 I may also use that abbreviation throughout my questioning, as well as may pronounce that as ZEV. Just 14 clarifying that at the start. 15 16 EXAMINATION 17 By Ms. Brown: 18 So thank you, Mr. Patel, for being here and being available to answer follow-up questions I'll go 19 20 ahead and start with it would be a follow up to Question 1 of the Alliance's questions, and your response 21 to that question states that it is projected that with 2.2 23 taking flexibility into account, the de facto requirement

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

for model year 2029 will be around 50 percent new ZEV

sales rather than 59 percent.

2.2

Have you seen the calculations that are the basis for this projection, i.e., how you get from 59 percent sales requirement to 50 percent requirement?

A Yes. So these projections are provided in the ERM analysis under the ACC II flex scenario, that scenario is described in Exhibit 2, and the updated numbers that refer to that are in Exhibit 4.

Q Thank you. Your response to Question 1 as well refers to flexibilities in the regulations to help lower the requirements. To clarify, the flexibilities that you're referring to, are you referring to the early compliance vehicle values in carry back credits?

A I'm referring to all flexibilities that are allowed so that would include the early compliance vehicle values, the pooled ZEV credits which are the ability for manufacturers to pool the credits they've gained in all of these Section 177 states and used then for compliance in other states, as well as the Environmental Justice flexibilities that are included.

However, the 50 percent number I believe specifically uses the early compliance flexibilities and does not include the pooled ZEV credits or the EJ credits. So, in fact, if manufacturers were to take

Page 72 advantage of those additional credits, that nominal --1 sorry -- de facto requirement might even be less. 2 All right. A few string of questions on early 3 compliance vehicle values. 4 5 So early compliance vehicle values, these values may be earned in model year 2027 and model year 6 7 2028 for these regulations, correct? Correct. 8 Α 9 And in the auto industry the model year begins as early as January of the previous year, correct? 10 As early as, yes, but more likely model years 11 Α 12 tend to begin rolling out in the fall and summer of the year before. So not -- While it can start as early as 13 January 2nd, likely it starts a little bit later. 14 15 Okay. But it can be as early as January? 16 Α It can be. So most auto makers are currently right now in 17 the 2025 model year, is that correct? 18 19 I would assume so based on my understanding of 20 past model year, but I can't speak directly to the current, you know, each individual manufacturer and what 21 22 they're rolling out. When we say that auto makers can begin earning 23 24 early compliance vehicle values in model year 2027, that

would begin in calendar year 2026, correct?

A Yes. January 2nd, 2026 would be the first, you know -- would be the beginning of those early compliance flexibilities, but it would only apply to vehicles within the model year 2027. So sales of model year 2026 vehicles would not necessarily be eligible.

- Q Are you aware of any assessment of how feasible it is for an auto maker to earn early vehicle compliance values?
 - A Could you clarify what you mean by feasible?
- Q Does any assessment of how feasible or easy it is or how attainable it is for an auto maker to earn these early vehicle compliance values generally?

A Well, the ERM -- what I can speak to is that the ERM analysis does calculate what their potential compliance is through these early compliance flexibilities, and I'm fairly certain the methodology is simple. It takes expected sales numbers within those model years and then applies them to those early compliance flexibilities. A specific analysis on how much or how feasible it is, I can't necessarily speak to. Not necessarily with ACC II, but something that is I think relevant to these early compliance questions is data coming out for the Advanced Clean Trucks rule from

2.2

Page 74 the California Air Resources Board which shows that early 1 sales of medium and heavy-duty zero emission vehicles are almost 60 percent more than what the expected targets are 3 for the first year of compliance of Advanced Clean 4 Trucks. 5 6 So while I don't necessarily know about specific ACC II compliance flexibility data, Mr. Cackette 7 8 tomorrow might be able to speak more specifically to that. We are seeing those results being borne out within 9 the Advanced Clean Air Trucks standard. 10 11 0 Okay. An automaker only generates early vehicle compliance values for ZEV sales more than 12 13 7 percent of an automaker's total sales for a model year, 14 is that correct? Is that -- is that something that you are 15 referring to within the Advanced Clean Car II standard 16 17 language specifically? 18 0 Yes. Then I believe so. 19 Α 20 So, consequently, if an auto maker sold 7 percent ZEVs in model year 2027, they would receive no 21 early vehicle compliance values, would that be correct? 2.2 23 I believe Mr. Cackette can speak more Α

specifically to this, but based on your previous

question, I assume that's correct.

2.2

Q Okay. Do you have any projections concerning whether any full line auto makers which would be auto makers other than EV only auto makers will exceed 7 percent ZEV sales in model years 2027 and 2028?

A I believe Mr. Cackette can speak better to the national ZEV market and what those look like for those full line manufacturers. Again, it should be noted that if manufacturers are not able to take advantage of those early compliance flexibilities there are other flexibilities that they can take advantage of such as those EJ flexibilities that I mentioned in the testimony as well as written responses, they can pool credits from other states and utilize those in Illinois.

So, yes, I mean, I believe Mr. Cackette can give you a better answer though to the 7 percent question.

Q For full line auto makers have you made an assessment how much ZEV sales will need to increase between now and model year 2027 and 2028 to max out on early vehicle compliance values?

A I have not specifically conducted that analysis for full line manufacturers in those years. However, the ERM analysis does analyze the expected growth in sales,

or we assume the expected growth in sales based on the ERM analysis for the market in general.

- Do you have any projection concerning whether any full line auto makers are on track to sell enough ZEVs in model year 2027 and 2028 to max out on early vehicle compliance values?
- I do not specifically, but I -- Mr. Cackette might have more information regarding that given the national ZEV market outlook.
- I'm going to turn to follow-up questions on Q carry back credits. Is that something you can speak to, or is that something more appropriate for Mr. Cackette?
- Α I'm sorry. Carry back credits? Can you define that term for me?
- Sure. So that is a term generally used regarding the ability of an auto maker to overcomply in later years and carry those credits to an earlier model year.
 - Mr. Cackette can likely speak more to those.
- Okay. Thank you. All right. So now turning to Question 1A, as in apple. In your response you stated that annual ZEV sales growth of about 10 percent will be sufficient to reach compliance in model year 2029. Did you intend to mean that an increase by 10 percentage

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Page 77 points each year will be sufficient to reach compliance in model year 2029, so, for example, 8.2 percent to 18.2 percent, 18.2 percent to 28.2 percent and so on? Α Yes. All right. So at this time I'd like to turn to Exhibit D of the Alliance's pre-filed exhibits, which were pre-filed on this past Wednesday, Exhibit D which was Alliance For Automotive Innovation second quarter 2024 report titled Get Connected Electric Vehicle Quarterly Reports, and I'd like to move that into the record please. MR. WEINSTOCK: I would object. This was submitted -- I would object. This was submitted as a demonstrative exhibit. We don't have copies of it here, so -- I don't know how you -- how Mr. Patel can be asked questions

exhibit. We don't have copies of it here, so -- I don't know how you -- how Mr. Patel can be asked questions about what I think it was a pretty large document if I opened it over my Thanksgiving turkey properly when he can't even see it, and we don't know who -- it hasn't been authenticated. I don't know how you can move it into evidence. I guess I'm just wondering how you'd like to move, Officer Horton. I would object accordingly.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Any response, Ms. Brown?

MS. BROWN: I think that was why we pre-filed, that was clarification. Any exhibits wanted to be used for

Page 78 follow-up questions at the first hearing, the Hearing 1 2 Officer indicated they should be filed by no longer than 4:30 on this past Wednesday, which we did, which was also 3 served on Proponents' counsel, but -- I'll leave it 4 there. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: At a rulemaking hearing we have relaxed standards for admission of evidence and 7 8 documents. So I will allow Ms. Brown to put this document into the record as Exhibit 9. Mr. Patel is 9 certainly free to say that he has not reviewed this 10 11 document and does not have responsive answers to 12 Ms. Brown's questions. We can proceed in that way. 13 Your objection is noted. 14 MR. WEINSTOCK: Thank you. 15 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Can you describe the 16 document once more? 17 MS. BROWN: So the document here it's the -- the 18 Alliance's Second Quarter 2024 report. You need more description or -- beyond the title? 19 20 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: No, that's fine. MS. BROWN: Again, the Alliance's Pre-Filed 21 Exhibit D. I don't think this will be much of an issue 2.2 23 for purposes of the question. We just wanted to get it in the record. 24

Q But in your response to Question 1A, Mr. Patel, you already referenced really a statistic or data from this report. So in your pre-filed answer, you stated that the most recent Alliance For Automotive Innovation Get Connected Corrected quarterly report states that ZEV 6 in Illinois in the second quarter of 2024 were 8.2 percent. Is that correct?

A Yes.

2.2

Q And so taking the 8.2 percent -- or let's just approximate 8 percent -- and if that percentage increased, if ZEV sales increased by approximately 500 percent, that would mean roughly 48 percent of ZEV sales, would that be correct, roughly?

A I'm not sure as I've not done that math myself.

Q Okay. We'll move on to Question 1C, as in cat. You state in your response that in the event of a shortfall, manufacturers are able to purchase vehicle values from manufacturers that have a surplus.

Do you know how much a single credit costs?

A No, I do not, but I believe Mr. Cackette could probably speak better to that.

Q Proponents' response to the Illinois
Environmental Protection Agency's Question 48 concerning
civil penalties for noncompliance, Proponent states that

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Page 80 an auto maker is subject to a penalty of up to \$50,000 1 2 per vehicle, is that correct? Could you reference which page of the pre-filed 3 answers you're referring to, just -- just for my own 4 sake. 5 6 Q One moment. It will be page 45. 7 Ouestion 49? Α 8 O 48. 9 Α Can you repeat your question for me, please? Absolutely. So in the response to Question 48, 10 Q 11 Proponent states an auto maker is subject to a penalty of 12 up \$50,000 per vehicle. Is that correct? 13 That is what is stated in the pre-filed answer. I will just note that this does seem like a legal 14 question that is better answered by the attorneys or a 15 legal brief as I'm not an attorney. 16 17 Okay. Let me know if you're able to provide a 0 18 response to the next follow-up question. But in practice, that penalty amount would effectively provide a 19 20 cap on the cost of a credit, is that your understanding? I don't feel qualified to provide a response 21 Mr. Cackette or attorneys might be able to 2.2 there. 23 provide a better response. Would you agree that as ZEV sales requirement 24 Q

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Page 81 increases, the number of available credits to sell 1 decreases? I'm not sure. Maybe -- There are many 3 Α different factors that go into the availability of 4 credits. For example, ZEV only manufacturers could 5 6 significantly produce overcompliance and therefore have a large bank of credits. Full line manufacturers as you 7 8 mentioned earlier could overcomply, so generally 9 speaking, I'm not exactly sure as to how that assumption would hold up in practicality. 10 11 How many excess credits will be generated in 12 model year 2035? 13 Α I'm not sure. 14 Would you agree that as credits become more 15 scarce their costs go up? I'm not sure. Mr. Cackette could probably 16 Α 17 provide a better response. 18 Okay. Turning to the Alliance's Question 3 in your pre-filed response. Your answer here refers to a 19 20 mix of public utility and private investments. Are there any laws in Illinois requiring utility and private 21 investment public charging infrastructure? 2.2 23 Α Yes. The Climate and Equitable Jobs Act as referenced before required investor and utilities -- the 24

major investor in utilities in the state, Com Ed and Ameren to submit benefit electrification plan which at this point time provide over \$100 million of rebates and other forms of investment to support beneficial electrification programs such as public vehicle charging.

Witness Urbaszewski can speak to more detail to what exactly the numbers the state has invested. I'm also aware that the Illinois EPA has specific grants available for medium and heavy-duty vehicle charging in public areas, and the federal government has significant incentives to that effect as well.

Q In your response you state that according to the ERM analysis, \$238 million in public, private, and utility investment will be needed to achieve the public charging demand by 2034 for light-duty vehicles. How much of that investment would need to come from the state, in your opinion?

A We did not specifically calculate the difference in state, public -- public, private, and utility spending under that 238 million. However, I believe we do state later on that we support the wide variety of incentive programs that are available by the state. I believe they will continue to be supportive in achieving the goals of CEJA, as well as the potential

Veritext Legal Solutions

2.2

targets that are set out in these standards.

2.2

But, again, as I mentioned earlier, the planned and phased approach that is provided by what I'm assuming you're referring to the Advanced Clean Cars II standards, since that's the number we're referring to here, allows for private entities to take better accounting of where they need to invest.

So in the current state, private -- in the current state of the market, private entities are -- anticipating certain projections of electric vehicles or zero emission vehicles that are on the road and, therefore, investing accordingly.

With the planned and phased approach that's provided by the Advanced Clean Cars II rule, they will have much more specific and detailed information of when these vehicles are going to be on the road and, therefore, can invest accordingly.

So to answer your question no, we don't have a specific breakdown, but I anticipate that in large part that investment will come from a variety of private sources to help supplement the already existing funding from state and utilities.

Q Thank you. Follow up to Question 3B. Would you agree that if EV sales were to increase at the rate

required under the proposed ACC II, the installation of public chargers would have to match that pace?

2.2

A Can you clarify your question? Are you saying that for increases in ZEV sales that proportionally public charging would need to increase as well directly proportional to the amount of sales?

Q Well, I guess directly proportional would be a part of your opinion, but that is a correct restatement of the question.

A So, I don't believe that there is a direct proportional alignment between public charging needs and ZEV sales, and I think there's a variety of reasons for that. Mr. Cackette I am sure can speak to this more, but I can outline a couple of them here.

One, is that ERM assumes in its analysis that a majority of charging will occur under home charging scenarios. The second piece is they assume about 26 percent of charging will occur in a public -- that will be needed for public fast charging. So if ZEV sales are increasing, the mix of charging that's available is very dependent on the various market conditions or consumer desires for how they want to charge.

So, for example, there was a poll that cited in I believe Mr. Cackette's pre-filed answers that electric

Page 85 vehicle buyers before they buy an electric vehicle 1 2 believe they want more public charging, but after owning an electric vehicle, note that home charging feels what's 3 best for them. So it goes to show that behavior of 4 consumers is changing around these issues, and while we 5 6 might see public charging currently as a need to replace how we fill up at the gas tank, that might be very 7 different in a couple years. Just as the current 8 9 attitude towards charging is different than it was a few 10 years ago. 11 So, no, I don't agree that fast charger growth would need be directly proportional to a rise in ZEV 12 13 sales because there are many different ways people can charge their electric vehicles, not just fast charging. 14 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: I'm going to pause for a 15 second. We're at 11:54 right now. 16 17 So, Ms. Brown, we'll go to noon, then we'll a 18 break take for lunch, then we can continue with your questions if you still have some. 19 20 MS. BROWN: Sounds good. Thank you. Moving to Alliance's Question 4A, as in apple, 21 and your pre-filed response. 2.2 In your response you point out that Illinois 23

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

provides \$4,000 rebates to purchase new or used EVs.

Page 86 However, response only has been abbreviated through 2025. 1 2 Is that your understanding? The current -- yes, the 14 million that we cite 3 in that answer are appropriated in 2025, but I believe 4 the program is authorized beyond 2025. I think Witness 5 6 Urbaszewski will provide similar insight into that. 7 Just to note, that there have has been previous 8 year appropriations for the same program. We assume based on the Shulock report estimates 9 that total light-duty sales in model year 2029 will be 10 11 616,101 units. A 50 percent sales requirement would 12 amount to approximately 308,050 units. Is that roughly 13 correct? I'm sorry. What question are you referring to? 14 15 This is just a follow-up question on 16 Question 4A. 17 So I don't believe -- Sorry. Can you Α 18 clarify where those numbers you're citing from Shulock are being pulled from? In the answer I'm seeing those 19 20 are not referenced. Are you generally aware I guess at this point 21 that the Shulock report estimates -- estimates that total 2.2 23 light-duty sales in model year 2029 will be 616,101

units?

2.2

Page 87

A I would need to see the exact question that you're referring to. We do reference the Shulock numbers as they were an author of the ERM report, but I don't have the specific numbers in front of me so I'm not exactly sure.

Q Okay. We'll move on. Moving on to

Question 6B and your pre-filed response. To clarify your

pre-filed response, are you disputing that ACC II

regulations would culminate in a complete ban on the sale

of new vehicles that do not qualify as zero emission

vehicles starting in model year 2035?

A Not necessarily. It's just a manner of phrasing that we respond to the question. If you would like to phrase it as a complete ban, I would not use that phrasing, but the standard does note that 100 percent of new vehicle sales would need to be zero emission by 2035. If you would like to classify that as a ban, I guess I can't necessarily argue with that.

MS. BROWN: At this time, Hearing Officer, my next set of questions is quite a long string so it may be a good time to stop.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Sounds great.

Ms. Court Reporter, we'll go off the record now for lunch.

Weritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

(Off the record)

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: We're back on the record. It is 1:00 o'clock and we're going to start the public comment portion of today's hearing, and we'll begin with a list of names that were on the sign-up sheet back there. So I will start calling out the first name and second name. So if the first person could come up and sit at the table right here in front of the court reporter, there's a little microphone.

So I'll begin with calling out the first person. If you could keep your comment to 2 to 3 minutes. I'll be doing a timer on my phone here since we have so many people here today we'd like to get to everybody's comments.

And I'd like to remind everyone the Board weighs oral and written comment equally. If we don't get to your comment today, I deeply apologize. We will of course accept your written public comment, and we will have a second set of hearings where we'll have additional time for public comment at that second set of hearings, which we'll decide on the dates of those at the end of tomorrow's hearing. So we'll get started.

I'll start with Carolyn Bido. Then after that is Sheetal Rao.

Carolyn is here.

2.2

MS. BIDO: Good afternoon. My name is Carolyn Bido. I work in electric vehicle policy, and I thank the Board for providing the opportunity to talk about these important issues. I'm here today as a resident of Pilsen to fight for clean air rights and to address the disproportionate impact of the dirty diesel emissions in my and our communities.

My partner Justin Flores, which I'm sure you all remember, was here today earlier to testify. He has asthma, a result of growing up in a city with some of the worst quality air quality in the nation. He mentioned getting his medicine in a nearby Walgreens, but what he didn't mention was how frequent these trips have become, and how he sometimes finds himself using two inhalers in one month.

I live in constant fear of him having an asthma attack, especially with the heavy trucking activity in our neighborhood. In just 24 hours the Chicago Truck Data Portal created by the Center For Neighbor Technology counted 3,872 trucks to be exact passing through the intersection of Blue Island and Damen. That's a 10-minute walk from our home, and only 4 minutes from a elementary school and high school. This matters because

children's lungs are still developing making them even more vulnerable to the harmful effects of diesel pollution. But it's not all bad news. There's a solution right in front of you.

Clean Car and Truck Standards like the Advanced Clean Trucks rule, the Heavy Duty Omnibus Rule, and the ACC II, Advanced Clear Cars II rule, are policies that will bring zero emission trucks and vehicles to Illinois communities. These policies are already bringing positive results in other states and research supports the environmental, public health, and economic benefits it will bring to Illinois.

Zero emission cars and trucks are already being manufactured in Illinois and shipped to other states. Why are we not leveraging the unique opportunities and reaping their benefits? While the trucking and oil industry think this is just an Environmental Justice issue affecting marginalized communities, it's not. all need clean air to survive, and the resulting climate change and global warming holds no preference for who it affects as we have seen time and time again.

Remember when the sky turned orange in the summer of 2023, and have you noticed how warm the winters are becoming? This is your opportunity to act before

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

it's too late.

2.2

The incoming presidential administration plans to backtrack all the climate policy progress we've made. Illinois needs to continue to show up as a leading clean technology and environmental sustainability driven state.

I urge you to sign into law the Clean Cars and Trucks Standards for the health and safety of all Illinois residents, including yourselves.

Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much.

Miss Sheetal Rao.

DR. RAO:. My name is Dr. Sheetal Rao. I'm a primary care physician and assistant professor of internal medicine at UIC. I'm here to speak on behalf of my patients. Thank you so much for having this and for listening.

I have been practicing now for 15 years caring for adults in Cook and DuPage Counties. On every one of my clinic days, I treat chronic health conditions like lung disease, heart disease, and diabetes. Many of my patients have or have had cancer, and many of them have had strokes. I have two patients in their 40s I can think of off the top of my head who recently had massive strokes with zero traditional risk factors. Generally my

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

colleagues and I are seeing more cancer and more strokes in younger people than we ever did before.

2.2

You may wonder what this has to do with pollution or why I'm here today and not in my clinic. I'm here because medical care, or what I do, only accounts for about 10 to 20 percent of the modifiable contributors to health. The other 80 to 90 percent are social and environmental factors. So while I'm grateful to help people get healthier on an individual basis, when it comes to the health of communities, what I do is less important than where my patients live, what they eat, and whether or not they have clean air to breathe.

We know Illinois is the seventh most polluting state in the nation, and transportation is the main source of that carbon pollution. Cook and DuPage Counties where most of my patients live are in the top 1 percent of all counties in the country for diesel pollution. Diesel pollution contributes to and worsens most of the diseases I treat. It's why I'm here today to ask that you support all three of the Clean Car and Truck Standards.

Diesel fumes cause cancer. They contain particulate matter and contribute to ground level ozone, both of which lead to inflammation that worsens heart

disease, lung disease, and raises blood sugar leading to diabetes and obesity. It also causes strokes, even strokes in 40-year-olds like my patients.

Cook and DuPage County make up half the population of our state. That's a lot of lives, it's a lot of sickness and misery, and a lot of lost years and missed births and birthdays. It's also a lot of hours spent waiting at the doctor's office or pharmacy, and a lot of money spent on medications and hospitalizations.

Air pollution isn't something doctors like me can prescribe their way out of. Air pollution also makes my job harder. I took the Hippocratic oath to do no harm, but when I tell me patients with diabetes and obesity to get outside and walk more, they are being harmed because in Cook and DuPage they're breathing some of the worst air in the country.

There are valid concerns that regulating pollution will threaten jobs and commerce. Being able to put food on the table and a roof over your kid's head is obviously necessary for good health. But as many of my patients can tell you, it's hard to work when you're sick, it's hard to have money when you're spending it on your kid's asthma inhalers and ER visits.

Thank you for listening. I hope that you'll

2.2

support all three of the Clean Car and Truck Standards.

I don't want to see more 40 years olds with cancer or strokes. I want to confidently be able to tell my patients no matter where they live that they can go for a walk outside and that they'll be healthier for it.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much.

2.2

Next will be Jennifer Ryan, and after that Alex Peimer.

DR. RYAN: Hello. My name is Jennifer Ryan. I'm a physical therapist at Northwestern University and Assistant Professor.

Members of the Board, thank you for this opportunity to share how I view the need to support the Rule Proponents' regulatory proposal for the Clean Car and Truck Standards in relation to my expertise as a physical therapist, a profession who works with people who have impaired movement as a result of lung injury and illness.

I speak to you today breathing effortlessly. My patients who cannot breathe easily do not have that luxury. Reducing the amount of air pollution through your adoption of the Clean Car and Truck Standards can have an impact on the incidence of disease but also enhance the level of participation in daily life events

for people who already have the diseases due to or impacted by air pollution.

I'm asking you to consider how you can have a positive impact on supporting movement that allows one to enjoy simple tasks without having to think about their breathing.

Per the Respiratory Health Association report on the impact of diesel pollution, the levels of diesel engine pollution in Illinois are 21 percent greater than national levels, and reflect 1,019 tons per year of onroad engine air pollution, which we have opportunity to reduce and subsequently support the health and well-being of all people in Illinois if we take action and support the Rule Proponents' regulatory proposal which requests that the Board adopt Clean Car and Truck Standards.

The Clean Air Task Force projected a total of 24,601 missed workdays in Illinois in 2023 and 144,930 days of minor restricted activity where people need to change their normal activities because of poor health. That changes people's capacity to participate in society, to be leaders in their communities, to be leaders in their job, to support their children's education. Whether this is a result of people missing work because they had to stay home with their children who had

2.2

2.2

Page 96

debilitating asthma or a person who cannot perform the physical tasks of their work, we have the opportunity to reduce the amount of air pollution and keep people from being impacted in this way where they cannot follow through on their work and their family responsibilities. The level of air pollution is leading to reduced participation in health related quality of life.

As a physical therapist who works with patients who struggle to complete tasks of every day life, I urge you to see the opportunity to enhance the health related quality of life of all of Illinois.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much.

Next will be Alex Peimer, and Jenny Cassel.

MR. PEIMER: Good morning, everybody. My name is Dr. Alex Peimer, Professor of Geography and Environmental Studies at NEIU here in Chicago and Chicago resident, but that doesn't matter. The credentials are meaningless to why I'm here. It is my personal experience.

Until last year I suffered from debilitating at times but life-long chronic lung conditions. Then things got worse. In 2016, when I moved to Chicago the frequency, duration, and severity of my lung disease exacerbations increased. I was now getting ill, becoming ill in the summertime when I never was before. It was no

longer a seasonal problem, it was a year-round, two to six, times, eight weeks, then I'd have a couple months of better before the next bout. It got so bad that the pulmonologist said, well, the best we can estimate is you have a 15 percent chance of survival of 4 years. So in April of 2023 I had a double lung transplant. And lung transplants are not cures. I am immunocompromised, hence the mask. I cannot go outside freely on many, many days of the year, regardless of the air quality index because, for example, it doesn't account for dust blowing in the wind in the summertime and near construction or demolition sites, for example.

This has limited my ability to live a quality of life that I thought I would be able to. And I want to really emphasize also I'm masked right now, but as we saw during the wild fire smoke, it doesn't matter if you're inside or outside. The air was affected indoors. It smelled like cigarettes. It exacerbated my recovery.

So I think that this is really a question of justice, but really also just basic principles of the values of the State of Illinois. I'm one of the lucky ones. 100,000 people -- more than a 100,000 people die every year of COPD, which is exacerbated by everything that I went through, for example.

2.2

Our lungs are always exposed to the environment. You cannot stop them from inhaling that which is around you. COVID-19, remember that, is still a thing. And respiratory illnesses are two of the top causes of death -- not the two top, but two of the top causes of death here in Illinois. I think one of the top might be heart disease, all of which are exacerbated by poor air quality.

And I lived in a quote, unquote, clean air part of the city on the north side that doesn't have the extensive amount of ongoing particulate matter and ozone pollution of the south and west sides that are now having fast track businesses coming in that are only increasing the amount of diesel pollution in these neighborhoods.

We have the tools. Clean Diesel Standards work. They don't cut jobs, they grow jobs. We're seeing job growth in the renewable sector outpacing many of the traditional energy fields.

We need government at all levels to act, and right now we're here speaking to you asking you for the will to act. The knowledge, the information is there.

We need the will.

My median life expectancy right now is about 10 years. The quality of life during that time depends on

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

2.2

Page 99 many things. We've heard -- it's only a little bit up to 1 2 What's around me can affect me so much. So I can sit inside 10 years and say the transplant was good, or I 3 can be in a state that values the lives of its citizens 4 even though to move here then become so ill they need a 5 6 transplant. 7 So please adopt these Clean Vehicle Standards, 8 not just for me, but for anyone else who is suffering and will continue to suffer as the rates of asthma 9 exacerbation and asthma onset are increasing at younger 10 11 and younger ages as well. 12 Thank you for listening and letting me speak 13 today. I appreciate it. 14 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much. 15 Next will be Jenny Cassel. After that, Alex 16 Veloz. MS. CASSEL: Good morning. Members of the Board, 17 staff. My name is Jenny Cassel. May of you all may know 18 me as an attorney with Earthjustice who appears before 19 20 you today. I'm testifying as a Chicago resident. I'm here to ask you to adopt the Advanced Clean 21 Trucks rule, the Heavy Duty Low Nox Omnibus rule, and 2.2 23 Advanced Clean Cars II rule. I grew up with and still suffer from asthma. 24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

know far too well what it's like not to be able to breathe, not to run freely with others, to keep my parents up in the middle of the night, and on the worst nights, to head to the emergency room. I know what it's like to panic from that inhaler you're not quite sure where you put, to wonder if I've taken the right medications, to hope for better medications. This is in large part why I became an environmental attorney.

Dirty trucks, buses, vans and cars, as we all know, are major contributors to very harmful air pollution. Those that burn diesel chug vast quantities of nox particulate matter and greenhouse gases into our air. That smelly, thick, black smoke pumping from their exhaust pipes is hurting everyone in its wake, and low income, warehouse-choked communities bear the brunt of that pollution on top of all the other industrial gunk, colash, and so much more that they often are subject to.

There are far better options. For a long time cleaner vehicles were not available. Now, they are. Electric trucks, buses, cars and far stronger pollution controls have been developed and are commercially available. These good, readily purchasable options will massively reduce hospital visits as you've heard from so many of my fellow commenters, will reduce missed days of

school, and will reduce those moments when terrified parents scramble to help their wheezing children.

2.2

Illinois can and must do better. Many other states -- many other states -- in fact, nearly all others that would be colored blue on our current political coloring book have adopted these rules to protect their communities. We know that the next federal administration is going to do everything it can to rip apart protections against air pollution, water pollution, and any other kind of pollution among many other things. Illinois cannot be a climate and justice leader without adopting these rules. They are an imperative and viable first step toward a better future for me and everyone else. Please adopt the rules.

Thank you very much.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Next will be Alex Veloz, and then after that will be Mimi Guiracocha.

MR. VELOZ: Good afternoon, members of the Board.

My name is Alex Veloz. I reside in Elmhurst in DuPage

County. I'm a health economist focused on understanding how to make the most out of our healthcare dollars.

I'm here today to strongly urge the adoption of the three sets of rules and regulations proposed to curb

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 12/17/2024

Page 102

vehicle emissions and protect public health. The scientific consensus is clear, and you're almost certainly more familiar with the environmental components than I am. Air pollution, particularly from diesel and other vehicle emissions, is a major contributor to respiratory and cardiovascular disease. Diesel exhaust is identified as a Group 1 carcinogen contains particulate matter and nitrogen that exacerbate conditions such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and heart disease.

What is not always discussed in this context is that the economic burden associated with management -- managing these illnesses is substantial. Studies find that healthcare costs and lost productivity due to air pollution-related illnesses cost our economy over 820 billion annually. In Illinois alone, the costs of treating and managing asthma, just asthma, exceeds \$1.3 billion every year. This figure is driven by emergency room visits, hospitalizations, medications, and missed work and school days. So reducing emissions at their source through measures like those proposed today can directly mitigate these costs.

The proposed rules will not only improve public health, but also provide a net economic benefit.

2.2

Economic analysis of similar policies in other states find that every dollar invested in reducing vehicle emissions yield between 3- and \$6 in healthcare savings and productivity gains on the conservative side. findings underscore that adopting cleaner vehicle standards is not just a regulatory and public health necessity, but a physically -- fiscally responsible action.

I'm also encouraged by the opportunity presented for our state to lead in addressing environmental justice. Low-income communities and communities of color disproportionately bear the burden of vehicle emissions due to their proximity to highways and industrial zones. And studies show that reducing diesel pollution leads to immediate and significant health improvement in these vulnerable populations, further supporting the equitable policy outcomes that I know our state is invested in.

So by implementing these regulations, Illinois can position itself as a leader in health-focused environmental policy while reducing long-term healthcare expenses and improving productivity. These are policies that protect health, promote equity, and make economic sense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And so as an invested resident, I urge you to adopt these critical rules to protect our air, our health, and our economic future.

2.2

Thank you for your time and consideration.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much.

Next will be Mimi Guiracocha, and after that Andres Rizo.

DR. GUIRACOCHA. This is Alvi. That is what your lungs look like if you didn't know. Okay.

Well, good afternoon, everyone. My name is Dr. Mimi Guiracocha. I'm a doctorally prepared public health care nurse, a resident of Chicago, southwest side of Pilsen, I'm a member of the 25th ward IPO, as well as the nationwide director of Health Systems Improvement for the American Lung Association where I work with healthcare professionals and healthcare systems across the whole country.

Thank you for the opportunity today to speak with you in support of the Pollution Control Board's adoption of the proposed Clean Car and Truck Standards for Illinois. This issue is one that is deeply personal to both me and my community.

In my Pilsen neighborhood, we endure some of the heaviest truck traffic in Chicago, which is a burning

cause by proximity to industrial corridors, as well as polluters. As one of my fellow commenters said, in just 24 hours there is 3,872 trucks that pass by an intersection that's just 3 blocks from my home. That's 161 per hour or almost 3 per minute.

2.2

Now in the middle of the night in my home, the ground trembles and shakes as the diesel trucks roll by, which is a daily reminder of the pollution that we're forced to live with, but it's not just an inconvenience, it's actually a health crisis.

Studies show that children that grow up and live near high traffic areas are twice as likely to develop asthma. And I felt that impact myself since moving to Pilsen. What started as a mild cold for me has now turned into some lingering lung issues that have taken me to urgent care and to my primary care provider who has now prescribed me inhalers just to be able to breathe.

Through my work at the American Lung

Association, I teach healthcare professionals how to

follow guideline-based care for managing diseases like

asthma. These are evidence-based strategies that help

improve the outcome of patients, which is great, and I

have providers and healthcare systems that are

2.2

Page 106

passionate, they're eager, they are ready to make those changes. Unfortunately, though, no matter how closely they follow guidelines, and how effective the medications are, there's no inhaler and there's no treatment that could protect the child with asthma who is forced to live in polluted air that's caused by heavy trucking while they walk to school or just play outside like kids tend to do.

The problem goes deeper than individual health, however. It's also systemic. Polluters are disproportionately located in predominantly black and brown and poor communities, neighborhoods like mine. This is a result of decades of systemic racism and health inequities that have left families like mine to bear the brunt of harmful air pollution, and, unfortunately, transportation remains a leading contributor to this crisis.

Heavy-duty trucking, while a small percentage of the vehicles on the road, produce a disproportionate share of ozone and particulate pollution. These emissions worsen asthma, COPD and other respiratory conditions, especially for those of who live near industrial corridors.

I'll let other shares the detail of statistics

like how more than 70 percent of people of color in Illinois live in counties with failing air quality grades, despite only making up 40 percent of the population, but what I want to emphasize is this. These data points are actual people and they're actual lives, children that miss school because of asthma flare-ups, parents that rush to the ER during those air quality alerts, and neighbors like mine -- neighborhoods like mine that struggle with respiratory issues that really shouldn't exist.

But, thankfully, the solution is within reach, and you have the power to help to get there. So by adopting these standards, Illinois can reduce harmful emissions and improve the quality of life for all its residents. These standards will also create a critical market signal to support the transition to cleaner transportation. This movement — this moment demands urgent action. Pollution doesn't wait. It infiltrates homes as well as lungs, disproportionately harming low income communities and families of color. So for every year that we delay, remember that thousands more lives are put at risk to illnesses like asthma, heart disease, and even to premature death.

I strongly support the request and petition to

adopt Clean Vehicle Standards. This decision is about public health, and I say that as a public health expert. Equity and the environment.

So thank you for your time and the important work that you all do to protect communities like mine.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Next is Andres Rizo. Then after that, Diego Morales.

If possible, please try to keep your comments between 2 and 3 minutes so everybody can finish.

MR. RIZO: Good afternoon, members of the Board. My name is Andres Rizo with Pilsen Neighbors Community Council.

Pilsen is a resilient and diverse community on Chicago's lower westside, home to families, workers and students of all ages, many of whom are immigrants or from historically marginalized backgrounds.

While our neighborhood is rich in culture and history, we have been burdened with environmental injustices for decades. Outdated zoning laws have turned Pilsen into a hub for industrial activity, and heavy diesel traffic cuts through our streets daily. In a study conducted in partnership between the Little Village Environmental Justice Organization and the Center For Neighborhood Technology, among others, and as other

2.2

previous speakers have pointed out, 3,872 trucks and buses were counted at the intersection of south Damen Avenue and south Blue Island Avenue during a single 24-hour period.

To provide further context, this community's predominantly Latino. 78 percent of residents are people of color, 69 percent are Latino, 18 percent are under the age of 18, and 10 percent are over the age of 65. This constant pollution impacts the health and safety of our residents. Personally I face these challenges walking to work, dodging trucks, breathing in toxic diesel fumes and feeling unsafe as large vehicles dominate our neighborhood streets.

Many in our community, especially children and seniors, suffer from asthma and other respiratory issues that are directly tied to this pollution. This is why I strongly support the Pollution Control Board adopting Clean Cars and Trucks Standards. These standards are a crucial step toward reducing harmful emissions, improving air quality, and ensuring environmental justice for communities like Pilsen that have borne the brunt of these issues for far too long. We need policies that prioritize health, equity, and safety for all residents.

I urge the Board to take this opportunity to

2.2

Page 110 make a real difference for Pilsen communities across 1 Illinois. Thank you for your time and for considering the 3 need of communities like ours. 4 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. So next 5 6 will be Diego Morales. After that, Rose Gomez. 7 I'll go back to them at the end if there's 8 time. 9 Next, Keya Patel. Then after you will be Zitlaloi Paez. 10 11 MS. PATEL: Good afternoon. My name is Keya, and I'm a volunteer with the Sierra Club, as well as an 12 13 undergraduate student studying bioengineering at the 14 University of Illinois. 15 I'm here because I care about the health and the well-being of Illinois residents and believe everyone 16 17 has a right to clean air. In addition to my 18 environmental advocacy, I work as a patient care technician at a local hospital in the emergency 19 20 department near my home. The summer of 2023 I saw firsthand how the 21 smoke from Canadian wild fires affected residents across 2.2 23 the Chicagoland area. Patients, especially those with asthma or other respiratory conditions, came in 24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

struggling to breathe, their conditions aggravated by the polluted air.

2.2

Witnessing the direct impact of poor air quality on my community's health has strengthened my resolve to advocate for policies that limit pollution, protect vulnerable populations, and ensure cleaner air across Illinois. Illinois is the seventh most CO2 polluting state in the US with transportation being the leading source of emissions.

Pollution from transportation, especially diesel, has devastating health effects, including increased risks of lung and bladder cancer, heart disease, and respiratory conditions. These impacts are disproportionately felt by minority communities in Illinois where air pollution exacerbates existing health inequities. It is clear that transportation pollution must be addressed to protect public health and promote environmental justice.

The solution lies in adopting Clean Vehicle
Standards which have already been successfully
implemented in other states. These include the advanced
Clean Air Trucks Act, which is projected to prevent 502
deaths in the case of childhood asthma while improving
air quality for residents of color. The heavy-duty

omnibus Low Nox rule will set stricter pollution limits for new diesel engines and improve air quality for communities located near warehouses and ports.

2.2

Advanced Clean Cars II requires that 100 percent of new vehicle sales be zero emission by 2035. By implementing these measures, Illinois can reduce pollution and improve pubic health and address environmental disparities.

The need to act is urgent. Climate change is intensifying with more extreme weather events and worsening air quality becoming the norm. With the potential return of a federal administration hostile to environmental protections, state level action is critical to ensure Illinois continues to progressing towards cleaner air and healthier communities.

We need to adopt these Clean Vehicle Standards now. By doing so Illinois will play a vital role in protecting public health, advancing environmental justice, and combating the largest source of CO2 pollution in our state ultimately making a lasting difference for Illinois residents.

Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Next will be Zitlaloi Paez. Then after that Emmanuel Garcia.

2.2

Page 113

MS. PAEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Zitlaloi, and I support the Illinois Pollution Control Board in adopting the Clean Car and Vehicle Standards because my neighbors and I deserve clean air. A recent study, as stated before, has found that in a 24-hour timeframe around 3,800 trucks moved through the intersection of Damen and Blue Island in the Pilsen neighborhood, approximately eight blocks away from my house.

As a life-long resident of Pilsen, I was not surprised as I'm usually biking, walking, waiting for the bus or driving through the Pilsen industrial corridor.

Most of my life I was able to overcome the challenges that came with living in the high truck traffic area, such as traffic, loud noises, visibility issues when driving. But following a COVID infection in late 2020 and developing long COVID, the impacts of diesel pollution have taken a greater toll on my health.

At the beginning of the year, I was working at a high school located on Western and Blue Island. Every day I went to work I was with hit with the strong diesel smell from the trucks passing through Western, a street that sees many diesel trucks causing me to experience extreme headaches dizziness, strong allergies, difficulties breathing, and chest pain. Ultimately this

2.2

Page 114

forced me to quit that job because I could not go through my day-to-day activities. Since then I have been more aware of truck patterns in specific intersections and neighborhood.

This summer I was a Safe Ambassador for the Department of Transportation. This required me to bike in different neighborhoods in the City. The comparison of biking in a northern neighborhood of Chicago like Lincoln Park versus biking in a southwest neighborhood like Archer Heights is undeniably different because of diesel truck pollution. Archer Heights saw 5,000 trucks in 24 hours.

The difference is a -- is a consequence of racist planning practices that have designated industrial zones and communities where there are more people of color. For example, when I coordinated a bike ride for high school students with a school primarily of Hispanic population, our options were limited to only 63rd Street because everywhere else had too much truck congestion. I did not want teams to inhale the immense amount of diesel exhaust or get hit by a truck.

Biking in the southwest side of Chicago has made me and people in my community feel very unsafe, physically and mentally. There are limited bike lanes

2.2

Page 115

which force me to bike on the sidewalk or next to the diesel trucks. When you bike behind them, the diesel exhaust hits your nose directly. You can see the cloud of gray smoke hit your face. The smell is nauseating and makes you want to cough. If one diesel truck can cause immediate health issues, a thousand plus diesel trucks are definitely killing our people, people in our neighborhood slowly.

By adopting the Clean Vehicle and Truck rules, the quality of life of children and adults living in Pilsen and other communities of color can significantly improve. I urge the Board to not waste more time and adopt these rules today.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much. Next will be Emmanuel Garcia. Then after that Edith Tovar.

MR. GARCIA: Hi. My name is Emmanuel Garcia. I live in Belmont Cragin on the northwest side. I was born and raised in Chicago my whole life. I don't come from a wealthy family. We have one car for six people. My mother, me, and my sister are forced to go walking and sometimes take public transportation to get places since my dad takes the car to work since he works outside the Chicagoland area.

When I go to school, I take a car, bus, and

Page 116 train. Every day I smell diesel and gas. It's a known 1 2 smell for me now. Throughout the years I have never had lung-related complications until about a month or two ago 3 when shortness of breath and dry coughs were constant. 4 Me and my mom got worried, and I went to get checked out. 5 6 According to the doctor, I now have asthma. Now every time I leave my house I have to carry 7 8 three essential things, my phone, my wallet, and my now an inhaler. Now every day when I go outside I have to have that bad thought in the back of my head if I would 10 11 ever get an asthma attack and if I would ever have to use 12 my inhaler multiple times. 13 I am a junior in high school, and more than half of my junior class has asthma, and that's almost 14 over a hundred students. I think to myself now is my 15 family safe on taking public transport with gas cars and 16 17 diesel trains and to walk around Chicago with factories, 18 with chemical fumes up in the air and trucks emitting

9

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Use your power to pass the Clean Car and Trucks rules. Our lives depend on it.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much.

diesel fuels up in the air.

Next we have Edith Tovar, and after that Ana Solano.

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

MS. TOVAR: Good afternoon. Thank you for receiving my public comment. My name is Edith Tovar.

I'm a senior just transitioned community organizer with the Little Village Environmental Justice Organization based in Chicago.

2.2

I'm here to support the Illinois Pollution

Control Board adopting the Clean Car and Truck Standards

which have already been adopted in other states.

I grew up in the Little Village community located on the southwest side of Chicago. Navito (phonetic) was a former home of one of the dirtiest coal plants across the City, the Crawford Coal plant, which operated before 90 years after getting before in 2012.

Later that year a Harvard School public health study linked public health impacts by emission from the Crawford coal plants, also owned and operated by Midwest Generation, it stated that many -- it stated what many residents already knew about the public health crisis in our neighborhood, up to 41 premature deaths, 550 emergency room visits, and 2800 asthma attacks annually.

I can state that my family was one of those thousands of families that repeatedly had to take a long run to the ER because of severe asthma attack. It's such a scary, dramatic experience. What's worse in the last

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.2

Page 118

decade our community has seen a rapid increase in the low warehouse developments. This is not the transition our community demanded when we shut down the dirty coal plants.

Instead corporate developers like the HRP Group ignored community demands and built the Exchange
Warehouse, the largest logistics facility in the city.
The Exchange has the warehouse tenant Target Corporation, which has also ignored the demand to transition their Target fleet to a less polluting fleet.

The tides are turning though in a major societal benefit to adopting the new Clean Car and Truck Standards that it reduces the diesel pollution from the transportation sector. The corporate sector needs to invest in transitioning their fleet from diesel to electric trucks to help reduce these emissions. Not only is it a benefit to our environment, but it is also a benefit to the well-being and health of our neighbor force, as well as the 25,000 residents that live less than one mile away from this specific site.

In conclusion, I urge the Illinois Pollution

Control Board to adopt Clean Car and Truck Standards.

These rules will help reduce pollutants in the air,

hopefully reduce the public health burden our communities

are living with, and -- hopefully reduce the public health burdens on our communities living in areas concentrated within the industrial corridors like the Little Village community. We hope that we bring these standards to the State of Illinois.

Thank you.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Next is Ana Solano. Then after that will be Nadia Unzueta Carrasco.

MS. SOLANO: Hello. My name is Ana Solano. I live in Little Village, and I was not aware that it was not normal to live in such close proximity to industry, and upon accepting my role at LVEJO, the Little Village Justice Organization, I did not know that Little Village's air quality is one of the worst in the state.

I co-founded a walk club with my colleague, and we were inspired to do so after conducting mobile air monitoring. When we conducted mobile air monitoring, it was astounding to visibly see the high numbers of particulate matter shoot up in a significant way as we passed by large trucks. It saddens me to hear about the rise of respiratory illnesses in my community, but it's even sadder because this could be preventable.

Adopting the Clean Vehicle standards is the

right thing to do. Thank you.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much.

Next will be Nadia Unzueta Carrasco, and then after that Carolina Macias.

MS. CARRASCO: Good afternoon. My name is Nadia Carrasco. And I currently work for LVEJO. I've lived in Little Village since 1994. I'm here because Little Village is one of the multiple communities across the state that is highly impacted by diesel and motor engine pollution. I spent a lot of time outside in and out around Little Village from working at the garden to supporting the team at the farm at Lavita Park to biking and walking around the neighborhood.

Sometimes at work because we are in a neighborhood that is part of one of the industrial corridors of the city, there are trucks that keep their engines on as they wait to load. Even though I put on a mask and move away from the area, I can feel the pollution on my skin, and on hot summer days as I bike from place to place, I avoid some of the larger streets when possible because when I do not, I get a lung full of car or truck smoke with every breath I take.

I know that I am lucky to have a job where I can prioritize my well-being and that of my coworkers,

2.2

Page 121

but I'm also aware that is not the case for many of my fellow residents, and that there are sources of pollution that are either not regulated or accumulating in our neighborhood. I'm also very aware that we have many community residents whose immune systems are already working overtime from different kind of pollutants and stressors.

One of my coworkers made a comment to me early in the year that almost everyone on our team has a relative who has been diagnosed with cancer. Over the last 2 years, one of my parents, my coworker's daughter, another coworker's father-in-law, another coworker's cousin.

These are loved ones. While there are many factors that are out of our control, out of your control, these ones, these factors that will reduce harmful emissions, and some of our most overburdened communities, these are in your control.

You can adopt these key rules, the Advanced Clean Truck, Advanced Clean Cars II, and Heavy Duty No Nox Omnibus to carve out a present and future that will support the well-being of our already heavily his -- historically burdened with pollution neighborhood and communities.

This is within your control, and I urge you to adopt these rules.

Thank you for your time.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much. Next will be Carolina Macias. And then after that, Brenda Santoya.

MS. MACIAS: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is
Carolina Macias. I am the senior majority Justice
Mobility Research Organizer at the Little Village -Little Village Justice Organization, and resident of the
Town of Cicero.

I am submitting this comment in support of the adopting the Clean Vehicle and Truck rules in Illinois.

These rules are pivotal -- a pivotal step toward protecting public health, improving air quality, and addressing the disproportionate impact of diesel pollution on overburdened communities across the state.

I grew up in Little Village, a vibrant and resilient community that also bears the burden of being home to one of Chicago's largest industrial corridors.

Medium and heavy-duty trucks constantly flow through our streets making truck pollution or diesel pollution an unavoidable part of our daily life. A recent truck count study by the Center for Neighborhood Technology and the

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Little Village Environmental Justice Organization recorded over 3,100 trucks passing through 31st and Pulaski Road in just 4 hours. The -- this intersection is just two blocks away from my childhood home where my family lived for over 20 years. Now imagine the staggering amount of diesel emissions released over weeks, months, and years saturating the air we breathe affecting the health of thousands.

This pollution isn't just a statistic for me, it's personal. My mother and younger brother both developed asthma when I was a child. One winter night when I was 9 years old, my mother woke me up because my seven-year-old brother was having a severe asthma attack. We rushed him to the hospital, and I will never forget the terror of watching him struggle to breathe. That moment shaped my childhood. I became hypervigilant always ensuring that he had his inhaler or nebulizer nearby just in case. Our story is not unique. It is lived -- it is the lived experience of countless families in heavily industrialized communities on Chicago's south and west sides.

A 2021 report by the Respiratory Health
Association on racial disparities in childhood asthma
revealed that black children ages 5 to 19 were four times

2.2

more likely to be hospitalized for asthma compared to their white peers.

2.2

This disparity has grown by 9 percent since 2016. These numbers represent more than data points. They reflect years of neglect and systemic inequities that continue to harm the health of low income and working class residents. The vehicle rules -- the Clean Vehicle rules offer a pathway to change.

Diesel pollution doesn't just affect the communities where trucks operate. It also harms the drivers who are exposed to harmful emissions inside their truck cabins for hours on end. By adopting these rules, Illinois can protect the health of residents and workers while reducing the long term environmental and economic cost of diesel emissions. This is an opportunity to prioritize the health and well-being of communities that have experienced the brunt of diesel pollution for far too long.

I urge you to vote in favor of the Clean

Vehicle and Truck Rules and take this critical step

toward a cleaner and healthier more equitable Illinois.

Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Next will be Brenda Santoya, then Christina Hamilton.

2.2

Page 125

MS. SANTOYA: Hello. My name is Brenda Santoya, and I'm the Water Policy Manager at the Little Village Environmental Justice Organization.

As someone who grew up near an industrial corridor in Cicero and now works with the community of Little Village, I have seen firsthand how environmental pollution, especially toxic air, takes a heavy toll on families. I urge the Board to adopt the proposed Clean Car and Truck Standards, not just for environmental reasons, but for the survival and the well-being of our families who are already struggling with the burden of pollution and unsafe drinking water.

At LVEJO, I lead workshops on lead water contamination, teaching residents about the dangers of lead in their drinking water. In these sessions I hear from parents who are desperately trying to protect their children from environmental hazards that they have no control over while also struggling to make ends meet.

Many families are already overwhelmed by the challenges of dealing with lead exposure and other environmental health risks. Pollution from diesel trucks and heavy industry only compounds to their daily struggles. Families in Little Village are barely holding on, living with constant anxiety about their children's

health, knowing that the air that they breathe and water that they drink are harming them.

2.2

In our community, nearly every resident that I meet knows someone with asthma or another respiratory illness. The presence of diesel trucks, factories, and the constant exposure to polluted air leaves us vulnerable to serious health issues, many of which are preventable. I have seen families torn apart by the impact of pollution, children who miss school due to chronic asthma, adults who struggle to breathe and work in jobs that expose them to even more toxins, and this reality is unacceptable.

The Clean Car and Truck Standards represents a critical step towards changing this. By reducing harmful diesel pollution and promoting zero emission vehicles, these standards will not only protect the health of families in Little Village but also contribute to a cleaner, safer environmental for all.

Beyond the health benefits, the economic potential is clear. Electric vehicles are less expensive to operate and maintain and the shift to clean transportation will create new jobs and opportunities and create industries across Illinois.

The decision is more than just about a policy.

2.2

Page 127

It's about the right of every person in Illinois, especially those in vulnerable communities, to breathe clean air and to live in a healthy environment regardless of their socioeconomic status.

I strongly urge the Board to adopt the Clean Car and Truck Standards and take meaningful action to protect our children, families, and our future generations.

Thank you for your time and your consideration.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Next will
be Christina Hamilton, then Jim Fairman.

We called Rose Gomez earlier, but I believe she is here, then we'll go to Christina.

MS. GOMEZ: R-O-S-E, Gomez, G-O-M-E-Z. So I'm here because I believe it's very important for us to pass of course these standards which are important to each and every one of us, which are affected each and every day as Chicagoans as residents of this city.

I really believe that this is the right thing to do not only for ourselves, but for our children. We have -- we have people that we care about and we just can't think about ourselves. We have to think about our future generations that are having -- that are going to be impacted by our decisions today. We know that this is

the right thing to do. We can't be -- why are we so -we have to do the right thing, we have to think about the
future. I already know what the right thing to do is,
that's why I'm here.

I hope that you also do the right thing, and that's why I'm here. This is important to each and every one of us that is here. I really believe that what decisions that we make today is going to have a grave impact on what we — on what we will be facing in the future. I mean, I could keep going on and on and on and on. It's very serious, and I really believe that you guys will do the right thing, not only for the people that are sitting here, but for your own self because everyone has a stake in this.

Thank you.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much.

MS. HAMILTON: Good afternoon. My name is Christina Hamilton. I'm the Illinois Advocacy Director for the American Lung Association. Thanks for the opportunity to provide comments today. The Lung Association is the oldest voluntary public health organization in the country, currently representing 36 million people living with lung diseases, including 1.4 million here in Illinois. The Lung Association works to save lives by

improving lung health and preventing lung disease through research, education, and advocacy.

We support the adoption of Clean Vehicle
Standards, the Advanced Clean Trucks, Heavy Duty No Nox
Omnibus, and Advanced Clean Cars II because of the air
quality and lung health benefits these standards will
provide.

Air pollution affects the health of everyone, but it is particularly harmful for children, older adults, and people living with pre-existing conditions.

Ozone and particle pollutions can cause various respiratory and cardiovascular illnesses such as asthma attacks, worsening chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, heart attacks, and strokes.

The transition to zero emission transportation will provide critical health benefits to all residents and directly address disparities in our most overburdened and vulnerable communities that we've heard so much about today. Our Zeroing In On Healthy Air report found that policies supporting a transition to zero emission transportation powered by a clean electric grid in Illinois could generate \$59.5 billion in public health benefits, 5,410 avoided deaths, 138,000 avoided asthma attacks, and 670,000 avoided lost workdays.

2.2

Reducing emissions from the transportation sector has large public support. The Lung Association released a national poll earlier this year that show voters overwhelmingly support the US EPA's efforts to implement stronger limits on carbon pollution from trucks and buses with 76 percent of voters indicating that new limit would have a positive impact on the quality of the air we breathe.

I urge you to support the request in the petition and adopt the Clean Vehicle Standards, Advanced Clean Cars II, Advanced Clean Trucks, and Heavy Omnibus.

Thank you.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much. I'll just go off the record for one moment.

(Off the record)

MR. FAIRMAN: Hello, and good afternoon. My name is Jim Fairman. I have been a 20-year resident of Lincolnshire in Chicago's northwest suburbs. I'd like to share my perspective on the use of electric vehicle technology and the importance of an aggressive Illinois transition from fossil fuel to electric-powered vehicles.

I have been a plug-in hybrid vehicle owner for 4 years and a battery electric vehicle owner for 18 months. My EV has been used effectively both as a daily

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

driver and for long-distance travel, demonstrating to me the EVs are currently mature enough to replace most gasoline vehicle use.

2.2

My own experience has confirmed that EV cost of ownership to be lower than gasoline-powered vehicle equivalent. The fact that the savings I have achieved by eliminating gasoline costs exceeds the steeped depreciation costs during the vehicle's first years of ownership. My depreciation offset by avoided gasoline costs it's like I'm driving a car that never depreciates.

I started my EV journey looking for a vehicle 4 years ago, and I found myself frustrated by the limited selection in Illinois. The best rated EV on the market at that time was available in states with less commitments to EV transitions, but it was not available in Illinois. Two years passed before that vehicle was finally available in Illinois.

If aggressive mandates for EV sales growth lag other states, Illinois consumers will continue to be limited in their EV choices compared to residents of other states.

I live less than a mile west of US 294. Living so close to that expressway I'm concerned about the increase in diesel particulate matter associated with

truck traffic. As Illinoisans, we proudly recognize the strength of Chicago's global transportation and logistics businesses, but every year the growth of this industry punishes its residents with more pollution in our communities. Every year the growth of the e-commerce and home delivery of goods increases the volume of truck traffic and pollution. Growth in Chicago's logistic industry has consumed 44 million square feet of new warehouse space in the past 2 years, including a brand new 232-acre facility opened in Glenview along the west side of 294. Just 4 miles north of that location and also adjacent to the community where I live, an additional warehouse and logistics facility was planned bordering 294 in Deerfield.

Residents of Deerfield were sufficiently concerned about exhaust emission increases that they blocked this plan and have now prohibited any future logistics facility construction within that city's boundaries. If EV truck regulations had been in place, the growth of one of Illinois's core businesses might not have been stymied by citizens who are deeply concerned with the health impact of diesel particulate matter.

It's worth noting that transition EV truck use which has begun in Illinois, led by one of the country's

2.2

2.2

Page 133

e-commerce and logistics giants, this business is well-known for its extreme profitability and cost-cutting focus, so we should take heed of its roll out of 100,000 -- that's 100,000 all electric vehicles trucks for their delivery services. This is a bellwether example as showing Illinois that EV technology is the low cost option and the future for local and mid-distance trucking. Let's make the future now in Illinois.

Thank you very much.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Next will

11 be Lili Scales, and then after that is Jizelle Torres.

MS. SCALES: Good afternoon to the Pollution Control Board Chairman, members and staff. Thank you for the opportunity to speak on such an important issue that personally affects me, my family, and my community neighbors.

My name is Lili, and I'm a resident of Pilsen, a community blighted by diesel emissions from heavy-duty trucks. I live near the Stevenson, I-55 expressway, that thousands of heavy trucks use daily, which means that my family and my neighbors are disproportionately being affected by pollution from diesel engines just by the proximity of living to the highway.

When I take a walk to my neighborhood coffee

shop, I smell the diesel exhaust. When I take my son to the park, we breathe in diesel exhaust. When hundreds of kids get let out of school from my neighborhood, they are overburdened by diesel exhaust, either from the school buses they are jumping on or from the CTA buses that they are riding to get home, or from the onslaught of traffic pollution from heavy-duty trucks. We're exposed to chemicals that contribute to cancer and other major health issues disproportionately. The diesel pollution is omnipresent. There is no relief for us.

As a resident living near the highway, I'm at higher risk of cancer. It's unfathomable to think that just by living in my neighborhood my quality of air is quite literally contributing severe and short-term health effects, and not just for me, but for the nearly 50,000 neighbors living in the 25th Ward, and the thousands more living near freeways filled with diesel trucks and near warehouses.

You know who else is at risk? The warehouse workers and the truckdrivers, who are even more closely exposed to diesel pollution. That's why I'm asking for better protections for those very truckdrivers' health and the health of the thousands of community members that breathe the air that has become so toxic that it affects

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

2.2

our daily lives.

2.2

The ask is clear. I implore the Pollution

Control Board to adopt the Clean Vehicle standards and address tailpipe emissions so that there could be reduction of pollutants in the air and finally bring relief to communities who live in concentrated diesel pollution like mine.

Illinois can enact the Clean Vehicle standards and continue being unwaivered in fighting for the protections of all its residents. Let's adopt these standards so that communities like mine can be beneficiaries of greater health benefit. We deserve to breathe in cleaner air no matter how far or how close we live off of the highways. We need clear air now. There are lives -- our lives depending on your action. Please adopt the Clean Vehicle Standards.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much.

Next is Jizelle Torres, then after that is -- I can't read the first name, I'm sorry, but last name is Butuyan.

MS. TORRES: Hello, everyone. My name is Jizelle
Torres. I'm the climate energy program assistant at
Prairie River Network. Growing up in Cook County, I was
always aware it was a car centric place. As a kid I felt

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

the inconvenience of it, the inability to walk places or easily take public transit making getting a car feel like a necessity.

Once I grew older, the frustration of busy roads and long traffic delays seemed like just another thing I had to endure, however, that I've had the privilege to educate myself and work in the field of environmental sustainability, I have gained more perspective. Taking a step back, I realize how frequently cars are used on a daily basis, and when multiplied by Cook County residents, the impact is staggering.

When I learned that Cook County ranks in the top 1 percent of air pollution nationwide, I was deeply shaken. I have lived in the northwest suburbs for most of my life, and I have come to realize how insulated I have been from the worst of its effects. But now through my own experiences, I have seen first hand what it's like to live in a heavily polluted environment.

My boyfriend lives in Gage Park, just two blocks from a truck port, and when we step outside for a simple walk to the grocery store we have to pass the intersection of Western and 59th. The air reeks of fumes, the noise from the trucks is deafening, and

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.2

standing at the intersection we have counted more than 10 trucks passing by in just a few seconds. This experience is overwhelming for me, but for the people who live there it is their every day experience. The reality is heartbreaking and infuriating.

The impact of this grievance isn't just physical. It's financial, emotional, and systemic. Families in communities like Gage Park are forced to endure the physical and financial toll of toxic air caused by industries they don't benefit from. Highways and ports carve through their communities creating unhealthy living conditions while leaving them out of the economic advantages. What makes it worse is how this adversity amplifies everything else.

I know what it feels likes to face one challenge after another when the problems feel like they just pile on each one make the other harder to bear. That is why I feel so-called to speak up. People in these communities are working endless hours to provide for their families only to come home to environments that harm their health and well-being trapping them in cycles of hardship. They face health issues caused by polluted air while struggling to cover medical bills and other expenses leaving little room for them to break free from

2.2

their circumstance. This is nothing short of a grave injustice.

2.2

These communities bear the brunt of the pollution, yet they see little to no benefit from these industries creating it. These people usually are disproportionately affected, forced into unhealthy environments while simply trying to make ends meet.

Regulations like the Advanced Clean Trucks and Advanced Clean Cars offer a tangible solution.

By transitioning to electric vehicles and creating stricter regulations on diesel vehicles we can drastically reduce emissions, improve the air quality, and ease the health and financial burdens of these communities.

This isn't a problem that can wait. Every day we delay action is another day of pollution entering the air and affecting the lungs of children and families.

This isn't just an environmental issue. It's a matter of public health and equity. I urge you all to make the necessary and humane choice.

Thank you so much.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Next, Mr. Butuyan.

MR. BUTUYAN: Good afternoon, Illinois Pollution

Control Board, and friends. I'm here to try to convince

Weritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

you on why you should adopt the Advanced Clean Trucks Act or the Heavy Duty Omnibus Low Nox rules.

I don't know why our leaders are not prioritizing this since we are -- we are saving a lot of money by incorporating those two rules. For one, according to the American Lung Association, switching to 100 percent EV could save Illinois 49.2 billion in public health costs from 2020 to 2050. And then according to an analysis by the Environmental Resources Management, the Advanced Clean Trucks and Heavy Duty Omnibus rules in Illinois will generate net societal benefits of approximately 22 billion through 2050.

The rules will slash greenhouse gas emissions, nitrous oxide and particulate matter in Illinois resulting in fewer hospital deaths, fewer hospital visits from breathing polluted air and fewer cases of acute bronchitis, excessive asthma causing restricted activity and lost workdays.

Job growth, these rules will increase 8,400 new jobs by 2025. The largest number of added jobs are in electrical component and manufacturing and infrastructure construction requiring electrical engineers.

Fuel and maintenance cost savings to fleets for zero emission trucks amount to roughly 1.2 billion in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

Page 140

annual net savings by 2050. Also, increasing electricity sales for vehicle charging also results in keeping electricity rates in the pockets of all electric utility customers, commercial and residential. Statewide savings could reach 62 million by 2050.

So with these facts, I leave it all to you that in order for us to have a better society and better clean air like the ones in Auckland, New Zealand and Melbourne, Australia whose air quality is considered by IQ Air, I recommend that let's go from here and make our environment cleaner.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Next is Linda Sullivan, and then Connie Smith.

MS. SULLIVAN: Hi. So my daughter-in-law is a teacher. She teaches fourth grade at Plainfield elementary school, and she told me that she counted it so lucky that her pregnancy fell in the middle of the COVID-19 pandemic because that way she didn't have to go outside and stand with the buses as her students went onboard. She counted the COVID-19 pandemic a health positive because the air pollution from the diesel buses gave her headaches and nausea, even when she wasn't pregnant.

I taught high school at Lyons Township High

2.2

Page 141

School in LaGrange and Western Springs for 23 years, and I can tell you that the pollution from diesel buses on certain days made me not want to pick up my mail from the office because the fumes were coming inside and my students had to ride home on those buses. It's horrific what people go through. This is an upper middle class community. This affects everybody in the State of Illinois.

I was raised on the south side and I have been told I have lung disease just from living where I lived but it affects everyone.

I'm here as a grandma. I have five grandkids between the ages of 12 and 16 months. I worry for them about cancer, I worry for them about asthma, stroke. I'm worried that babies will be born with life-long problems that they don't need to have. And most of all, I worry about what their lives are going to be when they're adults because we know that these standards will not only improve the health of people right now, but they will save the planet.

What we do here in Illinois is going to have a super big effect throughout the whole world because, as you know, these standards have been adopted on the west coast, Colorado, New Mexico, they've been adopted on the

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

east coast. If you can summon the will to plant a stake in Illinois in the midwest, it won't matter what administration is in Washington, DC. Car manufacturers are going to make clean cars, they will have to. It will just make economic sense to them, and so if you're asking yourself are we the right body to do this, you are the people with the power to do this, and I hope you will summon that will to pass all three of these clean vehicle standards.

Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much. Next is Connie Schmidt, then after that Barbara Hill.

MS. SCHMIDT: Thanks. Linda is my friend, and when you hear my comments you're going to know we're sisters. There's a sisterhood. I have been proud of Illinois as we've worked over the last 15 years to pass CEJA, the Future Energy Jobs Act. We passed CEJA, the Climate Equitable Jobs Act, and we are asking your support for Clean Vehicles Standards and clean air for all.

As a special education teacher at all levels, middle school, high school, and the last 20 years as an elementary school teacher, I've witnessed and been impacted by the dirty air pollution our kids and staff have been exposed to from idling buses and cars in school

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

pickup and dropoff lines. The children most impacted are those lowest to the ground, or our youngest populations and those of special needs. These kids are breathing in the particulate matter from diesel buses and dirty cars every day before school, after school, and in their neighborhood. Particulate matter in air pollution is one of the most harmful effects on both of our short-term and our long-term health conditions. The list of diseases and conditions is exhaustive. But one not mentioned as frequently is the impact on attention for students.

Beginning the day with pollution increases the incidence of attention deficit disorder which puts our children at risk for their intellectual and skill development. Add to that the physical impacts as well and the cost for human health becomes enormous.

Workers at Point Source Solution Research such as railroad, docks, and highways are disproportionately impacted as well as the residents we've heard today at the transportation corridors. These incidents of harmful impacts include asthma, respiratory, and heart disease, very well documented.

I am proud and somewhat relieved to live in Illinois, a state where clean energy and cleaner -- a cleaner energy future have been embraced in previous

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

Page 144

legislation. The trifecta of clean transportation policies facing the Illinois Pollution Control Board now can make a drastic difference in lives of our Illinois residents. Other states are doing these things. They have set ambitious standards for air regulations and manufacturing, and they are beginning to meet their targets.

Illinois has proven we can be a leader in this field. Will the Pollution Control Board help Illinois to protect our citizens, the air we breathe and our economy by setting the standards asked for clean air for all?

Thank you so much for were you time. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Next is Barbara Hill, and then Dom Amato.

MS. HILL: I'm Barbara Hill, and I live in Palatine near Route 53, a six lane limited access highway. I also drive a Chevy Volt, a plug-in hybrid, and of all the cars I've owned, it's my favorite. I'm on the executive committee of the Sierra Club Northwest Cook County Group, and I'm also the group's political chair.

I grew up in the woods of Northeast Ohio where

I spent countless hours climbing trees, wading in the

nearby brook, watching crayfish and birds and turtles and

building snow forts.

2.2

When I moved here in 1987 I needed to find a connection to this new and different landscape, and I found it learning to restore ecological health to the forest preserves of Cook County.

That led to learning how to advocate for nature. While the time I spend identifying flowers and removing invasive brush soothes my soul, I've come to see the decision makers cannot be expected to craft good policies unless we talk with them, so thank you for this opportunity.

Today climate change is the greatest threat to the natural world and to our way of life. We are beginning to see the disruptions it will bring. This year's drought and last year's wild fire smoke are among the early local signs. We need to stop burning fossil fuels as quickly as possible to avoid dire consequences, including famines, cities inundated by rising seas, ecosystem collapse and other unprecedented human migration. Illinois has taken important steps to reduce carbon dioxide emissions from the energy sector. We must now turn our attention to transportation, which is currently the largest single contributor accounting for 33 percent of Illinois's carbon dioxide emissions.

Please adopt the following three rules which will reduce not only carbon dioxide but also nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide which directly threaten respiratory health, the Advanced Clean Trucks, Heavy Duty Omnibus Low Nox, Advanced Clean Cars 2.

Thank you for your attention.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Next Dom Amato, and then Barbara Foster.

MR. AMATO: Hi. My name is Dominick Amato. I am the co-chair of the transportation team for the Sierra Club Illinois Chicago group. I'm here to support --voice our support for the Proposed Clean Vehicle Standards being discussed today this is a long overdue advancement in our vehicle standards that has already been adopted by many other states, even ones who are less well positioned to manage phasing out diesel as we are. We have no excuses why it could work there but not here.

When cholera and other diseases were affecting our drinking water, we didn't sit around and wait for others to figure it out. We reversed the river. When Upton Sinclair published his work that became the Jungle and made the public aware of the lack of sanitation and the production of the food they ate and the illnesses that spread from that, we acted by creating new

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

legislation that would require the industry to clean itself up.

When our storm water solution was not adequate, we spent billions of dollars and 50 years building the Deep Tunnel Project to prevent sewage overflow into our reversed flow river. So I ask you, if we can do all this, why is that we have to beg for any kind of reprieve when it comes to air pollution caused by diesel? Why can we make these grand gestures to solve these other problems but stop at incremental change for this particular issue?

Surely the stockyards were a large employer and had strong political sway at the time and yet we did not handle these problems away or throw up our arms and say it wasn't politically viable. Reversing the river sounds just as farcical now as I'm sure it did then, yet the people of Illinois were able to act in a way that addressed the issues of their time. No. This is a problem of political cowardice and a lack of accountability. What is the point of a Pollution Control Board if it does not act to save the people from an obvious and known threat posed to their health?

We are presently in the top 1 percent of municipalities when it comes diesel pollution. The time

2.2

to act was yesterday. Do we need to prop up this industry when it has already been shown that electric cargo vehicles can work at scale for companies like Frito-Lay and Amazon?

2.2

Illinois is home to a Rivian manufacturing facility that makes Amazon's electric fleet. Should we not support these well-paying jobs and invest in our future by aligning our standards with their capabilities? We are not asking for some imaginary world. We are telling you to take actions to protect our health and support these workers and communities that can lead to stop these generational injustices.

It's time for us to be the leader we are capable of being given our freight and manufacturing capabilities here in Illinois. The first step we can take is to match our peers and enact these policies to ensure that we are protecting our people from harmful air pollution and invest in the 21st Century technology that is already here in our state.

Chicago loves to say make no little plans. Now is the time to live to those words by showing the oil and gas industry we won't accept the status quo that is poisoning our city. Be bold and take action now.

Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. Our last public commenter will be Barbara Foster.

MS. FOSTER: So I'm Barbara Foster. I'm a mother of four grown children, grandmother of one, and I have a story to tell, but I want you to remember two numbers, 500 and 600.

So my story occurs 31 years ago with our first born son. He was 18 months old. We were living on the south side of Chicago, and he stopped breathing in the middle of the night. Fortunately somehow we realized that, like mother instinct got me up, and I realized my child wasn't breathing. We rushed him down the elevator putting our clothes as we went on, rushed him seven blocks to the University of Chicago emergency room, and awaited there as you can imagine with terror and love that was outsized beyond anything our hearts could hold.

He had a childhood of struggle with that asthma that was diagnosed that night. He had the best medical treatment, the best interventions. We moved out of Chicago to a greener area. He had everything. And he came through it. He is a happy, healthy 32 year old. He lives in greener areas, and once he left this area when he was 18, it cleared up. It cleared up. The asthma cleared up.

So flash over to my husband's and my professional lives. He's a physician, I'm a psychotherapist. We've worked a lot in underresourced areas of color. There we see outcomes that are so, so different from that of our son. And we see kids who are not only fighting all -- all the battles of racism and poverty, but we are seeing kids who don't even have healthy enough air to breathe to fight those battles, and it's just not fair.

So 500 and 600. 500 is how many fewer deaths, how many fewer kids are going to die every year if you guys can enact these regulations. 600 is how many fewer kids every single year will be diagnosed with asthma. That's a lot of kids when you think about each single being.

So I just want to be clear and connect the last of the dots here. This is certainly a racial equity issue. It is a health equity issue. It is also -- it is also the biggest planetary issue we have ever faced in our lives. This is the moment, this is our moment, and I believe in you, human beings, and I believe in our state that we can be part of what tips us towards this like, you know, moral arc, the long moral arc that we have to be on to save our children and to save our planet.

2.2

	Page 151
1	Thank you so much for extending your time.
2	HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you. I appreciate
3	it. We'll go off the record now.
4	(Off the record)
5	HEARING OFFICER HORTON: We'll go back on the
6	record. During that break, we discussed whether there
7	would be any follow-up questions for Dr. Orris, and
8	hearing none, we're going to proceed with his statement
9	and enter his testimony and answers into the record, and
10	then we'll continue with Mr. Patel's testimony.
11	Mr. Weinstock?
12	
13	
L 4	
15	
16	
L7	
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Page 152 1 PETER ORRIS, M.D., called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined upon oral interrogatories and testified as 3 4 follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 By Mr. Weinstock: 7 Thank you, Hearing Officer. Good afternoon, 0 Dr. Orris. Could you state and spell your name for the 9 record? Peter Orris, O-R-R-I-S. P-E-T-E-R. 10 Α 11 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: I'll have to interrupt. I forgot to have Ms. Court Reporter swear in the witness. 12 13 (Witness duly sworn) MR. WEINSTOCK: Q I think we can trust him on the 14 spelling of his name outside of the oath. 15 16 Dr. Orris, did you submit pre-filed testimony in this matter? 17 18 Α I did. Is that the document before you now titled 19 20 Pre-Filed Testimony of Dr. Peter Orris? I do. 21 Α Do you adopt that pre-filed testimony as your 2.2 23 sworn testimony in this matter? 24 Α I do.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Page 153 And did you also direct the preparation of 1 2 pre-filed answers to certain pre-filed questions submitted to your attention by other parties? 3 Α I did. 4 Is that document titled Rule Proponent Answers 5 6 to Pre-Filed Answers of Dr. Peter Orris? Α It is. 8 Do you adopt those pre-filed answers as part of 9 your sworn testimony in this matter? I do. 10 Α MR. WEINSTOCK: Hearing Officer, I would move both 11 the witness's pre-filed testimony and his pre-filed 12 13 answers into the record as evidence. 14 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Okay. Dr. Orris' testimony will be Exhibit 10, and his answers will be Exhibit 11. 15 MR. WEINSTOCK: Q Dr. Orris, I just have one 16 17 question for you today. Would you like to take this 18 opportunity to provide a brief overview of your testimony and your perspective on the proposed rules? 19 20 Yes. Very brief. I will try to fit into the two or three minutes. 21 Please do. 2.2 0 23 Well, good afternoon, and thank you for allowing me to testify today. I'm quite flattered to be 24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.2

Page 154

here with all of the prior testimony that I heard earlier this morning. My name is Peter Orris, and as I've stated in my pretrial -- pre-filed testimony, I'm a senior physician in occupational and environmental medicine at the UI -- at UI Health and a professor at the University of Illinois School of Public Health with adjunct appointments as professor of environmental medicine at Northwestern University Fineberg School of Medicine and internal medicine at Rush University's Medical School College. I'm an elected fellow of the American College of Physicians, and the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, and the Institute of Medicine of Chicago.

As detailed in my submitted curriculum vitae, among other topics, I teach classes at these schools on air pollution, climate change, and health as well as other universities, scientific meetings, and medical schools internationally. In addition, I have served as an expert consultant to the World Health Organization on air pollution and other agencies concerning air pollution and climate change.

I have published research papers on trends on asthma rates across racial groups in Chicago and health effects of exposure to diesel exhaust. I've spent over

35 years as a general internal medicine attending physician at Cook County Hospital. I'm testifying to you today as an individual though I want to be clear.

Specifically, I have submitted testimony in support of the Clean Car and Truck Standards, R2024-017, the proposals before you today on the public health impacts of the air pollution associated with vehicle emissions which emphasized how long-term exposure to air pollutants associated with vehicle emissions can cause or exacerbate a variety of adverse health effects such as respiratory diseases, cardiovascular diseases, and premature death just as examples.

I also identify the adverse health effects of extreme heat on public health as a consequence of these emissions, as they lead to climate change as well.

Finally, I note that air pollution has a disproportionate impact on low income and communities of color in Chicago.

As my testimony and response to questions I previously submitted, I will not take more time today in repeating these, but I am ready to answer any questions -- that's irrelevant now -- explain or expand on my testimony as the Board would like.

Thank you very much for having me. Thank you.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

2.2

	Page 156
1	HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thank you so much,
2	Mr. Orris.
3	Any questions here in Chicago? Hearing none.
4	Any questions in Springfield? Hearing none,
5	Mr. Orris, you're dismissed.
6	We're continuing with Mr. Patel's testimony.
7	And, Miss Brown, I think you were in the middle of
8	questioning when we broke for lunch. So you can
9	continue.
L O	MUHAMMED PATEL,
11	recalled as a witness herein, having been previously duly
12	sworn, was examined upon oral interrogatories and
13	testified as follows:
L 4	CONTINUED EXAMINATION
15	By Ms. Brown:
16	Q Thank you very much. So we'll pick up with the
L 7	Alliance's pre-filed Question Number 7A, as in apple, in
18	your pre-filed response to the same.
19	So going back to the Proponent's statement of
20	reason on page 13 of the statement of reasons, I think in
21	a footnote Proponents reference to ERM projects that the
22	federal standard will increase the share of new
23	light-duty ZEV sales in Illinois to 66 percent by model
24	year 2032. Do you agree with that projection?

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

A Yes. So just to contextualize that number. That is the baseline assessment from the ERM report that includes what the expected amount of zero emission vehicle sales are in the State of Illinois. The qualifier that should come along with that number is that the federal standards do not require zero emission vehicle sales as part of their fleet wide average emission standard, and while that estimate is an estimate for what it could look like in Illinois, unlike the Advanced Clean Cars II standard, federal standard does not guarantee ZEV sales in Illinois.

Q Would you agree that under or as a result of the current federal standards auto makers will offer all of their ZEV models for sale in Illinois?

A Can you clarify the question? Are you asking what the availability will be of vehicles under the federal standards specifically in Illinois?

O Yes.

2.2

A So we can assume that, you know, national auto makers as a method of compliance for the federal standards will offer a variety of vehicle technologies in order to comply with the federal standard, but the only thing or standard that guarantees model availability and ZEV sales within Illinois is the Advanced Clean Cars II

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Standard.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

So I can't speak to the exact availability within Illinois under the federal standard as I've mentioned because it is not a requirement on ZEV sales, however, the ACC II standard would guarantee a wide variety of models be available for sale in Illinois.

In your pre-filed response you state that ACC II ensures that more ZEVs are available for purchase in the state. And so here you're talking about the number of vehicles for sale, is that correct?

Α I believe I'm referring to yes, the number of vehicles in the state implicitly, but more broadly referring to the availability of makes and models. And the reasoning for that is primarily because of the difference between the federal and state standards. while auto makers would use the federal standard -- would use ZEV sales across the country to comply for their fleet-wide average as part of federal standard, what Illinois's adoption of ACC II would ensure is that vehicles are sold directly in Illinois to comply.

So, for example, manufacturers could theoretically meet the federal targets by focusing ZEV sales in certain states. Maybe those states have already adopted these standards. So what ACC II would do is

ensure that ZEV sales are being met specifically in Illinois.

- Q The ZEV requirements under ACC II is expressed as a percentage or ratio, is that correct?
 - A Correct.

2.2

- Q And would you agree that there are two ways to comply, one, an auto maker can increase the sale of ZEV; and then, two, an auto maker can also decrease gasoline vehicles sold, would that be correct?
- A I would add another factor, which is auto makers can use the extensive compliance flexibilities within the standard to also comply. So, yes, they can increase their ZEV sales as a proportion of their total sales, use the compliance flexibilities, or potentially, as you're implying, yes, manufacturers could comply by reducing their associated ICE -- by ICE, I mean internal combustion engine vehicle sales.
- Q So getting to decreasing the number of non-ZEV, so that would be decreasing the number of non-ZEVs that are available for sale, that's your agreement that's the compliance option?
- A Yes, but I've not necessarily seen evidence to suggest that that's what manufacturers would be using to comply in this case.

Q Would you agree generally that when you limit the sale of an item the price typically increases for that item?

2.2

A The basic supply and demand curve in economics, yes, I'm aware of it.

Q Would you agree that the proposal here would likely increase the prices of non-ZEV?

A No. I would not agree with that. I believe Mr. Cackette in his testimony refers to the potential impact on a cost per vehicle of the increased standard of tailpipe emissions for existing gas cars, but to exclusively attribute cost increases to a single standard I think discounts many factors that go into cost for various vehicles. So, no, I don't think I would agree with that statement.

Q Moving to question -- the Alliance's Question 8 and really both 8B and C together. So in your response you referred to a market failure. Is that market failure that you're referencing -- is that left to their own devices Illinois consumers would not purchase as many ZEVs as will be required to be sold under the proposed rules?

A No. The way the question was framed in that is there a market failure preventing the widespread adoption

2.2

Page 161

of electric vehicles. I don't think I would agree with that statement. The way I have phrased it in the response is noting that motor vehicle emission standards like the one we're considering today in the Advanced Clean Car II rule are premised on the idea that the costs of the current system are not being accounted for within the costs of building and then purchasing and then selling the vehicles.

So motor vehicle emission standards are generally premised on the market failure that public health, climate, and environmental impacts of vehicle emissions are not captured in that price of the vehicle or fuel which makes it necessary to have emission standards that captured the public health costs that you heard a lot of earlier as well as the other climate and environmental social and public health impact that come along with the current status quo.

Q Moving to the Alliance's Question 9 in your pre-filed response. So just to clarify, starting in model year 2035, there's 100 percent ZEV sales requirement, is that correct?

A In the Advanced Clean Cars II rule, yes, not in the Advanced Clean Trucks rule.

Q Correct. Okay. So under ACC II, there would

Page 162 be no excess credits generated in model year 2035, would 1 that be correct? 3 Α That's correct. And in --4 Q Sorry. Can I clarify? Α 6 Q Absolutely. 7 That would be correct in terms of like excess Α 8 credits generated potentially by full line manufacturers. It would also -- Sorry. I guess I misunderstood the 9 question. Yes, that's correct. 10 11 Okay. In your pre-filed response, you stated that beginning with model year 2035 Illinois consumers 12 13 can still buy used gasoline vehicles. To clarify, you 14 agree that they would not be able to buy any new gasoline-powered vehicles, is that correct? 15 That's correct. Yes. They could also still 16 Α 17 buy used gasoline vehicles before 2035. 18 All right. And then just have two more short sets of follow-up questions and these are on -- in 19 20 follow-up to the Automobile Dealers Association questions, and I'll point you to the page. And so it 21 would be page 62. Let me know when you're there. 2.2 23 Α I'm there. And it's Question 29. 24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Page 163 1 Α Okay. So are you aware that Minnesota adopted Advanced Clean Cars I but has declined to adopt Advanced 3 4 Clean Cars II? Α Yes. 6 Are you aware that a similar attempt to get 7 Maine to adopt Advanced Cars II failed to gain Board 8 approval? 9 Α Similar attempt to what exactly? Similar attempt to Minnesota that Advanced 10 11 Clean Cars I was adopted but Advanced Clean Cars II was not adopted, it failed to gain Board approval in Maine? 12 13 Α Yes. I'm aware that in Maine Advanced Clean 14 Cars II has not been adopted. Are you aware that Connecticut also considered 15 adopting Advanced Clean Cars I but declined to move 16 forward with that proposal? 17 18 Α Yes. And then last set of questions. Again with the 19 20 Dealers Association on page 67. 21 Α Okay. And Question 46. 2.2 0 23 Α Okay. 24 So in this pre-filed response Proponent states Q

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

2.2

Page 164

that the California Air Resources Board monitors the development of electric vehicle markets, and then, you know, makes necessary adjustments. However, the California Air Resources Board only monitors the development of electric vehicle market in California, is that correct to your knowledge?

A I don't believe so. It's my understanding that the California Air Resources Board in varying materials in front of the board have considered global and national electric vehicle markets in determining the structure of the standards. That's my understanding.

Q So it's your understanding that the California
Air Resources Board has amended or adjusted its
regulations based on markets in other states?

A I don't believe current -- it's my understanding that the California Air Resources Board has not yet amended this standard, although I would need to double check. I believe they've announced potential amendments, but I don't believe they've amended it.

In terms of market developments in other states, with my experience, and I'm sure Mr. Cackette in his experience as one of the leaders within the Air Resources Board could speak more toward what global market factors they consider, it's my understanding that

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Page 165
they do take a look at national market factors, although
I don't know how much specific state markets play into
the California Air Resources Board consideration of
amendment.
I do think it's important to note that with the
two year lead time requirement that Illinois would have
in adopting these standards, any adjustments that would
be made by the California Air Resources Board, Illinois
would be notified by the Board and have an opportunity to
provide comments to the Board, and about, you know,
the potential adjustments that the Board is considering.
MS. BROWN: Thank you. That's all the questions we
had. Thank you for your time, Mr. Patel.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Okay. Anyone else in
Springfield have follow-up questions for Mr. Patel?
BOARD MEMBER MANKOWSKI: Yes, looks like we have
some questions from Illinois EPA.
HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Sounds good.

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 166
1	FURTHER EXAMINATION
2	By Ms. Roccaforte:
3	MS. ROCCAFORTE: This is Gina Roccaforte with the
4	Illinois EPA.
5	Q Just wanted to confirm, Mr. Patel, would you be
6	responding to follow-up in response to the Illinois
7	Automobile Dealer's Association questions?
8	A I'm sorry. Which questions are you referring
9	to?
10	Q Question 15 on page 57.
11	MR. WEINSTOCK: Is this question about whether this
12	question should be directed to Mr. Patel or Mr. Cackette?
13	MS. ROCCAFORTE: Correct.
14	MR. WEINSTOCK: Let me find my list.
15	MS. ROCCAFORTE: Is Mr. Patel the correct person to
16	ask a follow-up for that?
17	HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Mr. Weinstock is checking
18	his list.
19	MS. ROCCAFORTE: Question 15.
20	MR. WEINSTOCK: From the Illinois Automobile
21	Dealers? Yes.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. I can answer follow-ups.
23	MS. ROCCAFORTE: Q Yes. Okay. So the Rule
24	Proponents claim that annual ZEV sales growth of about

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

10 percent will be sufficient to reach compliance in model year 2029. Based on the information provided, it appears that six times the number of ZEVs sold in 2023 would need to be sold in 2029 under the proposal which is far greater than the number of ZEVs that would be sold in 2029 assuming 10 percent growth of sales per year. the Rule Proponents mean that the ZEV market share not sales of ZEVs would need to increase 10 percent each year?

So I believe I clarified this in the previous questions by the Alliance, but, yes, what we are responding to there would be annual growth of 10 points every year compared to the current number of sales based on the sales target in the standard. So current -current model year sales of 8.2 percent in model year 2024 would need to grow about 10 percentage points every year to reach a de facto compliance of approximately 50 percent in model year 2029.

So 10 percent of all cars sold, correct?

This is based on new vehicle sales, not all vehicle sales.

I understand that. So what you're saying then Q is that would require ZEV sales to more than double the first year?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

A That's correct. If you are annualizing it over each year between now and the beginning of the program, but as I've mentioned before, these -- there are numerous compliance flexibilities that manufacturers can take advantage of that would continue to reduce this requirement. So to comply maybe directly with the sales target with no compliance flexibilities being used, you could say that that doubling will need to occur, but likely there will be some wiggle room within that number given the opportunities for manufacturers to comply in different ways.

Q Okay. And then I have two more questions.

Would you be the person to ask follow-up to Question 21 and Question 50 from the Illinois Automobile Dealers

Association?

MR. WEINSTOCK: Mr. Patel would be available for both those questions, although I'll note that for 21 Mr. Cackette is also designated, but both of them are.

THE WITNESS: So, yes.

MS. ROCCAFORTE: Q Thank you.

A I am able to respond to those follow-ups.

O Okay. Sorry.

A Go ahead.

2.2

Q Regarding Question 21, per the answer, the

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

ACC II rules will limit the sales of plug-in hybrid vehicles to 20 percent of vehicles by 2035. Is it your position that plug-in hybrid vehicles constitute an air pollution hazard, the sale and use of which should be limited by the board?

A So I think it's important to clarify that it will not limit the sale of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. It will just limit the amount that they can be counted towards compliance. So if manufacturers are seeing profit in that market, they can sell as many as they like. But only 20 percent of the total sales can be attributed to credits coming from plug-in hybrid EVs.

So, no, there is necessarily no limitation on how many they can sell or the Board would not be limiting how many plug-in hybrid EVs they can sell.

Purely from a compliance standpoint only 20 percent of the total compliance values in any particular year can be filled by plug-in hybrid EVs. I'd also just note that the question refers to consumer preference for various vehicles, and the answer goes on further to state that while zero emission vehicles will be filled in some senses by battery electric vehicles in terms of compliance, fuel cell electric vehicles which are powered by hydrogen also plug-in hybrid vehicles like I mentioned

2.2

are both able to be considered for compliance on the ZEV standard. Other hybrids that don't plug in such as gas hybrids were treated as internal combustion engines but are incentivized under the low emission vehicle requirement.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: They're having trouble hearing you so if you can speak up.

MR. WEINSTOCK: I just want to note, this is an example of one of those questions that mixes a legal question. Counsel referenced the actual term of art from the statute as well as Mr. Patel's subject matter expertise, and I would just note on that point, the emissions from these vehicles are the air pollution hazard, not the vehicle themselves.

So I guess I'm objecting to the form of the question that asked about whether the vehicles were a hazard, and so I would just note that for the record.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: It's noted.

MS. ROCCAFORTE: Q Lastly, Question 50, the agency is unclear about what Rule Proponents mean by, quote, the Board or the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency could withdraw from the California regulation at any time it sees fit, unquote.

Under what scenario could the Illinois EPA or a

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.2

Board withdraw the State of Illinois from California's regulations?

2.2

A So I believe that there's a legal aspect that I can't necessarily speak to, but I'll do my best in my understanding of how this relates to the federal standards. The federal -- the US EPA federal standards provide a baseline that all states must comply with if they don't choose to follow California standards.

The answer to this question is essentially referring to the idea that Illinois were it to not adopt ACC II or were to adopt it and then decide it did not want to continue in the effective dates could withdraw from the program and would then be subject to federal tailpipe regulations.

How exactly that mechanism would work in Illinois law I think is a question better answered by attorneys. But essentially what we're just trying to highlight there is that the opt-in to California standards can also be opted out and then would lead to Illinois being responsible for complying with the federal emission standards.

- Q So perhaps that would require another board rulemaking?
 - A I don't feel qualified to answer that question.

Page 172 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Okay. Anybody else in 1 2 Springfield have follow-up questions for Mr. Patel? BOARD MEMBER MANKOWSKI: It does not look like we 3 have anymore questions. 4 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Okay. Go off the record 5 6 for one minute. 7 (Off the record) 8 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: All right. We're back on 9 the record. Looks like there's no further follow-up 10 questions for Mr. Patel. 11 So, Mr. Patel, you're dismissed thanks so much. We do have two members of the public here who 12 13 would like to give public comment. So I'll say if you 14 could come up first and then spell your name for the 15 court reporter. MS. CHACLAS: Good afternoon. My name is Emilee 16 17 Chaclas. I live in Cook County, which as you've heard 18 from other folks here today is the -- within the top 1 percent of counties nationwide with the worst pollution 19 20 from diesel engines. We are so far from providing what should be a human right that everyone have access to 21 clean air. 2.2 23 Walking on streets in my neighborhood, it's a common occurrence to get caught in a cloud of emissions 24

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.2

Page 173

from vehicles on the road, and in those moments when I'm struggling to breathe myself, I unfortunately can't imagine what it would feel like for my mother and brother who both have asthma and carry inhalers to experience that, only because I don't have asthma myself, but I do have like anaphylaxis and I've had to fight to breathe at times in my life, and it's something I wouldn't wish on anyone.

Seeing especially with my mother or brother a loved one in the fetal position experiencing an asthma attack struggling to breathe is terrifying, and this is the case for way too many and is a direct result of our poor air quality.

Fresh air should not be an oxymoron, but without a committed transition to zero emission vehicles, we know that maintaining the status quo is harming air quality now and solidifying our climate future. The wild fire smoke we experienced in the summer of 2023 was a terrifying show of what we can expect more of if we do not shift our path. When we know better and have solutions readily available, we must do better.

The Advanced Clean Trucks, Heavy Duty Omnibus, and Advanced Clean Car II rules would bring vitally needed relief to our communities and ensure Illinois is a

leader in addressing the largest source of pollution both in our state and in the country, which is from the transportation sector.

I urge you to do everything within your power to bring Clean Vehicle Standards to Illinois for our health and climate.

Thank you.

2.2

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much.

MR. DARIN: My name is Jack Darin. I'm the director of the Sierra Club Illinois chapter. I wanted to thank the members of the Board and the staff for holding this hearing today, and also just accepting this petition. I know there's a lot of time and effort that goes into this, but the ability of the public to propose new safeguards for the environment is a really critical part of our state's Environmental Protection Act, and I think this may be more important now than ever when there is so much uncertainty around many of the federal safety guards that protect our air and water and also at a time when Illinois in recent years has been moving so boldly on matters of climate action and carbon pollution reduction.

We have set a bold goal in terms of electrification of having one million vehicles on the road -- electric vehicles on the road by 2030, and unlike

Page 175

the efforts that we've taken to decarbonize our electric sector, we do not have a strong state based regulatory framework to ensure that we hit those goals. We are at the moment entirely reliant on the federal government to reach our climate goals as they relate to transportation, and at best, the prospects for federal regulation that can help us meet our state goals are uncertain, and so, fortunately, as we've heard today, other states have chosen a much more certain path to not only addressing a lot of the health concerns we heard about today but really to be the climate leader that we want Illinois to be and that we've made a matter of state policy in recent years.

So the one way we can do that that is the most certain that is available to us that is proven is to follow the path that other states have chosen by adopting these standards.

And, again, I want to thank the Board for sending the petition and considering it, and hopefully the outcome will be one that we can all be proud of and leave us really controlling our own destiny and our own future rather than relying on a very uncertain future from Washington.

HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Thanks so much. We will

2.2

```
Page 176
     conclude -- We'll end today's hearing at 3:19 p.m. We'll
 1
     continue on until tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. where we'll
     start with testimony from Mr. Tom Cackette.
 3
 4
                Thanks, everyone.
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 177
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
_) SS:
2	COUNTY OF C O O K)
3	
4	CAROL CONNOLLY, being first duly sworn, deposes
5	and says that she is a Certified Shorthand Reporter in
6	Cook County, Illinois, and reporting proceedings in the
7	Courts in said County;
8	That she reported in shorthand and thereafter
9	transcribed the foregoing proceedings;
10	That the within and foregoing transcript is
11	true, accurate and complete and contains all the evidence
12	which was received and the proceedings had upon the
13	within cause.
14	Carol Convolu
15	Carol Connolly
16	CAROL CONNOLLY, CSR, CRR
	CSR No. 084-003113
17	One North Franklin Street
	Suite 3000
18	Chicago, Illinois 60606
	Phone: (312) 386-2000
19	
20	Subscribed and sworn to before me this
21	
22	17th day of December, A.D., 2024.
23	
24	

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

[084-003113 - 2027]

Page 1

0	10:00 1:10 4:2	17th 177:22	123:5 130:17
084-003113	8:20 176:2	18 32:11 33:11	142:21 169:2
177:16	10a 63:18	53:2 109:7,8	169:11,16
1	11 6:5 32:8,11	130:23 149:8	200 25:4
	33:8 153:15	149:23	2011 58:14
1 8:13 31:23	11:54 85:16	18,000 65:17	69:2
32:10,12 33:5	11th 7:4	18,668 53:1	2012 117:13
34:6,18,20	12 32:11 33:9	18.2 77:2,3	2016 96:21
44:19 70:21	141:13	181 66:3	124:4
71:9 92:17	122 63:21	18th 7:17	2019 58:14
102:7 136:14	13 32:11 67:7	19 32:11,12	2020 50:17
147:23 172:18	156:20	33:13 34:20	51:14 113:15
1,019 95:10	138,000 129:23	98:3 123:24	139:8
1,333 68:13,16	14 32:11 33:9	140:18,20	2021 50:16
1,817 65:2	86:3	1987 145:2	69:2 123:22
1,818 65:24	144,930 95:17	1994 120:7	2022 62:4
1.2 139:24	149 64:16 67:1	1:00 8:22,22	64:11
1.3 102:18	15 9:1 32:11	9:15 88:3	2023 28:22
1.4 128:23	33:10 91:17	1a 76:21 79:1	29:1 48:17
10 2:11 9:1	97:5 142:16	1c 79:15	90:23 95:17
13:22 32:6,11	166:10,19	2	97:6 110:21
34:11,18 76:22	152 3:15	2 1:2 2:3 26:20	167:3 173:18
76:24 89:23	156 3:6	31:24 32:10,17	2024 1:2,10 2:3
92:6 98:23	16 32:11 33:11	34:7 44:19	5:17 7:4,9,10
99:3 109:8	141:13	45:20 63:21	8:12 50:18
137:1 153:15	160 29:4	64:3 71:7	51:14 77:9
167:1,6,8,12,16	161 105:5	88:11 108:9	78:18 79:6
167:19	16th 7:15	121:11 132:9	167:16 177:22
100 47:14,23	17 32:11	146:5	2025 50:17
58:20 82:3	1700 66:11	2,889 50:16	72:18 86:1,4,5
87:15 112:4	177 15:20,21	2.9 48:20	139:20
139:7 161:20	16:3,12 17:5	2.9 48:20 20 32:13 33:13	2026 73:1,2,5
100,000 97:22	17:14 71:18		2027 51:9
97:22 133:3,4		34:20,21 92:6	64:11 65:22
L		I.	

[**2027 - 416**] Page 2

72:6,24 73:5 21a 32:15 28th 7:9,16 339,775 52:23 74:21 75:5,20 21st 148:18 29 32:20 35 1:5 2:21 4:9 2028 72:7 75:5 23 38:15 29 32:20 35 1:5 2:21 4:9 76:20 76:5 23 46:12 141:1 294 131:22 36 33:17 75:20 76:5 23 46:12 141:1 294 131:22 36 33:17 76:23 77:2 23 8 82:13,20 25 67:24 37 33:18 76:23 77:2 24 33:15 46:14 26 67:24 37 33:18 86:10,23 167:2 24 33:15 46:14 33 27:11 31:24 37 33:18 203 66:2 21 33:14 46:14 32 27:11 31:24 38 32:20 33:18 203 66:2 24,600 23:18 32:11 4:12 32:11 4:12 203 16:21 24,601 95:17 25:23 37:31:10 33:19 17:13 203 16:21 25 2:16 20:13 32:17 33:2,2 33:16 38:11 103:3 4 4:27 32:1,10 203 16:21 25,000 118:19 35:11 38:00 113:6 36:34 44:20,24 4:61:3 52:19 <				
76:5 2028 72:7 75:5 139:12 162:24 155:1 36 33:17 128:22 33:17 128:22 33:17 128:22 33:17 128:22 33:17 128:22 33:17 128:22 33:17 128:22 33:17 128:22 37:3:18 33:17 128:22 37:3:18 33:17 128:22 37:3:18 33:18 375:68:19 375:68:19 38:32:20:33:18 386-2000 177:18 386-2000 177:18 386-2000 177:18 39:32:11 32:10 44:12 32:10 44:12 32:10 44:12 32:10 44:12 32:10 44:12 32:10 32:11 33:18 386-2000 177:18 386-2000 177:18 39:32:11 32:11 33:16 32:11 32:11 32:11 32:11 33:16 32:11 33:16 32:11 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16 33:16	72:6,24 73:5	21a 32:15	28th 7:9,16	339,775 52:23
2028 72:7 75:5 139:12 294 131:22 36 33:17 128:22 75:20 76:5 23 46:12 141:1 2b 67:24 37 33:18 2029 52:23 23:13,20 2md 1:10 72:14 75:26 68:19 54:15 65:4 238 82:13,20 2md 1:10 72:14 73:2 37 33:18 76:23 77:2 24 33:15 46:14 3 35:20 38 32:20 33:18 203 66:2 24,601 95:17 24,601 95:17 24,601 95:17 24,601 95:17 24,601 95:17 33:16 32:11 63:23 32:21 33:19 33:21 33:16 32:17 33:2,2 3,100 123:2 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4	74:21 75:5,20	21st 148:18	29 32:20	35 1:5 2:21 4:9
75:20 76:5 23 46:12 141:1 132:11,14 128:22 2029 52:23 23 46:12 141:1 2b 67:24 37 33:18 54:15 65:4 238 82:13,20 2nd 1:10 72:14 375 68:19 68:12,19 70:24 23rd 7:3 21 21 24 33:15 46:14 33 386-2000 76:23 77:2 24 33:15 46:14 3 386-2000 86:10,23 167:2 167:46,18 105:3 109:4 32:10 44:20,23 36:20 2032 2030 174:24 24,600 23:18 24,601 95:17 242 1:6 4:10 32:11 63:23 67:24 81:18 38:23 2032 16:21 24,601 95:17 242 1:6 4:10 32:17 33:2,2 33:16 33:19 176:1 3b 83:23 2035 16:19 25:23 25:216 20:13 32:17 33:2,2 3,405 50:18 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 37:11 54:20 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 37:18 89:23 37:18 89:23 37:5 68:19 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,23 36:4 44:20,24 36:4 44:20,24 36:4 44:20,24 36:4 44:20,24 <th>76:5</th> <th>22 33:15</th> <th>162:24</th> <th>155:1</th>	76:5	22 33:15	162:24	155:1
2029 52:23 232 132:10 2b 67:24 37 33:18 54:15 65:4 238 82:13,20 2nd 1:10 72:14 375 68:19 76:23 77:2 24 33:15 46:14 3 38 6-2000 86:10,23 167:2 46:15 89:19 105:3 109:4 32:10 44:20,23 386-2000 167:46,618 105:3 109:4 113:5 114:12 32:10 44:20,23 30:12 52:19 30:12 52:19 2030 174:24 24,600 23:18 24,601 95:17 242 1:6 4:10 32:11 63:23 67:24 81:18 39 32:21 33:19 31:9 176:1 3b 83:23 2034 82:15 223 25 2:16 20:13 3100 123:2 3,405 50:18 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 42:7 32:1,10 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 33:11 63:23 67:24 81:18 42:7 32:1,10 36:4 44:20,24 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 33:10 123:2 33:10 123:2 33:10 123:2 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 35:11 54:20 55:14 3880 113:6 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 37:15 14:20 38.72 89:21 105:3 109:1 30,000 17:7:17 308,050 86:12 30,000 17:7:17 46:1	2028 72:7 75:5	139:12	294 131:22	36 33:17
54:15 65:4 238 82:13,20 2nd 1:10 72:14 375 68:19 68:12,19 70:24 23rd 7:3 73 38 32:20 33:18 76:23 77:2 24 33:15 46:14 3 386-2000 86:10,23 167:2 46:15 89:19 105:3 109:4 32:10 44:20,23 38-220 33:18 203 66:2 113:5 114:12 32:10 44:20,23 30:12 52:19 3:19 176:1 2032 16:21 24,600 23:18 24,601 95:17 53:11 63:23 67:24 81:18 42:7 32:1,10 2034 82:15 223 25 2:16 20:13 32:17 33:2,2 3,100 123:2 3,405 50:18 36:4 44:20,23 37:11,16 112:5 25,000 118:19 25,000 118:19 3,800 113:6 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 37:11,16 112:5 25,000 118:19 3,800 113:6 3,872 89:21 33:11 149:7 308,050 86:12 30,000 17:17 4,000 85:24 4.6 12:4 23:20 40 3:3 33:20 33:3 94:2 37:16 46:12 57:19 30,000 17:17 4,000 85:24 46:12 42:20 33:3 9:3 405:21 30,000 17:17 4,000 85:24 46:1 2:4 23:20 400 28:23 29:2 405:31 9:22 400 28:23 29:	75:20 76:5	23 46:12 141:1	132:11,14	128:22
68:12,19 70:24 23rd 7:3 73:2 38 32:20 33:18 76:23 77:2 46:15 89:19 105:3 109:4 177:18 167:4,6,18 105:3 109:4 105:3 109:4 177:18 203 66:2 113:5 114:12 24,600 23:18 32:10 44:20,23 2032 16:21 24,601 95:17 242 1:6 4:10 5:23 3:11 63:23 2034 82:15 5:23 25 2:16 20:13 3:105:4,5 108:9 36:4 44:20,24 37:11 10:25 3:10 10:4;5 108:9 3:19 176:1 36:4 44:20,24 2035 16:19 25 2:16 20:13 3:10 123:2 3:10 123:2 37:11 10:25 3:19 176:1 35 83:23 30:4 44:20,23 3:19 176:1 36:4 44:20,23 30:14 3:10 12:2 3:19 176:1 30:14 3:10 12:2 3:10 12:2 3,405 50:18 3:11 54:20 3:11 54:20 3,872 89:21 5:114 30:23 131:11 30:15 10:1 3:10 12:2 3:11 13:24 3,100 123:2 3,800 113:6 3,872 89:21 105:3 109:1 130:23 131:11 30:15 10:1 30:15 10:1 30:15 10:1 30:15 10:1 30:15 10:1 30:15	2029 52:23	232 132:10	2b 67:24	37 33:18
76:23 77:2 24 33:15 46:14 86:10,23 167:2 46:15 89:19 167:4,6,18 105:3 109:4 203 66:2 113:5 114:12 2030 174:24 24,600 23:18 2032 16:21 24,601 95:17 156:24 24,601 95:17 2034 82:15 242 1:6 4:10 5:23 2035 16:19 5:23 32:17 33:2,2 33:10 123:2 36:24 88:11 103:3 4 2035 16:19 25 2:16 20:13 32:17 33:2,2 33:10 123:2 36:4 44:20,23 37:28 1:12 32:17 33:2,2 33:10 123:2 36:4 44:20,23 38:11 103:3 4 2:7 32:1,10 36:4 44:20,24 36:4 44:20,24 38:11 103:3 36:4 44:20,24 38:11 103:3 36:4 44:20,24 39:21 32:1,10 36:4 44:20,24 39:21 32:1,10 36:4 44:20,24 39:21 32:1,10 36:4 44:20,24 39:21 32:1,10 36:4 44:20,24 39:21 32:1 36:4 44:20,24 39:21 32:1 36:4 44:20,24 39:21 32:1	54:15 65:4	238 82:13,20	2nd 1:10 72:14	375 68:19
86:10,23 167:2 167:4,6,18 46:15 89:19 105:3 109:4 105:3 109:4 113:5 114:12 2030 66:2 113:5 114:12 24,600 23:18 24,601 95:17 156:24 24 1:6 4:10 5:23 2035 16:19 17:2 81:12 87:11,16 112:5 161:20 162:1 162:12,17 169:2 2036 16:22 2040 65:16 66:3 67:11 68:11,12,18 2050 64:11 65:23 139:8,12 140:1,5 20a 32:14 20e 32:15 246:15 89:19 105:3 109:4 32:10 44:20,23 50:12 52:19 53:11 63:23 67:24 81:18 88:11 103:3 105:4,5 108:9 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 53:11 54:20 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 53:11 54:20 63:23 64:5 71:8 89:23 77:5 123:3 139:8 12 105:3 109:1 105:4,5 108:9 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 53:11 54:20 63:23 64:5 71:8 89:23 97:5 123:3 130:23 131:11 132:11 4,000 85:24 4.6 12:4 23:20 40 3:3 33:20 93:3 94:2 107:3 400 28:23 29:2 40s 91:22 41 117:19 416 23:16.17	68:12,19 70:24	23rd 7:3	73:2	38 32:20 33:18
167:4,6,18 105:3 109:4 32:10 44:20,23 39 32:21 33:19 203 66:2 113:5 114:12 24,600 23:18 35:19 176:1 2032 16:21 24,601 95:17 53:11 63:23 67:24 81:18 2034 82:15 242 1:6 4:10 5:23 67:24 81:18 2035 16:19 32:17 33:2,2 33:16 32:17 33:2,2 37:11,16 112:5 33:16 33:16 34:16 25,000 118:19 25,000 118:19 3,872 89:21 53:11 54:20 105:3 109:1 3,872 89:21 97:5 123:3 105:3 109:1 30,000 11:23 97:5 123:3 105:3 109:1 130:23 131:11 130:23 131:11 130:23 131:11 130:23 131:11 132:11 4,000 85:24 46 12:4 23:20 40 3:3 33:20 93:3 94:2 105:4,5 108:9 105:4,5 108:9 3,800 113:6 3,872 89:21 105:3 109:1 105:3 109:1 30,000 177:17 100,000 11:23 300,000 177:17 100,000 11:23 300 177:17 100,000 11:23 300 177:17 100,000 11:23 300 177:17 100,000 11:23 </td <th>76:23 77:2</th> <td>24 33:15 46:14</td> <td>3</td> <td>386-2000</td>	76:23 77:2	24 33:15 46:14	3	386-2000
167:4,6,18 105:3 109:4 32:10 44:20,23 39 32:21 33:19 203 66:2 24,600 23:18 24,601 95:17 50:12 52:19 3b 83:23 2032 16:21 24,601 95:17 67:24 81:18 4 4 2:7 32:1,10 2034 82:15 242 1:6 4:10 5:23 105:4,5 108:9 36:4 44:20,24 2035 16:19 25 2:16 20:13 105:4,5 108:9 36:4 44:20,24 37:11,16 112:5 33:16 33:16 33:10 123:2 25,000 118:19 3,405 50:18 53:11 54:20 63:23 64:5 3800 113:6 389:23 97:5 123:3 3000 17:17 30000 11:23 3000 17:17 130:23 131:11 3000 17:17 308,050 86:12 3000 17:17 4,000 85:24 46:12 57:19 46:12 57:19 308,050 86:12 40 3:3 33:20 31 149:7 31 149:7 31 39:32 31 149:7 31 149:7 31 39:32 31 149:7 31 39:32 31 149:7 31 149:7 31 39:32 40 2:3 39:32 31 149:7 31 39:32 31 39:32 31:31:11 31 149:7 31 39:32 31:31:11 32:11 31 14	86:10,23 167:2	46:15 89:19	3 27·11 31·24	177:18
203 66:2 113:5 114:12 50:12 52:19 3:19 176:1 2030 174:24 24,600 23:18 50:12 52:19 3b 83:23 2032 16:21 24,601 95:17 4 2034 82:15 242 1:6 4:10 5:23 67:24 81:18 4 2035 16:19 25 2:16 20:13 32:17 33:2,2 3,100 123:2 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 87:11,16 112:5 25,000 118:19 25,000 118:19 51:14 3,800 113:6 53:11 54:20 63:23 64:5 71:8 89:23 97:5 123:3 130:23 131:11 130:23	167:4,6,18	105:3 109:4		39 32:21 33:19
2030 174:24 24,600 23:18 53:11 63:23 3b 83:23 2032 16:21 24,601 95:17 95:17 4 2034 82:15 242 1:6 4:10 5:23 67:24 81:18 88:11 103:3 4 2:7 32:1,10 2035 16:19 25 2:16 20:13 3,100 123:2 3,604 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 37:11,16 112:5 25,000 118:19 25,000 118:19 3,800 113:6 3,872 89:21 53:11 54:20 63:23 64:5 71:8 89:23 97:5 123:3 130:23 131:11 132:11 132:11 4,000 85:24 4,61 12:4 23:20 46:12 57:19 27:5 32:18 30,000 17:17 308,050 86:12 3000 17:17 308,050 86:12 300h 23:3 94:2 40 3:3 33:20 93:3 94:2 400 28:23 29:2 40 23:3 99:2 40 23:3 99:2 40 23:3 99:2 40 23:3 99:2 40 23:3 99:2 40 23:3 99:2 40 23:3 99:2 40 23:3 99:2 40 23:3 99:2 40 23:3 99:2 240	203 66:2	113:5 114:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3:19 176:1
2032 16:21 156:24 24,601 95:17 2034 82:15 2035 16:19 17:2 81:12 87:11,16 112:5 161:20 162:1 162:12,17 25th 169:2 25th 2036 16:22 2040 65:16 66:3 67:11 68:11,12,18 26th 2050 64:11 65:23 139:8,12 140:1,5 26 2055 177:15 206 32:18 3000 17:17 308,050 86:12 3000 177:17 308,050 86:12 3000 177:17 308,050 86:12 3000 177:17 3000 177:17 308,050 86:12 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000	2030 174:24	24,600 23:18		3b 83:23
156:24 2034 82:15 88:11 103:3 4 2:7 32:1,10 36:4 44:20,24 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 36:23 64:5 71:8 89:23 37:5 123:3 3800 113:6 3800 113:6 3800 113:6 3800 113:6 3800 11:23 3000 177:17 308,050 86:12 3000 177:17 308,050 86:12 3000 177:17 400 85:24 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 46 12:4 23:20 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 47 18 48 18 48 18 48 18 48 18<	2032 16:21	24,601 95:17		4
2034 82:15 5:23 105:4,5 108:9 36:4 44:20,24 46:13 52:19 46:13 52:19 46:13 52:19 53:11 54:20 63:23 64:5 71:8 89:23 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21 71:8 89:21	156:24	242 1:6 4:10		4 2.7 32.1 10
2035 16:19 25 2:16 20:13 3,100 123:2 46:13 52:19 53:11 54:20 63:23 64:5 71:8 89:23 63:23 64:5 71:8 89:23 97:5 123:3 97:5 123:3 130:23 131:11	2034 82:15	5:23		· ·
17:2 81:12 32:17 33:2,2 33:16 53:11 54:20 63:23 64:5 161:20 162:1 25,000 118:19 3,800 113:6 71:8 89:23 97:5 123:3 169:2 32:18 33:17 3,872 89:21 105:3 109:1 130:23 131:11 2036 16:22 26 32:18 33:17 30,000 11:23 130:23 131:11 2040 65:16 26th 7:9 308,050 86:12 4.6 12:4 23:20 68:11,12,18 27 7:5 32:18 46:12 57:19 30th 62:4 4.6 12:4 23:20 2050 64:11 46:12 57:19 31 149:7 93:3 94:2 107:3 140:1,5 20:23 177:18 93:3 94:2 107:3 20551 177:15 28 32:19 31st 123:2 40s 91:22 20a 32:14 28.2 77:3 2800 117:20 31 145:24 416 23:16.17	2035 16:19	25 2:16 20:13	· ·	· ·
87:11,16 112:5 33:16 51:14 63:23 64:5 161:20 162:1 25,000 118:19 3,800 113:6 71:8 89:23 97:5 123:3 169:2 134:16 3,872 89:21 105:3 109:1 105:3 109:1 130:23 131:11 2040 65:16 26 32:18 33:17 84:18 3000 177:17 308,050 86:12 4,600 85:24 68:11,12,18 27 7:5 32:18 46:12 57:19 30th 62:4 4.6 12:4 23:20 2050 64:11 46:12 57:19 30th 62:4 31 149:7 93:3 94:2 107:3 107:3 400 28:23 29:2 20551 177:15 28 32:19 31st 123:2 40s 91:22 20a 32:14 2800 117:20 31 145:24 416 23:16.17	17:2 81:12	32:17 33:2,2	'	
161:20 162:1 25,000 118:19 162:12,17 25th 104:13 169:2 3,800 113:6 2036 16:22 3,872 89:21 2040 65:16 36:3 67:11 66:3 67:11 84:18 26th 7:9 27 7:5 32:18 2050 64:11 46:12 57:19 65:23 139:8,12 27th 5:17 8:12 140:1,5 20:23 20551 177:15 28 32:19 20a 32:14 28.2 77:3 20e 32:15 2800 117:20 3,800 113:6 3,872 89:21 105:3 109:1 30,000 177:17 308,050 86:12 30th 62:4 31 149:7 31 2 37:16 177:18 400 28:23 29:2 40s 91:22 41 17:19 416 23:16.17	87:11,16 112:5	33:16	'	
162:12,17 25th 104:13 3,872 89:21 97:5 123:3 2036 16:22 26 32:18 33:17 30,000 11:23 130:23 131:11 2040 65:16 36:3 67:11 30,000 177:17 4,000 85:24 46:12 57:19 308,050 86:12 46:12:4 23:20 2050 64:11 46:12 57:19 30th 62:4 40 3:3 33:20 140:1,5 20:23 31 149:7 93:3 94:2 20551 177:15 28 32:19 31st 123:2 400 28:23 29:2 20a 32:14 28.2 77:3 31st 123:2 40s 91:22 20e 32:15 2800 117:20 33 145:24 41 117:19	161:20 162:1	25,000 118:19		
169:2 134:16 105:3 109:1 130:23 131:11 2036 16:22 26 32:18 33:17 84:18 30,000 11:23 130:23 131:11 2040 65:16 66:3 67:11 68:11,12,18 26th 7:9 308,050 86:12 4,000 85:24 2050 64:11 65:23 139:8,12 140:1,5 27th 5:17 8:12 20:23 30th 62:4 31 149:7 312 37:16 177:18 93:3 94:2 107:3 400 28:23 29:2 40s 91:22 20a 32:14 20e 32:15 28 32:19 28.2 77:3 2800 117:20 31st 123:2 32 149:21 33 145:24 416 23:16.17	162:12,17	25th 104:13	'	
2036 16:22 2040 65:16 66:3 67:11 68:11,12,18 26th 2050 64:11 65:23 139:8,12 140:1,5 27th 20a 32:14 20e 32:15 26th 7:9 3000 177:17 308,050 86:12 30th 62:4 30th 62:4 31 149:7 312 37:16 177:18 107:3 400 28:23 28:2 77:3 2800 117:20	169:2	134:16	'	
2040 65:16 66:3 67:11 68:11,12,18 2050 64:11 65:23 139:8,12 140:1,5 20a 32:14 20e 32:15 84:18 26th 7:9 27 7:5 32:18 46:12 57:19 27 7:5 32:18 46:12 57:19 20:23 20:23 21 149:21 21 107:3 21 149:21 21 107:3 21 149:21 21 107:3 21 149:21 21 117:19 21	2036 16:22	26 32:18 33:17		
66:3 67:11 26th 7:9 68:11,12,18 27 7:5 32:18 2050 64:11 46:12 57:19 65:23 139:8,12 27th 5:17 8:12 140:1,5 20:23 20551 177:15 28 32:19 20a 32:14 28.2 77:3 20e 32:15 2800 117:20 308,050 86:12 30th 62:4 30th 62:4 31 149:7 312 37:16 177:18 31st 123:2 32 149:21 33 145:24	2040 65:16	84:18	'	
68:11,12,18 27 7:5 32:18 30th 62:4 40 3:3 33:20 2050 64:11 46:12 57:19 31 149:7 93:3 94:2 140:1,5 20:23 177:18 107:3 20a 32:14 28 32:19 31st 123:2 40s 91:22 20a 32:15 2800 117:20 33 145:24 41 117:19	66:3 67:11	26th 7:9		•
2050 64:11 46:12 57:19 31 149:7 93:3 94:2 65:23 139:8,12 27th 5:17 8:12 312 37:16 107:3 140:1,5 20:23 177:18 400 28:23 29:2 20a 32:14 28.2 77:3 31st 123:2 40s 91:22 20e 32:15 2800 117:20 33 145:24 416 23:16.17	68:11,12,18	27 7:5 32:18	·	
65:23 139:8,12	2050 64:11	46:12 57:19		
140:1,5 20551 177:15 20a 32:14 20e 32:15 20:23 28 32:19 28.2 77:3 2800 117:20 177:18 31st 123:2 32 149:21 33 145:24 400 28:23 29:2 40s 91:22 41 117:19 416 23:16.17	65:23 139:8,12	27th 5:17 8:12		
20551 177:15 20a 32:14 20e 32:15 28 32:19 28.2 77:3 2800 117:20 31st 123:2 32 149:21 33 145:24 40s 91:22 41 117:19 416 23:16.17	140:1,5	20:23		
20a 32:14 20e 32:15 28.2 77:3 2800 117:20 32 149:21 33 145:24 41 117:19 416 23:16.17	20551 177:15	28 32:19		
20e 32:15 2800 117:20 33 145:24 416 23:16.17	20a 32:14	28.2 77:3		
21 33:14 95:9 2899 51:13	20e 32:15	2800 117:20		
	21 33:14 95:9	2899 51:13	JJ 14J.24	23.10,17
168:13,17,24	168:13,17,24			

[44 - acc] Page 3

44 132:8	150:10	6b 87:7	9,721 66:6
443 64:10	502 111:22	7	90 92:7 117:13
45 32:21 33:20	52 48:20	7 32:3,11 33:6	93 46:13
80:6	53 144:17	34:9 42:21	a
46 3:4 33:21	55 133:19	57:9 74:13,20	a.d. 1:10
163:22	550 117:19	75:4,16	177:22
46a 32:22	57 166:10	70 3:6 107:1	a.m. 1:10 8:20
46b 32:23	59 71:1,3	76 130:6	29:14 176:2
47 32:23 33:22	59.5 129:22	78 109:6	abandon 24:18
48 32:24 33:22	59th 136:23	7a 156:17	abbreviated
79:12,23 80:8	5:00 9:2 29:15	8	70:12 86:1
80:10	6	_	abbreviation
49 33:23 80:7	6 32:3,11 33:5	8 13:22 32:4,11	70:13
49.2 139:7	34:8 36:24	33:8 34:10	ability 43:12
4:30 78:3	42:11 79:6	43:7 46:11	71:17 76:16
4a 85:21 86:16	103:3	57:9 79:10	97:13 174:14
86:17	60 74:3	160:16	able 54:3 61:2
5	600 149:6	8,400 139:19	61:4 74:8 75:9
5 32:2,10 34:7	150:10,12	8.2 77:2 79:7,9	79:17 80:17,22
36:12 52:17	60606 177:18	167:15	93:18 94:3
123:24	607 62:1	80 92:7	97:14 100:1
5,000 114:11	616,101 86:11	820 102:16	105:17 113:12
5,410 129:23	86:23	84-3113 1:23	147:17 162:14
5,631 66:8	62 140:5	850 29:15	168:21 170:1
50 3:5 32:24	162:22	86 23:4	absolutely
33:24 70:24	627 62:1	89 3:9	80:10 162:6
71:4,21 86:11	63rd 114:18	8:00 29:14	academic 19:8
147:4 167:17	65 109:8	8b 160:17	acc 14:15,23,24
168:14 170:19	66 156:23	9	64:9 67:11,15
50,000 80:1,12	67 163:20	9 32:5,11 34:11	68:1,3,5,12,17
134:15	670,000 129:24	78:9 123:12	71:6 73:22
500 79:11	69 109:7	124:3 161:18	74:7 84:1 87:8
149:6 150:10	107.7		90:7 158:5,8
115.0150.10			70.7 130.3,0
	1	1	

[acc - adopt] Page 4

	T	T	1
158:19,24	achieve 82:14	108:20 139:17	addresses
159:3 161:24	achieved 50:23	actual 107:5,5	15:11
169:1 171:11	52:5 131:6	170:10	addressing 6:1
accelerated	achieving	actually 56:10	13:6 24:19
13:4	82:24	63:22 65:15	61:10 103:10
accelerating	acre 132:10	105:10	122:16 174:1
41:14	act 7:6 13:16	acute 139:16	175:9
accept 88:18	13:19,23 15:20	add 67:22	adequate 147:3
148:22	16:19,22,24	143:14 159:10	adjacent
accepted 6:5	18:11 21:19	added 16:23	132:12
accepting	23:12 30:11	54:2 66:1	adjoining
119:13 174:12	50:15 53:13	139:20	29:15
access 24:11	57:20,22 67:11	adding 50:15	adjunct 154:6
29:24 30:7	67:15 68:1,18	addition 53:21	adjusted
144:17 172:21	81:23 90:24	110:17 154:18	164:13
accompanying	98:19,21	additional	adjustments
28:21	111:22 112:9	67:10 68:15,19	164:3 165:7,11
account 52:19	139:1 142:17	72:1 88:19	adm 1:5
52:20 57:23	142:18 147:17	132:13	administration
67:4 69:10	147:21 148:1	additionally	24:23 91:2
70:23 97:10	174:16	58:18	101:8 112:12
accountability	acted 146:24	additive 67:19	142:3
147:20	action 95:13	address 12:6	administrative
accounted	103:8 107:18	14:5 20:24	4:10 57:12
161:6	112:13 127:6	21:17 22:1	admission 78:7
accounting	135:15 138:16	61:16,20 89:6	admitted 5:6
66:3 83:6	148:23 174:21	112:7 129:17	adopt 5:22,24
145:23	actions 148:10	135:4	13:23 16:10,15
accounts 28:23	active 37:18,18	addressed	16:17 26:13,15
92:6	37:20	20:20 22:9	27:5 28:12
accumulating	activities 95:19	37:9 38:14	39:11 42:4,15
121:3	114:2	63:20 111:17	43:2 57:21
accurate 15:13	activity 28:20	147:18	95:15 99:7,21
177:11	89:18 95:18		101:14 104:2

[adopt - agree] Page 5

108:1 112:16	adopts 59:4	adverse 58:2	117:1 120:5
115:13 118:22	67:11	61:21 155:10	122:7 128:17
121:19 122:2	adults 91:18	155:13	130:16 133:12
125:8 127:5	115:10 126:10	adversely 62:5	138:23 152:7
130:10 135:3	129:10 141:18	adversity	153:23 172:16
135:10,16	advance 30:16	137:14	age 109:8,8
139:1 146:1	advanced 6:3,3	advisor 4:19	agencies 45:16
152:22 153:8	13:24 14:1,15	advisory 18:12	49:6 56:21
163:3,7 171:10	14:21 44:16,17	advocacy 43:23	57:6 63:5,8
171:11	45:1,1 47:1,1	110:18 128:18	154:20
adopted 15:10	54:11 73:24	129:2	agency 32:10
16:21 64:9	74:4,10,16	advocate 18:20	50:6,9 54:16
101:6 117:8	83:4,14 90:5,7	19:24 41:8	55:19 57:12
141:23,24	99:21,23	60:10 111:5	62:9 170:19,21
146:15 158:24	111:21 112:4	145:6	agency's 54:19
163:2,11,12,14	121:19,20	affect 62:5 99:2	79:23
adopting 16:19	129:4,5 130:10	124:9	ages 99:11
22:23 30:17	130:11 138:8,8	affected 36:20	108:15 123:24
45:1 67:9	139:1,10 146:4	57:16,17,18	141:13
101:12 103:5	146:5 157:10	97:17 110:22	aggravated
107:13 109:17	157:24 161:4	127:17 133:22	30:1 111:1
111:19 113:3	161:22,23	138:6	aggressive
115:9 117:7	163:3,3,7,10,11	affecting 38:9	130:20 131:18
118:12 119:24	163:13,16	90:18 123:8	ago 85:10
122:13 124:12	173:22,23	138:17 146:18	116:3 131:12
163:16 165:7	advancement	affects 37:12	149:7
175:16	146:14	46:22 90:21	agree 46:18
adoption 17:18	advancing	129:8 133:15	80:24 81:14
41:14 56:2,16	112:18	134:24 141:7	83:24 85:11
58:6 68:12	advantage 68:7	141:11	156:24 157:12
94:22 101:23	72:1 75:9,11	afternoon 19:4	159:6 160:1,6
104:20 129:3	168:5	89:2 101:19	160:8,14 161:1
158:19 160:24	advantages	104:10 108:10	162:14
	137:13	110:11 113:1	

[agreement - analysis]

4 52 0	110 15 17 10	1.	1 4
agreement 52:9	119:15,17,18	alignment	amendment
159:20	122:15 123:7	52:14 84:11	165:4
ahead 9:14	125:7 126:1,6	alike 24:2	amendments
70:20 168:23	127:3 129:5,8	allergies	164:19
aimed 21:20	129:19 130:8	113:23	ameren 82:2
air 1:5 5:23	134:13,24	alliance 33:1	american
11:13,15,15,20	135:5,13,14	70:5,9 77:8	104:15 105:19
12:6,13,20,24	136:14,23	79:4 167:11	128:19 139:6
13:6,15,16	137:9,23	alliance's 70:21	154:10,11
14:2 15:20	138:12,17	77:6 78:18,21	amount 37:16
18:6,17 19:9	139:16 140:8,9	81:18 85:21	48:24 49:2
19:11,15,23	140:9,21	156:17 160:16	50:22 52:5
20:1,5,8,15	142:19,23	161:18	53:18 57:15,18
23:14,23 24:9	143:6 144:5,10	allow 23:9 78:8	67:10 80:19
24:12 25:10	144:11 147:8	allowed 8:5,7	84:6 86:12
28:12 30:1,3	148:17 150:8	71:15	94:21 96:3
36:20 37:21	154:16,20,20	allowing	98:11,14
41:13 50:8,9	155:7,8,16	153:24	114:20 123:6
52:10,12 74:1	164:1,4,8,13,16	allows 16:3	139:24 157:3
74:10 89:6,12	164:22 165:3,8	56:13 59:5	169:8
90:19 92:12	169:3 170:13	83:5 95:4	amplified 13:4
93:10,11,16	172:22 173:13	alternative	amplifies
94:21 95:2,11	173:14,16	57:7	137:14
95:16 96:3,6	174:19	alvi 104:8	ana 116:23
97:9,17 98:8,9	albert 1:17	amato 144:15	119:8,10
100:10,13	10:19 59:18	146:7,9,9	analyses 52:18
101:9 102:4,14	alerts 107:8	amazon 148:4	53:9
104:2 106:6,15	alex 94:7 96:13	amazon's 148:6	analysis 44:14
107:2,7 109:20	96:15 99:15	ambassador	44:21,23 50:16
110:17 111:2,3	101:17,20	114:5	51:16 52:22
111:6,15,22,24	align 51:21	ambitious	53:1,9,11 54:4
112:2,11,15	52:13	144:5	56:6,8,18
113:4 116:18	aligning 148:8	amended	57:12 59:16
116:19 118:23		164:13,17,19	61:7,13,18
		102,17,17	31.7,12,10

[analysis - asking]

Page 7

	T	T	1
63:20,23 64:8	75:16 79:3	apologies 10:10	approximately
65:6,14 66:22	80:13 81:19	apologize 31:20	45:20 79:11
66:22 68:3,20	83:18 86:4,19	40:24 88:17	86:12 113:8
71:6 73:15,20	155:21 166:22	appear 18:4	139:12 167:17
75:22,24 76:2	168:24 169:20	31:5	april 97:6
82:13 84:15	171:9,24	appears 24:18	arc 150:23,23
103:1 139:9	answered	99:19 167:3	archer 29:4
analyze 59:9	80:15 171:16	apple 76:21	114:10,11
75:24	answers 7:17	85:21 156:17	area 19:22
analyzed 44:17	7:22 8:10	applies 16:12	38:10,16
anand 1:13	15:14 17:12	37:8 53:3	110:23 113:13
4:15	26:22 27:2,5	73:19	115:23 120:18
anaphylaxis	27:10 36:6,11	apply 14:17,23	149:20,22
173:6	42:3,23 43:5	16:8 54:6 73:4	areas 28:18
andres 104:7	46:12 54:23	appointments	43:15 56:24
108:7,11	62:16 63:2	154:7	82:10 105:12
announced	68:24 78:11	appreciate	119:2 149:22
164:18	80:4 84:24	39:14 63:3,4	150:4
annual 76:22	151:9 153:2,5	99:13 151:2	arena 30:12
140:1 166:24	153:6,8,13,15	approach	argue 47:11
167:12	anticipate 8:21	13:10 58:12	87:18
annualizing	56:7 83:19	59:6 63:8 83:3	argus 6:24
168:1	anticipated	83:13	arms 147:14
annually	69:18	appropriate	arranging 11:3
102:16 117:20	anticipates	76:12	art 170:10
answer 7:20	68:6	appropriated	aside 9:15
18:24 21:10	anticipating	86:4	asked 60:12
43:11 47:8,19	83:10	appropriations	61:14 62:20
51:17 54:8	anxiety 125:24	86:8	77:15 144:11
56:17 58:14	anybody 30:24	approval 163:8	170:16
59:19 60:15,17	69:22 172:1	163:12	asking 8:17
60:22,24 61:2	anymore 172:4	approximate	60:9 61:15
62:19,22 63:13	apart 101:9	79:10	62:11,15 95:3
63:14 70:19	126:8		98:20 134:21

[asking - available]

140 5 10 140 0	. 02.2	44 1 00 10	41 49 4 1
142:5,18 148:9	assuming 83:3	attack 89:18	authenticated
157:15	167:6	116:11 117:23	77:19
aspect 171:3	assumption	123:13 173:11	author 87:3
aspects 47:9	50:19 52:1,8	attacks 29:20	authority 13:21
assessing 15:17	52:16 56:12	29:20 117:20	30:16
assessment	81:9	129:13,14,24	authorized
73:7,11 75:19	assumptions	attainable	86:5
157:2	54:5 64:4	73:12	auto 14:17 72:9
assistance 50:6	asthma 29:20	attempt 63:14	72:17,23 73:8
assistant 20:4	29:24 36:22	163:6,9,10	73:12 74:20
91:13 94:11	38:6 40:18	attending 1:11	75:3,3,4,18
135:22	89:11,17 93:23	155:1	76:4,16 80:1
associated	96:1 99:9,10	attention 26:23	80:11 157:13
65:10 69:18	99:24 102:9,17	37:21 143:10	157:19 158:16
102:12 131:24	102:17 105:13	143:12 145:22	159:7,8,10
155:7,9 159:16	105:22 106:5	146:6 153:3	automaker
association	106:21 107:6	attitude 85:9	74:11
5:19 10:18	107:22 109:15	attorney 4:18	automaker's
20:12 33:5	110:24 111:23	4:19 35:7	74:13
34:3 95:7	116:6,11,14	80:16 99:19	automobile
104:15 105:20	117:20,23	100:8	33:4 162:20
123:23 128:19	123:11,13,23	attorneys 1:16	166:7,20
128:20,24	124:1 126:4,10	60:22 80:15,22	168:14
130:2 139:6	129:12,23	171:17	automotive
162:20 163:20	139:17 141:14	attributable	33:1 70:6,9
166:7 168:15	143:20 149:17	58:16 59:3,12	77:8 79:4
assume 55:3	149:23 150:13	attribute 59:8	availability
56:4,19 72:19	154:23 173:4,5	160:12	53:14 81:4
75:1 76:1	173:10	attributed	157:16,23
84:17 86:9	astounding	169:12	158:2,13
157:19	119:19	auckland 140:8	available 18:24
assumed 51:15	ate 146:23	august 7:9,9	70:19 81:1
assumes 84:15	attached 63:20	australia 140:9	82:9,22 84:20
			100:19,22

[available - beneficial]

Page 9

131:14,15,17	71:13 76:11,13	baseline 16:5	begun 58:19
158:6,8 159:20	88:2,5 110:7	25:6 44:23	132:24
168:16 173:21	116:10 136:9	45:4 50:24	behalf 19:24
175:15	151:5 156:19	51:16,18 52:6	25:7 35:8 46:7
	172:8	52:21 53:22	91:14
avenue 109:3,3			
average 48:7	background	54:5,7,13	behavior 85:4
48:10 53:24	64:4	157:2 171:7	beings 150:21
64:10,17,24	backgrounds	basic 24:19	belabor 62:8
65:7,17,22	108:16	97:20 160:4	believe 15:9
66:24 157:7	backtrack 91:3	basis 36:20	32:12 37:10
158:18	backwards	37:12 52:1,15	38:22 44:19
avoid 5:14 16:2	69:1	71:3 92:9	47:10 48:5
120:20 145:17	bad 90:3 97:3	136:10	51:16 54:22
avoided 50:17	116:10	battery 130:23	59:20 60:5
50:20,24 51:14	ban 87:9,14,17	169:22	63:22 65:24
52:2 129:23,23	bank 81:7	battles 150:6,8	66:15,18 68:2
129:24 131:9	barbara 1:11	bear 5:8 12:16	71:21 74:19,23
awaited 149:15	4:13 142:12	20:1 100:15	75:6,15 79:20
aware 48:11,17	144:14,16	103:12 106:14	82:21,23 84:10
48:24 49:4,7	146:8 149:2,3	137:17 138:3	84:24 85:2
73:7 82:8	barely 125:23	bears 122:19	86:4,17 110:16
86:21 114:3	based 19:17	becoming	127:12,15,19
119:11 121:1,4	27:23 39:23	90:24 96:23	128:7,11
135:24 146:22	46:11 48:4,7	112:11	150:21,21
160:5 163:2,6	50:15 55:18	beg 147:7	158:11 160:8
163:13,15	56:23 58:1	began 45:10,17	164:7,15,18,19
b	64:12,22 65:23	beginning 8:20	167:10 171:3
	67:2,15 72:19	45:9 65:3	belleville 6:22
b 7:5 32:14,15	74:24 76:1	68:18 73:3	bellwether
70:5	86:9 105:21,22	113:18 143:11	133:5
babies 141:15	117:5 164:14	144:6 145:14	belmont 115:17
back 9:6,11	167:2,13,20	162:12 168:2	beneficial
22:5 24:22	175:2	begins 66:2	66:15 82:4
51:22 59:24		72:9	
		ral Calutions	

[beneficiaries - boldly]

Page 10

	I		
beneficiaries	beyond 17:1	black 38:8	58:4 59:16
135:12	51:4 78:19	100:13 106:11	61:14,19 62:16
benefit 12:15	86:5 126:19	123:24	62:17 67:11
21:4 82:2	149:16	bladder 111:12	69:24 74:1
102:24 118:12	bido 88:23 89:2	blighted 133:18	88:15 89:3
118:17,18	89:2	block 39:6	94:12 95:15
135:12 137:10	big 141:22	blocked 132:17	99:17 101:19
138:4	biggest 150:19	blocks 38:23	108:10 109:17
benefits 15:7	bike 114:6,16	105:4 113:8	109:24 113:2
17:18 20:9	114:24 115:1,2	123:4 136:21	115:12 117:7
23:5 25:4 30:7	120:19	149:14	118:22 125:8
45:1 51:23	biking 113:10	blood 93:1	127:5 133:13
90:11,16	114:8,9,22	blowing 97:10	135:3 138:24
126:19 129:6	120:12	blue 37:10 39:8	144:2,9 147:21
129:16,23	bilbruck 1:19	89:22 101:5	155:23 163:7
139:11	4:22	109:3 113:7,19	163:12 164:1,4
best 21:9,16,24	billion 12:4	board 1:1,11	164:8,9,13,16
31:23 43:11	23:4,20 25:4	2:2 4:6,10,12	164:23 165:3,8
85:4 97:4	48:20 102:16	4:13,13,14,19	165:9,10,11,17
131:13 149:18	102:18 129:22	4:20 5:9,22,24	169:5,14
149:19 171:4	139:7,12,24	6:5 7:4,15,21	170:21 171:1
175:6	billions 147:4	9:17,18 10:4	171:22 172:3
better 12:21	bills 137:23	11:1,2,9,12	174:11 175:18
25:10 48:6	binder 41:22	13:15,21 14:3	board's 4:14,17
56:13 59:7,9	bioengineering	15:14 17:15,16	4:21 5:4,10
64:14 75:6,16	110:13	17:19 19:15	9:17 14:14
79:21 80:15,23	birds 144:24	24:16 25:8	104:19
81:17 83:6	birthdays 93:7	28:9,9,12 30:9	bodies 18:12
97:3 100:7,18	births 93:7	30:15 31:23	49:5
101:3,13	bit 11:6 27:19	34:16,22 39:20	body 142:6
134:22 140:7,7	34:17 35:19	40:2 43:16	bold 148:23
171:16 173:20	36:14 37:7	45:23 49:22	174:22
173:21	39:8 44:9	52:10,12 57:10	boldly 174:20
	72:14 99:1	57:13,20,22	

[book - c] Page 11

book 101:6	breathing	brook 144:24	burdened
bordering	24:14 38:5	brother 123:10	12:21 19:22
132:14	93:15 94:19	123:13 173:3,9	108:18 121:23
born 115:17	95:6 109:11	brown 1:13 3:6	burdens 30:6
141:15 149:8	113:24 139:16	4:16 70:4,4,17	58:23 119:2
borne 24:1,3	143:3 149:9,12	77:22,23 78:8	138:13
30:13 74:9	brenda 122:5	78:17,21 85:17	bureau 50:8
109:21	124:24 125:1	85:20 87:19	burn 100:11
boundaries	brian 20:10	106:12 156:7	burning 104:24
132:19	bridges 45:14	156:15 165:12	145:16
bout 97:3	47:12	brown's 78:12	bus 113:11
bout 97.3 boyfriend	brief 8:2 17:22	brunt 12:16	115:24
136:20	22:20 27:13	100:15 106:15	buses 100:9,20
brand 132:9	28:4 80:16	109:21 124:17	109:2 130:6
break 9:1 28:7	153:18,20	138:3	134:5,5 140:19
40:22 41:2	briefing 22:17	brush 145:8	140:21 141:2,5
85:18 137:24	briefs 63:12	bucket 65:4	142:24 143:4
151:6	brighton 29:12	buckets 48:14	busiest 29:14
breakdown	38:15	48:16	business 133:1
83:19	bring 90:8,12	buckle 31:21	businesses
breaking 8:21	119:4 135:5	budgetary	38:19 98:13
20:8	145:14 173:23	57:13	132:3,20
breath 116:4	174:5	build 45:13	busy 136:4
120:22	bringing 90:9	55:19 57:6	butuyan
breathe 24:12	brings 66:21	building 15:24	135:20 138:22
92:12 94:20	broader 15:19	65:10 145:1	138:23
100:2 105:18	16:14 21:12,17	147:4 161:7	buy 85:1
111:1 123:7,15	56:11	built 56:7,10	162:13,14,17
126:1,10 127:2	broadly 14:9	118:6	buyers 85:1
130:8 134:2,24	48:16 55:2	burden 22:2	, in the second
135:13 144:10	56:19 158:12	102:12 103:12	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
150:8 173:2,6	broke 156:8	118:24 122:19	c 32:15 46:7
173:11	bronchitis	125:11	50:5,5 160:17
1,0,11	139:17	120.11	177:2

[cabins - cassel] Page 12

cabins 124:12	171.0 10	116.20 117.7	00mm0ggg 110.0
cackette 19:14	171:8,18 california's	116:20 117:7	carrasco 119:9
		118:12,22	120:3,5,6
19:14,19 21:9	16:6,13 171:1	120:22 125:9	carried 12:9
31:23 32:1,3,5	called 25:17	126:13 127:6	carry 71:13
32:7,8,14,16,18	35:2 40:8	131:10 135:24	76:11,13,17
32:20,22,24	127:12 137:18	136:2 142:3	116:7 173:4
33:7,9,11,14,18	152:2	155:5 161:5	cars 6:3 13:24
33:19,23 34:8	calling 88:6,10	173:23	14:15 36:21
34:20,21 74:7	campus 29:12	carbon 92:15	39:9 44:16
74:23 75:6,15	canadian	130:5 145:21	45:2 47:1
76:7,12,19	110:22	145:24 146:2	54:11 83:4,14
79:20 80:22	cancer 91:21	174:21	90:7,13 91:6
81:16 84:13	92:1,22 94:2	carcinogen	99:23 100:9,20
160:9 164:21	111:12 121:10	102:7	109:18 112:4
166:12 168:18	134:8,12	cardiovascular	116:16 121:20
176:3	141:14	102:6 129:12	129:5 130:11
cackette's	cap 80:20	155:11	136:10 138:9
84:24	capabilities	care 37:23	142:4,24 143:4
calculate 73:15	148:8,15	91:13 92:5	144:18 146:5
82:18	capable 148:14	104:12 105:16	157:10,24
calculated 48:4	capacity 55:7	105:16,21	160:11 161:22
65:1 69:2	55:22 95:20	110:15,18	163:3,4,7,11,11
calculations	capita 11:24	127:21	163:14,16
66:23 71:2	captured	career 45:9	167:19
calendar 73:1	161:12,14	cargo 148:3	carve 121:21
california 6:1	car 1:5 4:9	caring 91:17	137:11
13:15 14:2,12	28:12 48:7	carlie 1:9,15	case 44:8
15:18 16:11,15	65:7,13 66:5,7	4:18	111:23 121:1
16:16,21,23	67:3 74:16	carol 1:22	123:18 159:24
17:4 19:15,18	90:5 92:20	177:4,16	173:12
21:12,15 52:10	94:1,14,22	carolina 120:4	cases 69:10
74:1 164:1,4,5	95:15 104:20	122:5,8	139:16
164:8,12,16	113:3 115:19	carolyn 88:23	cassel 96:13
165:3,8 170:22	115:22,24	89:1,2	99:15,17,18

[cat - child] Page 13

cat 79:15	certainly 22:15	charger 55:3,8	chest 113:24
caught 172:24	28:6 78:10	55:9,10,11	chevy 144:18
cause 12:3	102:3 150:17	64:2,7,19,22,24	chicago 5:20
92:22 105:1	certified 177:5	65:11,19 66:2	6:21 9:12
115:5 129:11	chaclas 172:16	66:10,19 85:11	10:17,20 11:21
155:9 177:13	172:17	chargers 39:9	19:12,20 27:15
caused 36:21	chair 4:12 28:8	52:24 53:1,4	27:17,22 28:1
106:6 137:10	144:21 146:10	53:15 54:10	28:16 30:23
137:22 147:8	chairman	55:1,7,16,19	31:1 36:17
causes 23:16,18	133:13	56:1,7,10,19	39:22 46:2
93:2 98:5,6	challenge 12:6	63:19 65:23	49:18 89:19
causing 113:22	137:16	84:2	96:16,16,21
139:17	challenges	charging 21:20	99:20 104:12
ceja 62:2 82:24	109:10 113:12	53:14 54:9,14	104:24 114:8
142:16,17	125:20	54:17 55:3,5	114:22 115:18
cejn's 19:23	champaign	55:13,15,16	116:17 117:5
cell 169:23	6:23	56:13 64:6,12	117:10 146:11
center 5:20	chance 97:5	64:23 81:22	148:20 149:9
10:21 29:14	change 13:3,3	82:5,9,15 84:5	149:14,20
35:10 89:20	13:11 20:5,6	84:11,16,16,18	154:13,23
108:23 122:24	23:11 24:20	84:19,20 85:2	155:18 156:3
centers 55:12	25:11 46:23	85:3,6,9,14	177:18
central 15:21	90:20 95:19	140:2	chicago's 18:13
centralia 6:24	112:9 124:8	chase 10:16	108:14 122:20
centrally 18:10	145:12 147:10	check 164:18	123:20 130:18
20:14	154:16,21	checked 116:5	132:2,7
centric 135:24	155:15	checking	chicagoans
century 148:18	changes 36:19	166:17	127:18
certain 44:3	95:20 106:2	chemical	chicagoland
54:1 55:16,22	changing 11:19	116:18	110:23 115:23
55:23 73:17	85:5 126:14	chemicals	chief 4:15 19:5
83:10 141:3	chapter 174:10	134:8	child 106:5
153:2 158:23	charge 84:22	chemolytic	123:11 149:12
175:9,15	85:14	30:6	

[childhood - clear]

childhood	chug 100:11	clarifying	115:9 116:20
111:23 123:4	churning 12:17	70:15	117:7 118:12
123:16,23	cicero 122:11	clarity 70:10	118:22 119:24
149:17	125:5	class 67:24	121:20,20
children 95:24	cigarettes	116:14 124:7	122:13 124:7
101:2 105:11	97:18	141:6	124:19 125:8
107:6 109:14	circumstance	classes 15:5	126:13,21
115:10 123:24	138:1	154:15	127:3,5 129:3
125:17 126:9	cite 86:3	classifications	129:4,5,21
127:7,20 129:9	cited 84:23	55:1	130:10,11,11
138:17 143:1	cities 145:18	classify 87:17	135:3,8,16
143:13 149:4	citing 86:18	clean 1:5 4:9	138:8,9 139:1
150:24	citizens 99:4	6:3,3 11:8	139:10 140:7
children's 90:1	132:21 144:10	13:16,24 14:1	142:4,8,19,19
95:22 125:24	city 18:13	14:6,13,15	143:23 144:1
chloe 4:19	89:11 98:10	15:20 19:3	144:11 146:4,5
choice 138:20	114:7 117:12	20:15 28:12	146:12 147:1
choices 131:20	118:7 120:16	37:1 41:14	155:5 157:10
choked 100:15	127:18 148:23	43:21,23 44:16	157:24 161:5
cholera 146:18	city's 132:18	44:17 45:1	161:22,23
choose 8:2,6,8	civil 79:24	47:1 52:9	163:3,4,11,11
67:24 171:8	claim 166:24	54:11 73:24	163:13,16
chooses 16:17	clarification	74:4,10,16	172:22 173:22
57:10	61:6 77:24	83:4,14 89:6	173:23 174:5
choosing 55:19	clarified	90:5,6,19 91:4	cleaner 100:19
chosen 175:9	167:10	91:6 92:12,20	103:5 107:16
175:16	clarify 48:4	94:1,14,22	111:6 112:15
chris 9:6,9	62:24 63:10	95:15,16 98:9	124:21 126:18
christina	71:11 73:10	98:15 99:7,21	135:13 140:11
124:24 127:11	84:3 86:18	99:23 104:20	143:23,24
127:13 128:17	87:7 157:15	108:1 109:18	clear 5:10,15
chronic 91:19	161:19 162:5	110:17 111:19	11:8 14:21
96:20 102:9	162:13 169:6	111:22 112:4	15:14 45:2
126:10 129:13		112:16 113:3,4	47:2 62:21

[clear - communities]

Page 15

90:7 102:2	closer 56:9	colorado	121:8 122:12
111:16 126:20	closest 24:4	141:24	172:13
135:2,14	clothes 149:13	colored 101:5	commenter
150:16 155:3	cloud 115:3	coloring 101:6	149:2
cleared 149:23	172:24	com 66:17,17	commenters
149:23,24	clouds 38:8	82:1	100:24 105:2
clearly 5:14	club 5:17 10:19	combating	comments 2:5
26:1	10:20 35:8,9	112:19	3:8 9:15,16,18
clerk's 9:17	59:19 110:12	combustion	16:22 59:20
clients 45:15	119:16 144:20	159:17 170:3	88:14 108:8
climate 11:19	146:11 174:10	come 9:3,9 22:4	128:20 142:14
13:3,3,19	co2 111:7	46:3 59:1	165:10
18:11 20:5,6	112:19	65:16 82:16	commerce 7:6
21:18 23:10,12	coal 117:11,12	83:20 88:7	93:18 132:5
24:20 25:11	117:16 118:3	115:18 136:16	133:1
41:16 81:23	coast 141:24	137:20 145:8	commercial
90:19 91:3	142:1	157:5 161:16	23:2 24:7
101:11 112:9	code 1:6 4:10	172:14	140:4
135:22 142:17	codes 28:18	comes 11:20	commercially
145:12 154:16	coffee 133:24	12:1 23:14	100:21
154:21 155:15	colash 100:17	58:10 92:10	commitment
161:11,15	cold 105:14	147:8,24	23:9
173:17 174:6	collapse 145:19	coming 39:14	commitments
174:21 175:5	colleague 19:2	47:20 49:13,24	131:15
175:11	41:19 119:16	70:1 73:24	committed
climbing	colleagues 92:1	98:13 141:4	52:12,15
144:23	college 154:10	169:12	173:15
clinic 91:19	154:10,11	commencing	committee
92:4	color 18:6 24:8	1:9	57:11 144:20
close 22:20	103:12 107:1	comment 8:23	common
38:2 119:12	107:20 109:7	9:8,12 19:4	172:24
131:23 135:13	111:24 114:16	34:2,5 60:14	communities
closely 44:4	115:11 150:4	88:4,11,16,17	12:18,21,24
106:2 134:20	155:18	88:18,20 117:2	18:6 19:24

[communities - confirm]

23:15,24 24:6	122:19 125:5	159:14,21	concerns 93:17
24:9 28:1 29:3	126:3 132:12	167:1,17 168:4	175:10
29:22 30:9,13	133:15,18	168:7 169:9,16	conclude 176:1
30:19 38:13	134:23 141:7	169:17,23	concluded 64:8
89:8 90:9,18	community's	170:1	concludes 31:7
92:10 95:21	109:5 111:4	complications	conclusion
100:15 101:7	companies	116:3	118:21
103:11,12	52:11 148:3	comply 15:22	conclusive
106:12 107:20	company 55:19	54:3 67:24	30:12
108:5 109:21	compared 23:2	157:22 158:17	conditioning
110:1,4 111:14	25:5 48:9	158:20 159:7	12:24
112:3,15	50:20 51:8	159:12,15,24	conditions 30:1
114:15 115:11	52:3 66:8	168:6,10 171:7	53:16 54:13
118:24 119:2	124:1 131:20	complying	84:21 91:19
120:8 121:17	167:13	171:20	96:20 102:9
121:24 122:17	comparison	component	106:22 110:24
123:20 124:10	114:7	139:21	111:1,13
124:16 127:2	complement	components	129:10 137:12
129:18 132:5	23:11	102:3	143:8,9
135:6,11 137:8	complete 5:10	compounds	conduct 7:7
137:11,19	87:9,14 96:9	125:22	28:22 29:1
138:3,14	177:11	comptroller's	59:15 62:3
148:11 155:17	completely	48:18	63:5
173:24	69:19	concentrated	conducted
community	compliance	28:17 119:3	44:12 53:9,11
18:17 27:23	15:8 21:6 68:7	135:6	69:1 75:22
28:15 29:12,17	71:13,15,19,22	concern 60:13	108:22 119:18
36:18,23 37:14	72:4,5,24 73:3	concerned	conducting
38:12,19	73:8,13,16,16	131:23 132:16	119:17
104:22 108:11	73:20,23 74:4	132:21	confidently
108:13 109:14	74:7,12,22	concerning	94:3
114:23 117:3,9	75:10,21 76:6	75:2 76:3	confirm 63:19
118:1,3,6	76:23 77:1	79:23 154:20	166:5
119:4,22 121:5	157:20 159:11		

[confirmed - cook]

04 7		101 10 1 (0 00	10 (17 10 1 0
confirmed	considered	131:19 160:20	126:17 134:8
131:4	22:24 140:9	162:12	contributes
congestion	163:15 164:9	contain 15:6	92:18
114:19	170:1	44:24 49:9	contributing
connect 150:16	considering	92:22	134:14
connected 77:9	110:3 161:4	contained	contributor
79:5	165:11 175:19	13:24	102:5 106:16
connecticut	consistent 39:4	contains 102:7	145:23
163:15	56:3 63:7	177:11	contributors
connection	consistently	contamination	92:7 100:10
145:3	55:18 65:2,12	125:14	control 1:1 2:2
connie 140:13	consists 27:23	context 11:6	4:6 11:12
142:12	constant 38:8	30:10 102:11	104:19 109:17
connolly 1:22	89:17 109:9	109:5	113:2 117:7
177:4,16	116:4 125:24	contextualize	118:22 121:15
consensus	126:6	157:1	121:15,18
102:2	constantly	continue 82:23	122:1 125:18
consequence	37:20 38:4,9	85:18 91:4	133:12 135:3
114:13 155:14	122:21	99:9 124:6	138:24 144:2,9
consequences	constitute	131:19 135:9	147:20
29:19 145:17	169:3	151:10 156:9	controlling
consequently	construction	168:5 171:12	175:21
74:20	97:11 132:18	176:2	controls 100:21
conservative	139:22	continued	converted
103:4	consultancy	156:14	55:10
conservatively	44:14	continues	convince
23:4 25:3	consultant	112:14	138:24
consider 58:5	45:11 154:19	continuing	cook 91:18
61:16 62:4	consumed	156:6	92:15 93:4,15
95:3 164:24	132:8	contracting	135:23 136:11
consideration	consumer	46:9	136:13 144:20
104:4 127:9	84:22 169:19	contrast 65:5	145:5 155:2
165:3	consumers	contribute	172:17 177:6
	13:12 85:5	28:17 92:23	

[coordinated - creating]

Page 18

70 / 7		40.00.45.10	05.0.05.0
coordinated	corridors 56:20	43:22 45:18	85:8 97:2
114:16	57:7 105:1	108:12	course 88:18
coordinator	106:23 119:3	counsel 8:5	127:16
1:19 4:21	120:16 122:20	10:19,20 60:8	court 5:13 7:24
copd 97:23	143:19	60:22 63:4	8:14 10:7
106:21	cost 21:3 24:2	70:5 78:4	35:17 70:11
copies 77:14	50:24 51:3	170:10	87:23 88:8
copy 15:18	52:2 63:19	count 29:7	152:12 172:15
core 132:20	64:6,17,22,24	122:23	courts 177:7
corporate 23:2	65:20,22 66:2	counted 89:21	cousin 121:13
118:5,14	66:5,7,9,19	109:2 137:1	cover 137:23
corporation	67:1 80:20	140:16,20	covered 16:16
118:8	102:15 124:15	169:9	covid 98:3
correct 40:19	131:4 133:2,6	counties 91:18	113:15,16
42:13,14,24	139:23 143:15	92:16,17 107:2	140:18,20
43:12 48:14	160:10,12,13	172:19	cowardice
49:10 51:11	costs 12:4	countless	147:19
63:21 64:13	23:14,19,21	123:19 144:23	coworker's
72:7,8,10,18	24:1 50:14,19	country 92:17	121:11,12,12
73:1 74:14,22	57:18 63:24	93:16 104:17	coworkers
75:1 79:7,13	64:1,19 65:6,9	128:22 158:17	120:24 121:8
80:2,12 84:8	65:10,15,19	174:2	craft 145:9
86:13 158:10	66:24 68:13	country's	cragin 115:17
159:4,5,9	69:2,3,8,14,17	132:24	crawford
161:21,24	79:19 81:15	counts 11:23	117:12,16
162:2,3,7,10,15	102:14,16,22	29:2	crayfish 144:24
162:16 164:6	131:7,8,10	county 93:4	create 11:8
166:13,15	139:8 161:5,7	101:21 135:23	23:8 107:15
167:19 168:1	161:14	136:11,13	126:22,23
corrected 79:5	cough 115:5	144:20 145:5	created 89:20
correctly 51:6	coughs 116:4	155:2 172:17	creating 137:11
52:7	council 5:18	177:2,6,7	138:5,11
corridor	10:23 18:21	couple 16:9	146:24
113:11 125:5	35:9 41:10	46:9 84:14	

[credentials - december]

Page 19

credentials	85:8 86:3	122:23 125:22	dceo 7:8,10
96:17	101:5 157:13	130:24 133:20	61:8,14,17
credit 21:5	161:6,17	135:1 136:10	de 70:23 72:2
66:12,12,14	164:15 167:13	damen 37:10	167:17
79:19 80:20	167:14,15	39:8 89:22	deafening
credits 66:14	currently 51:21	109:2 113:7	136:24
71:13,16,17,23	69:4 72:17	dangers 125:14	dealer's 33:4
71:24 72:1	85:6 120:6	daniel 20:3	166:7
75:13 76:11,13	128:22 131:2	darin 174:9,9	dealers 162:20
76:17 81:1,5,7	145:23	data 44:20,24	163:20 166:21
81:11,14 162:1	curriculum	73:24 74:7	168:14
162:8 169:12	154:14	79:2 89:20	dealing 24:9
crisis 105:10	currie 1:11	107:5 124:4	125:20
106:17 117:18	4:13 28:9	date 52:19	death 29:20
critical 104:2	curve 160:4	dated 20:23	98:5,6 107:23
107:15 112:13	customers	dates 53:10	155:12
124:20 126:14	140:4	88:21 171:12	deaths 11:24
129:16 174:15	cut 27:19 98:16	daughter	23:17 111:23
crossover	cuts 108:21	121:11 140:14	117:19 129:23
67:20	cutting 133:2	davis 50:7	139:15 150:10
crr 1:22 177:16	cycle 65:9,14	day 1:10 6:7,10	deatrick 10:16
crucial 24:24	65:18 66:23	14:7 96:9	debilitating
25:9 30:7	67:1	113:20 114:2,2	96:1,19
109:19	cycles 137:21	116:1,9 127:17	decade 13:11
crucially 24:21	d	137:4 138:15	118:1
csr 1:22,23	d 2:1 32:15	138:16 143:5	decades 19:12
177:16,16	40:17 50:7	143:11 177:22	19:17 106:13
cta 134:5	77:6,7 78:22	days 6:8 23:18	108:19
culminate 87:9	dad 115:22	91:19 95:18	decarbonize
culture 108:17	daily 11:23	97:8 100:24	175:1
curb 101:24	29:6 36:20	102:20 120:19	december 1:2
cures 97:7	37:12 94:24	141:3	1:10 2:3
current 51:5	105:8 108:21	dc 142:3	177:22
72:21 83:8,9	103.0 100.21		

[decide - diesel] Page 20

decide 88:21	delay 10:5	depot 55:3	determination
171:11	107:21 138:16	56:13	58:1
decided 56:22	delays 136:5	depreciates	determining
decision 5:11	deliver 23:4	131:10	164:10
5:11 108:1	30:7	depreciation	devastating
126:24 145:9	delivery 132:6	131:8,9	111:11
decisions	133:5	deputy 18:2	develop 5:10
127:24 128:8	demand 67:10	19:14	105:13
declined 163:3	68:15 82:15	describe 16:2	developed
163:16	118:9 160:4	20:23 41:7	100:21 123:11
declining 7:10	demanded	57:14,15 78:15	developers
decrease 159:8	118:3	described	118:5
decreases 81:2	demands	12:12 13:8	developing
decreasing	107:17 118:6	71:7	20:14 90:1
159:18,19	democrat 6:23	description	113:16
deep 147:5	demolition	78:19	development
deeper 106:9	97:12	deserve 113:4	18:10 143:14
deeply 37:23	demonstrating	135:12	164:2,5
88:17 104:21	131:1	designate 20:24	developments
132:21 136:14	demonstrative	designated	118:2 164:20
deerfield	77:13	114:14 168:18	devices 160:20
132:14,15	denoted 54:24	designed 17:6	diabetes 91:20
defense 5:18,19	54:24	designing	93:2,13
10:22,23 18:21	department 7:6	15:24 19:17	diagnosed
35:9,10 41:10	62:2 63:1	desires 84:22	121:10 149:18
43:22	110:20 114:6	desperately	150:13
defenses 45:18	depend 116:21	125:16	die 97:22
deficit 48:8	dependent	despite 107:3	150:11
143:12	55:17 84:21	destiny 175:21	diego 108:7
define 76:13	depending	detail 82:6	110:6
defined 57:8	135:15	106:24	diesel 12:2,3,9
definitely 115:7	depends 98:24	detailed 83:15	12:17 15:3
degree 15:17	deposes 177:4	154:14	20:2 23:15,16
45:10	_		23:18,19,22
	ueposes 1//:4	134.14	

[diesel - disruptions]

Page 21

24:3,4,13	144:3 158:15	67:21 68:23	129:13 141:10
28:21 46:22	differences	72:20 84:5,7	143:20
47:5 89:7 90:2	67:2	85:12 102:22	diseases 92:19
92:17,18,22	different 6:20	109:16 115:3	95:1 105:21
95:8,8 98:14	15:5,6,7,8	129:17 146:3	128:23 143:8
98:15 100:11	51:18 55:11	158:20 168:6	146:18 155:11
102:4,6 103:15	56:4 61:9,15	director 18:3	155:11
105:7 108:21	64:21 81:4	19:2 20:10	disinvested
109:11 111:11	85:8,9,13	43:21 70:8	12:24
112:2 113:16	114:7,10 121:6	104:14 128:18	dismissed 31:9
113:20,22	145:3 150:5	174:9	31:16 40:5
114:11,20	168:11	directs 18:8	156:5 172:11
115:2,2,5,6	difficult 41:1	dirtiest 117:11	disorder
116:1,17,19	55:24 56:18	dirty 89:7	143:12
118:13,15	difficulties	100:9 118:3	disparities
120:9 122:16	28:24 113:24	142:23 143:4	112:8 123:23
122:22 123:6	difficulty 38:5	discounts	129:17
124:9,15,17	dioxide 145:21	160:13	disparity 124:3
125:21 126:5	145:24 146:2,3	discuss 6:11	dispatch 6:23
126:15 131:24	dire 145:17	9:3 10:8	displayed 65:8
132:22 133:18	direct 21:7	discussed 17:21	disproportion
133:22 134:1,2	26:21 31:13	22:16 52:18	18:5 89:7
134:4,9,17,21	36:5 46:13	102:11 146:13	106:19 122:16
135:6 138:11	65:5 84:10	151:6	155:17
140:21 141:2	111:3 153:1	discussing	disproportion
143:4 146:16	173:12	44:15	19:22 24:3
147:8,24	directed 6:6	discussion 25:9	28:14 103:12
154:24 172:20	20:18,22 31:23	68:20	106:11 107:19
differed 53:6	32:6 33:3	disease 91:20	111:14 133:21
difference	40:21 43:10	91:20 93:1,1	134:9 138:6
46:20 47:6	166:12	94:23 96:22	143:17
51:7 69:11	directing 30:18	98:7 102:6,10	disputing 87:8
82:19 110:1	directly 22:11	102:10 107:22	disruptions
112:21 114:13	44:1 47:4 59:8	111:13 129:1	145:14

[disrupts - earlier]

	1		
disrupts 38:10	doing 58:21	drastic 144:3	dupage 91:18
38:11	69:13 88:12	drastically	92:15 93:4,15
distance 131:1	112:17 144:4	138:12	101:20
133:7	dollar 57:15,17	draw 24:7	duration 96:22
distribution	103:2	drawing 22:21	dust 97:10
54:17 56:5,23	dollars 50:17	drink 126:2	duty 6:2,4
69:8	50:18 51:14,15	drinking	11:12 14:23
distributions	64:11 66:18	125:12,15	15:4 23:15
56:1	101:22 147:4	146:19	53:23 67:12
diverse 108:13	dom 144:15	drive 66:6	74:2 82:9,15
divvied 49:5	146:7	144:18	86:10,23 90:6
dizziness	dominate	driven 91:5	99:22 106:18
113:23	109:12	102:18	111:24 121:20
docket 4:10	dominick 146:9	driver 131:1	122:21 129:4
docks 143:17	dots 150:17	drivers 12:8	133:18 134:7
doctor 116:6	double 69:8	48:12 124:11	139:2,10 146:4
doctor's 93:8	97:6 164:18	driving 66:5	156:23 173:22
doctorally	167:23	113:11,15	e
104:11	doubling 168:8	131:10	e 2:1 35:15
doctors 93:10	downward	dropoff 143:1	40:17,17 46:7
document	69:12,15	drought 145:15	50:5 70:4,7
26:10 27:1,2,9	dozens 20:19	dry 116:4	127:14,14
28:23 29:2	dr 5:3 7:24	dryer 55:9	132:5 133:1
77:16 78:9,11	19:5 62:15,24	dscc 53:3	152:10,10
78:16,17	63:16 66:9	ducking 62:21	eager 106:1
152:19 153:5	67:6 91:12,12	63:11	earlier 44:22
documented	94:9 96:15	due 29:23	50:21 52:3
29:7 143:21	104:8,11 151:7	67:23 95:1	53:23 76:17
documents	152:8,16,20	102:14 103:13	81:8 83:2
41:23 44:8	153:6,14,16	126:9	89:10 127:12
57:8 78:8	drafting 22:10	duly 25:17 35:2	130:3 154:1
dodging 109:11	22:13,16 34:14	40:8 152:2,13	161:15
dogs 37:22	dramatic	156:11 177:4	101.13
	117:24		

[early - emission]

Page 23

early 71:12,15	economist	effort 174:13	169:22,23
71:22 72:3,5	101:21	effortlessly	174:24 175:1
72:10,11,13,15	economy	94:19	electrical
72:24 73:3,8	102:15 144:10	efforts 18:22	139:21,22
73:13,16,19,23	ecosystem	21:20 130:4	electricity
74:1,11,22	145:19	175:1	140:1,3
75:10,21 76:5	ed 66:17 82:1	eight 7:19 97:2	electrification
121:8 145:16	ed's 66:17	113:8	18:22 20:9
earn 73:8,12	edgar 20:17	either 32:6	21:20 66:15
earned 72:6	edith 115:15	33:11,14,16,19	82:2,5 174:23
earning 72:23	116:23 117:2	33:21 34:6,10	elementary
earthjustice	educate 136:7	35:17 55:18	29:12 89:24
99:19	education	63:6 68:1	140:16 142:22
ease 138:13	95:22 129:2	121:3 134:4	elevated 28:17
easily 94:20	142:20	ej 29:3,17,22	elevator 149:12
136:2	effect 12:20	36:23 71:23	eligible 73:6
east 142:1	13:3 21:14	75:12	eliminating
easy 73:11	54:23 61:21	elaborate 29:18	131:7
eat 92:11	82:11 141:22	elderly 29:10	elmhurst
ecological	effective 106:3	elected 154:10	101:20
145:4	171:12	electric 18:12	embraced
economic 7:6,7	effectively	37:1 38:20	143:24
7:11 22:23	80:19 130:24	39:4 47:13	emergency
23:5 24:2 25:4	effects 25:11	57:5 62:4 69:4	100:4 102:19
57:12,16,23	29:21 30:2	69:6 77:9	110:19 117:20
58:3 61:20	49:13 57:13,14	83:10 84:24	149:14
90:11 102:12	90:2 111:11	85:1,3,14 89:3	emilee 172:16
102:24 103:1	134:15 136:17	100:20 118:16	emission 13:23
103:23 104:3	143:7 154:24	126:20 129:21	14:12,16 15:1
124:14 126:19	155:10,13	130:19,21,23	15:2,3,24 16:4
137:13 142:5	efficiency	133:4 138:10	16:5 17:5
economics	58:15	140:3 148:2,6	19:16 47:3,4
160:4	efficient 14:7	161:1 164:2,5	47:11,20 49:12
	20:21	164:10 169:7	50:22 51:3,14

[emission - environmental]

Page 24

	T	I	T
52:5 53:24	155:8,9,15	engine 34:3	entities 83:6,9
54:1 58:9,17	160:11 161:12	95:9,11 120:9	entitled 4:8
63:24 64:20	170:13 172:24	159:17	entrusted
65:1,15,17,24	emitting	engineering	11:11
66:8 67:3,17	116:18	7:12 45:11	environment
68:22 69:17	emmanuel	engineers	98:2 108:3
70:12 74:2	112:24 115:15	139:22	118:17 127:3
83:11 87:10,16	115:16	engines 12:9,17	136:19 140:11
90:8,13 112:5	emotional	15:4 17:6 20:2	174:15
117:15 126:15	137:7	23:15 24:5	environmental
129:15,20	emphasize	46:22 112:2	4:15,16 5:18
132:16 139:24	34:12 97:15	120:17 133:22	5:20 7:5 10:17
157:3,6,8	107:4	170:3 172:20	10:21,22 12:19
161:3,9,13	emphasized	enhance 94:24	13:22 18:3,14
169:21 170:4	17:14 155:8	96:10	19:6,20 20:11
171:21 173:15	employer	enjoy 95:5	27:16,18,22,24
emissions 6:1	147:12	enormous	28:14 32:10
11:18,20 12:2	enact 135:8	143:15	35:10 36:18
12:3,6,10 13:5	148:16 150:12	ensure 15:22	44:12 45:11
19:23 23:10	encouraged	111:6 112:14	50:5 57:19
28:16 29:16	103:9	148:17 158:19	70:8 71:20
30:6 49:13	endless 137:19	159:1 173:24	79:23 90:11,17
50:17,20 51:1	ends 125:18	175:3	91:5 92:8
52:2 89:7	138:7	ensures 158:8	96:15 100:8
102:1,5,20	endure 104:23	ensuring 41:15	102:3 103:11
103:3,13	136:6 137:9	109:20 123:17	103:21 108:18
106:21 107:14	energy 70:8	enter 8:4 42:7	108:23 109:20
109:19 111:9	98:18 135:22	42:18 43:4	110:18 111:18
118:16 121:17	142:17 143:23	151:9	112:8,13,18
123:6 124:11	143:24 145:21	entering 8:9,11	117:4 123:1
124:15 130:1	enforcement	138:16	124:14 125:3,6
133:18 135:4	17:7	entirely 175:4	125:9,17,21
138:12 139:13	engaging 25:9	entirety 14:18	126:18 136:8
145:21,24		16:13	138:18 139:9

[environmental - examples]

Page 25

154:4,7,12	76:2 82:13	55:15,15 56:2	exacerbates
161:11,16	84:15 87:3	64:2 75:4	111:15
170:21 174:16	156:21 157:2	83:24 130:24	exacerbating
environments	erm's 50:15,16	131:4,11,13,15	30:3
137:20 138:7	66:22	131:18,20	exacerbation
epa 34:17	especially 29:9	132:19,23	99:10
44:22 82:8	89:18 106:22	133:6 139:7	exacerbations
165:18 166:4	109:14 110:23	event 50:6	96:23
170:24 171:6	111:10 125:7	79:16	exact 53:10
epa's 50:13	127:2 173:9	events 94:24	56:18 87:1
130:4	essence 1:13	112:10	89:21 158:2
equally 9:19	4:16	everybody	exactly 57:4
88:16	essential 116:8	31:20 37:23	81:9 82:7 87:5
equipment	essentially	38:12 60:17	163:9 171:15
52:11	171:9,17	96:14 108:9	examination
equitable 13:19	established	141:7	2:16,21 3:3,4,5
18:11 21:18	11:17	everybody's	3:6 25:20 35:5
23:12 81:23	establishes	88:14	40:11 46:5
103:17 124:21	14:16	evidence 15:10	50:10 70:16
142:18	estimate 51:2	26:18 27:9	152:5 156:14
equity 18:14	53:2,2 54:17	36:3,11 56:21	166:1
30:16 103:23	55:24 97:4	58:1 77:20	examined
108:3 109:23	157:8,8	78:7 105:22	25:18 35:3
138:19 150:17	estimated 12:3	153:13 159:22	40:9 152:3
150:18	23:4,20 54:1	177:11	156:12
equivalent	54:10 57:15	evolved 53:17	example 16:18
131:6	estimates 86:9	evs 39:7 47:24	29:11 55:21
er 93:23 107:7	86:22,22	54:19 85:24	66:17 77:2
117:23	ettinger 1:17	131:2 169:12	81:5 84:23
erm 21:2 44:9	10:19 59:18,18	169:15,18	97:10,12,24
44:13 54:4,24	60:8,24 62:21	exacerbate	114:16 133:5
56:8 63:20	63:10	102:8 155:10	158:21 170:9
68:2,6 71:6	ev 21:6 39:9	exacerbated	examples
73:14,15 75:24	48:3,9 55:10	97:18,23 98:7	155:12

[exceed - factor] Page 26

exceed 11:23	153:15	131:4 137:2,4	extending 151:1 extensive 98:11 159:11 extensively
75:4	exhibits 8:10	164:21,22	
exceeds 102:17	42:1 44:7,20	173:4	
131:7	44:23 63:23	experienced	
except 34:19	77:6,24	124:17 173:18	
excess 81:11 162:1,7 excessive 139:17 exchange 118:6 118:8 exclusively	exist 53:15 107:10 existing 17:9 30:1 51:8 55:9 55:22 83:21 111:15 129:10 160:11	experiences 136:18 experiencing 173:10 expert 19:16 57:4 108:2 154:19	19:9 extreme 30:2 30:12 112:10 113:23 133:2 155:14 f f 32:15 35:15
160:12 excuses 146:17 executive 19:15 144:19 exhaust 100:14 102:6 114:21 115:3 132:16	exists 62:13	expertise 7:12	50:5
	expand 155:22	11:12 13:7,14	face 109:10
	expansion	18:22 22:12	115:4 137:15
	21:21	43:15 94:15	137:22
	expect 45:21	170:12	faced 150:19
	173:19	experts 34:13	facilitate 20:21
	expectancy	explain 23:21	facilities 24:7
134:1,2,4	98:23	27:14 53:5	facility 118:7
154:24	expected 53:18	155:22	132:10,13,18
exhaustive	73:18 74:3	explained	148:6
143:9	75:24 76:1	17:11	facing 128:9
exhibit 8:5,11	145:9 157:3	expose 126:11	144:2
8:13 26:20	expenditures	exposed 98:1	fact 25:1 47:12
27:11 36:4,12	57:14 expenses 103:22 137:24 expensive 65:12 126:20 experience	124:11 134:7	67:23 69:11
42:11,21 43:7		134:21 142:24	71:24 101:4
44:19 46:11		exposure	131:6
48:22 52:19		125:20 126:6	facto 70:23
53:11 63:21		154:24 155:8	72:2 167:17
64:3,5 65:21		expressed	factor 53:16
67:1,14 71:7,8	19:21 20:16	159:3	159:10
77:6,7,14 78:9	96:18 113:22	expressway	
78:22 153:15	117:24 123:19	131:23 133:19	

[factories - filed] Page 27

factories	family 37:13,22	66:12,13 67:5	field 136:7
116:17 126:5	96:5 115:19	82:10 101:7	144:9
factors 12:19	116:16 117:21	112:12 156:22	fields 98:18
53:3 54:12	123:5 133:15	157:6,10,13,17	fifth 11:24
55:18 59:13	133:21	157:20,22	fight 89:6
81:4 91:24	famines 145:18	158:3,15,16,18	150:8 173:6
92:8 121:15,16	far 100:1,18,20	158:22 171:5,6	fighting 135:9
160:13 164:24	109:22 124:17	171:6,13,20	150:6
165:1	135:13 167:5	174:18 175:4,6	figure 102:18
facts 22:21	172:20	fee 47:14,24	146:20
140:6	farcical 147:16	48:3 58:20	filed 5:21 6:16
fail 30:11	farm 120:12	feed 34:16	7:14,16,17
failed 163:7,12	fast 8:15 55:10	feedback 17:15	11:18 14:5,8
failing 107:2	84:19 85:11,14	feel 8:14 43:15	15:16 16:20
failure 160:18	98:13	80:21 114:23	17:12 18:16
160:18,24	father 121:12	120:18 136:2	19:1,1 21:23
161:10	favor 124:19	137:16,18	22:8,22 26:7
fair 46:9 47:12	favorite 144:19	171:24 173:3	26:11,13,15,19
150:9	fc 53:3	feeling 109:12	26:22,22 27:3
fairly 65:1,16	fear 89:17	feels 85:3	27:5 31:22
73:17	feasibility	137:15	34:4 35:20
fairman 127:11	21:13	fees 48:12,12	36:3,6,11 37:4
130:16,17	feasible 73:7,10	48:14	41:18,21,21,24
fall 72:12	73:11,21	feet 132:8	42:2,4,8,12,22
familiar 48:15	federal 13:16	fell 140:17	42:22,23 43:5
102:3	16:4 18:9 23:3	fellow 37:14	44:6 46:11
families 23:24	24:18,21 25:6	100:24 105:2	54:23 77:6,7
106:14 107:20	30:8 44:21	121:2 154:10	77:23 78:2,21
108:14 117:22	45:4,12 50:21	felt 105:13	79:3 80:3,13
123:19 125:8	50:21,23 51:5	111:14 135:24	81:19 84:24
125:11,19,23	51:8,15,18,21	fetal 173:10	85:22 87:7,8
126:8,17 127:7	51:22 52:3,4,6	fewer 139:15	152:16,20,22
137:8,20	52:13,20 53:22	139:15,16	153:2,2,6,8,12
138:17	53:22 54:5,13	150:10,11,12	153:12 154:3

[filed - forget] Page 28

156:17,18	101:13 131:8	159:14 168:4,7	78:1 80:18
158:7 161:19	135:19 136:18	flexibility	83:23 86:15
162:11 163:24	148:15 149:7	13:13 70:23	96:4 105:21
filing 6:15 8:12	152:2 167:24	74:7	106:3 151:7
fill 85:7	172:14 177:4	flores 2:19 5:3	162:19,20
filled 134:17	firsthand	7:23 18:15,16	165:16 166:6
169:18,21	110:21 125:6	18:19 34:24	166:16,22
finally 20:10	fiscal 48:17	35:1,11,15,16	168:13,21
22:7 24:15	fiscally 103:7	35:20 36:2,5	171:8 172:2,9
49:8 131:17	fit 13:16 17:9	36:11,13 37:3	175:16
135:5 155:16	21:17 153:20	38:17 39:13,18	following 8:10
financial 21:19	170:23	39:23 40:1,5	113:15 146:1
137:7,9 138:13	five 141:12	89:9	follows 21:1
find 41:13 68:4	fixed 69:14	flow 122:21	25:19 35:4
102:13 103:2	flare 40:24	147:6	40:10 152:4
145:2 166:14	107:6	flowers 145:7	156:13
findings 103:5	flash 150:1	flynn 1:11 4:13	food 93:19
finds 89:15	flattered	28:9	146:23
fine 14:10	153:24	focus 15:5	footnote 156:21
78:20	fleet 46:24	41:10 44:2	force 18:13
fineberg 154:8	50:14 53:24	133:3	26:2 95:16
finish 108:9	54:2 118:10,10	focused 6:9,13	115:1 118:19
fire 97:16	118:15 148:6	15:17 45:18	forced 24:12
145:15 173:18	157:7 158:18	101:21 103:20	105:9 106:5
fires 110:22	fleets 14:18	focusing	114:1 115:20
first 6:7,8 8:11	139:23	158:22	137:8 138:6
9:23 10:3 14:8	flex 68:5,16	folks 172:18	foregoing
19:10,13 22:19	71:6	follow 7:20	177:9,10
22:22 25:13,17	flexibilities	20:21 30:24	foreseeable
30:23 31:22	21:6 68:8	31:3,6 33:2	58:5
35:2 40:8	71:10,11,14,20	39:22 40:1	forest 145:5
54:14 67:15	71:22 73:4,17	49:18,20,22	forget 37:15
73:2 74:4 78:1	73:20 75:10,11	66:9 69:23	123:14
88:6,7,10	75:12 159:11	70:19,20 76:10	

[forgot - gazette]

C 4 10 11	6 4.10	40 10 14 57 7	107.7.00.100.0
forgot 10:11	fox 4:18	49:10,14 57:7	127:7,23 128:3
152:12	framed 160:23	58:5,13,15	128:10 132:17
form 170:15	framework	59:2,12 61:19	133:7,8 142:17
former 19:5,14	175:3	65:6 66:5	143:24 148:8
117:11	franklin 177:17	130:21 139:23	173:17 175:22
forms 82:4	free 8:14 78:10	161:13 169:23	175:22
formula 49:7	137:24	fuels 116:19	g
forth 7:19	freely 97:8	145:17	g 32:15 50:4
13:19	100:2	full 22:14 68:7	127:14
forts 145:1	freeways	75:3,8,18,23	gage 136:20
fortunately	134:17	76:4 81:7	137:8
149:10 175:8	freight 39:3	120:21 162:8	gain 163:7,12
forum 35:17	148:14	fully 45:19	gained 18:21
forward 9:9	frequency	fumes 24:13	71:18 136:8
30:17 41:13	96:22	92:22 109:11	gains 103:4
46:3 57:10	frequent 89:14	116:18 136:24	garcia 112:24
163:17	frequently 39:1	141:4	115:15,16,16
fossil 130:21	136:10 143:10	function 21:5	garden 120:11
145:16	fresh 173:14	functions 15:20	gas 11:19 14:16
foster 146:8	friend 142:13	fund 5:19	23:7 47:6 48:7
149:2,3,3	friends 138:24	10:22 35:10	48:10 65:7,13
found 67:13	frito 39:3 148:4	funding 46:17	65:13 66:5,7
69:5 113:5	front 35:23	48:21 83:21	67:3 85:7
129:19 131:12	36:8 41:22	funds 49:5	116:1,16
145:4	87:4 88:8 90:4	funneled 28:20	139:13 148:22
foundation	164:9	further 29:18	160:11 170:2
46:9	frontline 19:24	45:23 57:8	gases 100:12
founded 119:16	frustrated	67:4 103:17	gasoline 131:3
four 7:19 39:7	131:12	109:5 166:1	131:5,7,9
48:11,14,15	frustration	169:20 172:9	151.5,7,9
123:24 149:4	136:4	future 30:10	162:15,17
fourth 66:1	fuel 46:17 47:5	51:20 52:12	gazette 6:23
140:15	47:15 48:1,12	60:7,10 101:13	gazette 0.23
	48:19 49:1,4	104:3 121:21	

[general - greater]

Page 30

•		governed 5:4
•		government
4:14	174:13	18:11 24:18
gina 50:4 166:3	going 8:15,21	53:22 82:10
give 8:2,6 10:9	14:13 34:17	98:19 175:4
10:12 28:10	38:3 55:3 60:2	governments
31:12 40:14	60:9,13 76:10	45:12
43:18 59:7	83:16 85:15	governor 20:17
60:17 68:4	88:3 101:8	grade 140:15
75:16 172:13	127:23 128:8	grades 107:3
given 40:21	128:10 141:17	gradually
43:8 76:8	141:21 142:4	13:10 14:24
148:14 168:10	142:14 150:11	graduating
glenview	151:8 156:19	45:10
132:10	gomez 110:6	grand 147:9
global 90:20	127:12,14,14	grandkids
132:2 164:9,23	good 4:4,5 9:1	141:12
go 4:2 6:10 8:9	10:15 12:10	grandma
9:14 31:17	25:22 50:12	141:12
39:2 59:14	85:20 87:21	grandmother
70:19 81:4,15	89:2 93:20	149:4
85:17 87:23	96:14 99:3,17	grants 82:8
94:4 97:8	100:22 101:19	grateful 92:8
110:7 114:1	104:10 108:10	grave 128:8
115:20,24	110:11 113:1	138:1
116:9 127:13	117:1 120:5	gray 115:4
130:14 140:10	122:7 128:17	great 10:6,14
140:18 141:6	130:16 133:12	22:6 25:14
151:3,5 160:13	138:23 145:9	61:1 69:22
168:23 172:5	152:7 153:23	87:22 105:23
goal 174:22	165:19 172:16	greater 95:9
goals 24:19	goods 12:9	113:17 135:12
41:16 82:24	132:6	167:5
175:3,5,7		
	give 8:2,6 10:9 10:12 28:10 31:12 40:14 43:18 59:7 60:17 68:4 75:16 172:13 given 40:21 43:8 76:8 148:14 168:10 glenview 132:10 global 90:20 132:2 164:9,23 go 4:2 6:10 8:9 9:14 31:17 39:2 59:14 70:19 81:4,15 85:17 87:23 94:4 97:8 110:7 114:1 115:20,24 116:9 127:13 130:14 140:10 140:18 141:6 151:3,5 160:13 168:23 172:5 goal 174:22 goals 24:19 41:16 82:24	gibson1:12106:9 169:204:14174:13gina50:4 166:3going8:15,2110:12 28:1038:3 55:3 60:231:12 40:1460:9,13 76:1043:18 59:783:16 85:1560:17 68:488:3 101:875:16 172:13127:23 128:8given40:21128:10 141:1743:8 76:8141:21 142:4148:14 168:10142:14 150:11global90:209:14 31:1739:2 59:14good4:4,5 9:170:19 81:4,1589:2 93:2085:17 87:2396:14 99:3,1794:4 97:8100:22 101:19110:7 114:1104:10 108:10115:20,24110:11 113:1116:9 127:13100:22 101:19130:14 140:10122:7 128:17140:18 141:6130:16 133:12151:3,5 160:13138:23 145:9168:23 172:5152:7 153:23goal174:22goals24:1941:16 82:24132:6

[greatest - health]

greatest 11:19	grown 124:3	128:17,18	43:5,20,21
145:12	149:4	hand 9:6 19:11	44:2
greatly 53:6	growth 75:24	136:18	harvard 117:14
greener 149:20	76:1,22 85:11	handle 147:14	hazard 11:15
149:22	98:17 131:18	happen 59:3	169:4 170:14
greenhouse	132:3,5,7,20	happening	170:17
11:19 14:16	139:19 166:24	47:21 58:10	hazards 11:14
23:7,10 100:12	167:6,12	happy 149:21	125:17
139:13	guarantee	hard 93:21,22	he'll 10:12
grew 36:23	157:11 158:5	harder 93:12	head 91:23
53:18 99:24	guarantees	137:17	93:19 100:4
117:9 122:18	157:23	hardship	116:10
125:4 136:4	guards 174:18	137:22	headaches
144:22	guess 61:9 62:8	harm 29:16	113:23 140:22
grid 55:22 67:8	77:20 84:7	30:13 93:13	health 5:19
69:2,8,9,14,14	86:21 87:17	124:6 137:21	10:18 19:7,9
69:18 129:21	162:9 170:15	harmed 93:15	19:11 20:1,8
grievance	guideline	harmful 12:20	20:11,11 24:13
137:6	105:21	20:1 90:2	24:17 25:1
grocery 136:22	guidelines	100:10 106:15	28:14 29:16,21
ground 20:8	106:3	107:13 109:19	30:1,17 90:11
53:14,16 56:9	guiracocha	121:16 124:11	91:7,19 92:7
92:23 105:7	101:18 104:6,8	126:14 129:9	92:10 93:20
143:2	104:11	143:7,19	95:7,12,19
group 10:24	gunk 100:16	148:17	96:7,10 101:21
18:14 20:7	guys 128:12	harming	102:1,24 103:6
102:7 118:5	150:12	107:19 126:2	103:16,20,23
144:20 146:11	h	173:16	104:3,12,14
group's 144:21	h 40:17	harms 28:14	105:10 106:9
groups 154:23	half 93:4	30:5,13 124:10	106:13 108:2,2
grow 98:16	116:14	harness 13:14	109:9,23
105:11 167:16	hamilton	harris 6:18	110:15 111:4
growing 89:11	124:24 127:11	19:2 41:19	111:11,15,17
135:23		42:8,13,19,23	112:7,18

[health - help] Page 32

113:17 115:6	healthy 37:20	60:20 61:1,5	107:22 111:12
117:14,15,18	38:11 127:3	62:14,23 63:12	129:14 143:20
118:18,24	129:19 149:21	63:17 69:22	heartbreaking
119:2 122:15	150:8	70:2 77:22	137:5
123:8,22 124:6	hear 10:1,5	78:1,1,6,6,15	hearts 149:16
124:13,16	12:13 13:8	78:20 85:15	heat 30:2,2
125:21 126:1,7	17:23 18:15,19	87:19,22 88:2	155:14
126:16,19	19:5 23:20	88:4,22 91:10	heaviest 104:24
128:21 129:1,6	25:22,24 26:1	94:6 96:12	heavily 121:22
129:8,16,22	119:21 125:15	99:14 101:16	123:20 136:19
132:22 134:9	142:14	104:5 108:6	heavy 6:2,3
134:14,22,23	heard 37:5	110:5 112:23	14:23 15:4
135:12 137:21	70:11 99:1	115:14 116:22	23:15 24:7
137:22 138:13	100:23 129:18	119:7 120:2	67:12 74:2
138:19 139:8	143:18 154:1	122:4 124:23	82:9 89:18
140:20 141:19	161:15 172:17	127:10 128:16	90:6 99:22
143:8,15 145:4	175:8,10	130:13 133:10	106:6,18
146:4 147:22	hearing 1:8,14	135:17 138:22	108:20 111:24
148:10 150:18	2:5 4:1,4,6,7,18	140:12 142:11	121:20 122:21
154:5,6,16,19	5:4 6:6,6,8,19	144:14 146:7	125:7,22 129:4
154:23 155:6	8:10,11 10:2,6	149:1 151:2,5	130:11 133:18
155:10,13,14	11:3,8 18:1,2	151:8 152:7,11	133:20 134:7
161:11,14,16	20:22 22:3	153:11,14	139:2,10 146:4
174:6 175:10	25:14 26:17,19	156:1,3,4	173:22
healthcare	27:8,10,19	165:15,19	heed 133:3
24:11 29:24	30:22 31:7,16	166:17 170:6,7	heights 29:4
101:22 102:14	34:23 36:2,4	170:18 172:1,5	114:10,11
103:3,21	36:10,12 39:21	172:8 174:8,12	held 13:21
104:16,16	40:4,13,23	175:24 176:1	20:13
105:20,24	41:3 42:7,10	hearings 6:8,12	hello 94:9
healthier 92:9	42:18,21 43:4	6:13 88:19,20	119:10 125:1
94:5 112:15	43:7,16 44:6	heart 29:20	130:16 135:21
124:21	46:1 49:17	91:20 92:24	help 5:10,15
	50:2 58:2 60:4	98:7 102:10	62:17 71:10

[help - hub] Page 33

83:21 92:9	hippocratic	hopefully 11:7	146:7 149:1
101:2 105:22	93:12	14:6 118:24	151:2,5 152:11
107:12 118:16	hispanic	119:1 175:19	153:14 156:1
118:23 144:9	114:17	horrific 141:5	165:15,19
175:7	historically	horton 1:9,15	166:17 170:6
helped 28:22	28:19 108:16	2:5 4:1,1,4,7	170:18 172:1,5
29:1	121:23	10:2,6 18:1	172:8 174:8
helpful 63:2	history 108:18	20:4,6 22:3	175:24
hi 115:16 122:7	hit 53:13	25:14 26:17,19	hospital 100:23
140:14 146:9	113:20 114:21	27:10,19 30:22	110:19 123:14
high 29:5,13	115:4 175:3	31:7,16 34:23	139:15,15
55:14 56:8	hits 115:3	36:4,12 39:21	155:2
89:24 105:12	hold 81:10	40:4,13 41:3	hospitalizatio
113:13,19	149:16	42:10,21 43:7	93:9 102:19
114:17 116:13	holding 125:23	46:1 49:17	hospitalized
119:19 140:24	174:11	50:2 60:4,20	124:1
140:24 142:21	holds 90:20	61:1,5 62:14	hostile 112:12
higher 29:24	home 52:23	62:23 63:17	hot 120:19
134:12	54:9 55:2	69:22 70:2	hour 8:21 9:15
highlight	56:12 63:19	77:21,22 78:6	29:3,4 37:17
171:18	64:12,22 65:11	78:15,20 85:15	105:5 109:4
highly 55:17	65:23 66:19	87:22 88:2	113:5
120:9	84:16 85:3	91:10 94:6	hours 29:14
highway	89:23 95:24	96:12 99:14	38:7 89:19
133:23 134:11	105:4,6 108:14	101:16 104:5	93:7 105:3
144:17	110:20 117:11	108:6 110:5	114:12 123:3
highways 11:23	122:20 123:4	112:23 115:14	124:12 137:19
12:16 28:20	132:6 134:6	116:22 119:7	144:23
57:2 103:13	137:20 141:5	120:2 122:4	house 37:12
135:14 137:10	148:5	124:23 127:10	113:8 116:7
143:17	homes 107:19	128:16 130:13	hrp 118:5
hill 142:12	hope 93:24	133:10 135:17	hub 11:22
144:14,16,16	100:7 119:4	138:22 140:12	108:20
	128:5 142:7	142:11 144:14	

[human - illinois] Page 34

human 23:22	identified	illinois 1:1 4:5	110:14,16
24:2 143:15	102:7	4:9 11:13,24	111:7,7,15
145:19 150:21	identify 155:13	13:18,22 14:19	112:6,14,17,21
172:21	identifying	14:20 15:2	113:2 117:6
humane 138:20	145:7	16:10,15,17	118:21 119:5
hundred	idling 142:24	18:7,12,22	122:13 124:13
116:15	idot 56:5 57:2,8	19:7 20:17	124:21 126:23
hundreds	62:9,10	21:5,7,18	127:1 128:18
134:2	iepa 11:2 16:20	22:24 23:5,16	128:24 129:22
hurting 100:14	17:16 20:16	24:2 25:5,9	130:20 131:13
husband's	60:6 61:7	28:15 30:4	131:16,17,19
150:1	ignored 118:6,9	32:9 33:4	132:24 133:6,8
hybrid 58:16	ii 6:3 13:24	34:17 41:16	135:8 138:23
130:22 144:18	14:15,15,23	44:17 45:2,3,7	139:7,11,14
169:1,3,7,12,15	44:16 45:2	45:9,15 46:8,8	141:8,21 142:2
169:18,24	47:1 52:23	46:19 47:13,22	142:15 143:23
hybrids 170:2	53:3 54:9,11	48:14,18,21	144:2,3,8,9
170:3	55:9 64:9	50:5,13 54:6	145:20 146:11
hydrogen	67:11,15 68:1	54:11,18 56:21	147:17 148:5
169:24	68:3,5,12,17	57:19 58:3,15	148:15 154:6
hypervigilant	71:6 73:22	58:18,23 59:4	156:23 157:4,9
123:16	74:7,16 83:4	61:22 62:2	157:11,14,17
i	83:14 84:1	64:9 69:4,10	157:24 158:3,6
i.e. 71:3	87:8 90:7,7	75:14 79:6,22	158:20 159:2
	99:23 112:4	81:21 82:8	160:20 162:12
ice 159:16,16 idea 161:5	121:20 129:5	85:23 90:8,12	165:6,8,18
171:10	130:11 157:10	90:14 91:4,8	166:4,6,20
ideas 17:15	157:24 158:5,8	92:13 95:9,13	168:14 170:21
	158:19,24	95:17 96:11	170:24 171:1
identical 16:6	159:3 161:5,22	97:21 98:6	171:10,16,20
17:4,6	161:24 163:4,7	101:3,11	173:24 174:5
identicality	163:11,14	102:16 103:19	174:10,20
16:11	169:1 171:11	104:21 107:2	175:11 177:1,6
	173:23	107:13 110:2	177:18

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

[illinois's - income]

illinois's 20:14	132:22 136:11	implore 135:2	129:1
25:1 132:20	137:6 143:10	implying	inability 136:1
145:24 158:19	155:17 160:10	159:15	incentive 82:22
illinoisans	161:16	importance	incentives
132:1	impacted 95:2	130:20	66:23 67:5
illness 94:18	96:4 120:9	important	82:11
126:5	127:24 142:23	14:10 15:11	incentivized
illnesses 98:4	143:1,18	46:21 47:9	170:4
102:13,15	impacts 18:5	51:18 53:20	incidence 94:23
107:22 119:22	19:11 20:1	55:14 65:4	143:12
129:12 146:23	29:6,9 44:17	66:21 68:19	incidents
imaginary	61:19,21 109:9	89:5 92:11	143:19
148:9	111:13 113:16	108:4 127:15	include 56:8
imagine 123:5	117:15 143:14	127:16 128:6	57:3 66:11,13
149:15 173:3	143:20 155:7	133:14 145:20	66:22 71:15,23
immediate	161:11	165:5 169:6	111:21 143:20
103:15 115:6	impaired 94:17	174:17	included 6:15
immense 28:16	imperative	impose 16:3	21:2 34:3
114:20	101:12	23:15	44:12,21 49:15
immigrants	implement	imposed 23:23	66:14 71:20
108:15	130:5	improve	includes 28:15
immune 121:5	implementati	102:23 105:23	64:1 65:19
immunocom	15:8 54:15	107:14 112:2,7	157:3
97:7	implemented	115:12 138:12	including 18:9
impact 7:7,11	21:11 51:9	141:19	21:3,18 28:18
18:17 19:9	111:21	improved	29:19 57:15,17
44:3 45:3	implementing	17:21	64:6 91:8
46:18 47:22	19:17 103:19	improvement	111:11 128:23
57:16 58:3	112:6	103:16 104:14	132:9 145:18
67:18 89:7	implicate 48:2	improvements	income 24:8
94:23 95:4,8	implication	66:4	100:15 103:11
105:13 111:3	46:24	improving	107:20 124:6
122:16 126:9	implicitly	103:22 109:19	155:17
128:9 130:7	158:12	111:23 122:15	

[incoming - instituting]

Page 36

· 24.22	2 J J 4	147.1.140.0.00	172.4
incoming 24:22	independent	147:1 148:2,22	173:4
91:2	44:13	inequities	inhaling 98:2
inconvenience	index 97:9	24:11 29:23	initial 6:15
105:9 136:1	indiana 46:8,8	106:14 111:16	8:12 16:24
incorporating	indicate 15:12	124:5	50:13 52:22
139:5	21:24	inescapable	53:9
increase 14:20	indicated 22:7	38:1	injury 94:17
15:1 47:2	22:15 78:2	infection	injustice 138:2
75:19 76:24	indicating	113:15	injustices
83:24 84:5	130:6	inferior 13:1	108:19 148:12
118:1 131:24	individual	infiltrates	innovation
139:19 156:22	16:12 20:20	107:18	33:1 70:6,9
159:7,13 160:7	22:12 59:21	inflammation	77:8 79:4
167:8	60:1,11,18	92:24	inquiry 54:21
increased	63:14 72:21	inflation 53:12	inside 97:17
44:22 53:14	92:9 106:9	information	99:3 124:11
58:15,15 79:11	155:3	5:5 52:20	141:4
79:11 96:23	indoors 97:17	62:18 65:8	insight 86:6
111:12 160:10	industrial 7:12	76:8 83:15	inspired 29:5
increases 81:1	24:6 28:20	98:21 167:2	119:17
84:4 132:6,16	100:16 103:14	infrastructure	install 64:12,22
143:11 160:2	105:1 106:23	13:1 45:14	65:23
160:12	108:20 113:11	57:5 62:6	installation
increasing	114:14 119:3	64:12,23 81:22	84:1
84:20 98:13	120:15 122:20	139:21	installing 64:1
99:10 140:1	125:4	infuriating	64:7 65:11
incremental	industrialized	137:5	66:10,19
44:24 50:14	123:20	inhale 114:20	instance 25:2
54:8,9 64:19	industries	inhaler 39:1	instances 17:12
65:6 66:6	126:23 137:10	100:5 106:4	instinct 149:11
67:18,22 68:10	138:5	116:9,12	institute 154:12
68:21 69:3,16	industry 72:9	123:17	instituting
147:10	90:17 119:12	inhalers 89:15	58:19
	125:22 132:3,8	93:23 105:17	

[insulated - journey]

insulated	89:22 105:4	67:8 81:20	j
136:16	109:2 113:6	investor 81:24	j 26:6 35:15
integrate 44:5	123:3 136:23	82:1	jack 174:9
integrating	137:1	involve 67:17	january 72:10
41:11	intersections	involved 18:10	72:14,15 73:2
intellectual	29:3 37:10	20:14	jennifer 1:12
143:13	114:3	iowa 46:8	4:13 94:7,9
intend 76:24	intervening	ipo 104:13	jenny 96:13
intended 5:9	14:9	iq 140:9	99:15,18
51:21	intervenors	irrelevant	jim 20:17
intensifying	17:16	155:22	127:11 130:17
112:10	interventions	island 30:2	jizelle 133:11
intention 49:12	149:19	37:10 39:8	135:18,21
interests 23:2	introducing	89:22 109:3	job 93:12 95:22
intermodal	22:19	113:7,19	98:17 114:1
11:22	introduction	issue 8:10	120:23 139:19
internal 91:14	17:23	11:12 22:7	jobs 13:2,19
154:9 155:1	inundated	56:3 58:19	18:11 21:19
159:16 170:3	145:18	78:22 90:18	23:12 81:23
internationally	invasive 145:8	104:21 133:14	93:18 98:16,16
154:18	inventing 63:6	138:18 147:11	126:11,22
interpretation	invest 83:7,17	150:18,18,19	139:20,20
9:10	118:15 148:7	issues 14:5 17:8	142:17,18
interpreter 9:6	148:18	20:15 21:1	148:7
9:7	invested 82:7	22:10 34:14	joint 41:18,21
interrogatories	103:2,18 104:1	41:12 45:13	41:24 42:4
25:18 35:3	investing 83:12	85:5 89:5	57:11
40:9 152:3	investment	105:15 107:9	jointly 19:1
156:12	13:18 54:8	109:15,22	42:23 44:6,16
interrupt	55:15,15,20	113:14 115:6	journal 6:22
152:11	81:22 82:4,14	126:7 134:9	7:1
intersection	82:16 83:20	137:22 147:18	journey 131:11
29:16 37:17	investments	item 160:2,3	J J
38:13 39:9	53:12,13 56:14		
		·	

[juliana - leader] Page 38

	I	1	1
juliana 2:14	keep 14:13	120:23 127:24	large 56:2
5:2 7:23 18:2	37:18 88:11	128:3 134:19	77:16 81:7
25:16 26:5,11	96:3 100:2	137:15 139:3	83:19 100:8
27:3 37:11	108:8 120:16	141:18,23	109:12 119:21
july 6:5 7:4	128:10	142:14 150:23	130:2 147:12
jump 70:10	keeping 140:2	157:19 162:22	larger 11:21
jumping 134:5	key 121:19	164:3 165:2,10	120:20
june 5:17 8:12	keya 110:9,11	173:16,20	largest 11:21
jungle 146:21	kid 135:24	174:13	23:7 112:19
junior 116:13	kid's 93:19,23	knowing 126:1	118:7 122:20
116:14	kids 106:7	knowledge	139:20 145:23
jurisdiction	134:3 142:23	98:21 164:6	174:1
30:8	143:3 150:5,7	known 116:1	lasalle 7:1
justice 5:20	150:11,13,14	133:2 147:22	lasting 112:20
10:17,21 18:3	killing 115:7	knows 126:4	lastly 170:19
19:20 27:16,18	kind 101:10	l	late 91:1
27:22 28:1,15	121:6 147:7	l 26:6 35:15	113:15
36:18 71:20	knew 117:18	40:17 70:4,7,7	latino 109:6,7
90:17 97:20	know 28:6	lack 12:24	lavita 120:12
101:11 103:11	31:13,14 37:15	24:11 29:24	law 16:9 91:6
108:23 109:20	37:23 38:6,11	146:22 147:19	121:12 140:14
111:18 112:19	39:5,13 40:23	lag 25:24	171:16
117:4 119:14	41:3 43:16	131:18	laws 81:21
122:8,10 123:1	46:23 48:9	lagrange 141:1	108:19
125:3	53:10 55:23	landscape	lawyers 34:13
justin 2:19 5:3	56:2,5 62:12	145:3	lay 39:3 148:4
7:23 18:15	62:18 72:21	lane 144:17	ldv 52:24
35:1,15 89:9	73:3 74:6	lanes 114:24	lead 47:6 92:24
k	77:15,18,19	language 9:5	103:10 125:13
k 177:2	79:19 80:17	11:4 15:19	125:13,15,20
kara 46:7	92:13 99:18	16:13 17:20	148:11 155:15
kathy 6:18 19:2	100:1,4,10	74:17	165:6 171:19
41:19 43:21	101:7 103:18	/ 1.1/	leader 101:11
11.17 73.21	104:9 119:14		103:20 144:8

[leader - little] Page 39

148:13 174:1	length 31:20	lifestyle 37:18	lines 61:24
175:11	leoni 1:9,15	light 6:2 30:12	143:1
leaders 95:21	4:18	53:23 82:15	lingering
95:21 139:3	letter 7:8,10	86:10,23	105:15
164:22	letting 99:12	156:23	linked 117:15
leading 91:4	level 43:24	likely 57:11	list 8:11 9:14
93:1 96:6	52:23 53:3	59:3 72:11,14	21:22,22 31:12
106:16 111:9	54:9 55:7,9	76:19 105:12	31:18 62:16
leads 20:6	56:14 92:23	124:1 160:7	88:5 143:8
103:15	94:24 96:6	168:9	166:14,18
learned 136:13	112:13	likes 137:15	listed 44:18
learning 145:4	levels 18:9	lili 133:11,17	51:23
145:6	28:18 44:1	limit 111:5	listen 23:24
leave 78:4	95:8,10 98:19	130:7 160:1	listening 91:16
116:7 140:6	142:20	169:1,7,8	93:24 99:12
175:21	leveraging	limitation	listing 57:17
leaves 126:6	90:15	169:13	literally 134:14
leaving 137:12	license 1:23	limited 97:13	little 11:6 18:3
137:24	licenses 48:13	114:18,24	25:24 27:19
led 132:24	lies 111:19	131:12,20	29:5 34:17
145:6	lieu 47:15	144:17 169:5	35:19 36:14
left 106:14	life 29:17 37:8	limiting 169:14	37:7 38:15
149:22 160:19	38:6,11 39:11	limits 15:3	39:8 41:2
legal 22:10,13	65:9,14,18	112:1 130:5	43:19 44:9
22:16,17,17	66:23 67:1	lincoln 114:9	72:14 88:9
34:14 80:14,16	94:24 96:7,9	lincolnshire	99:1 108:22
170:9 171:3	96:11,20 97:14	130:18	117:4,9 119:4
legislation	98:23,24	linda 140:13	119:11,13,14
144:1 147:1	107:14 113:9	142:13	120:7,7,11
legislative	113:12 115:10	line 9:17 22:18	122:9,10,18
20:15	115:18 122:23	75:3,8,18,23	123:1 125:2,6
legislature	136:16 141:15	76:4 81:7	125:23 126:17
11:11	145:13 173:7	162:8	137:24 138:4
			148:20
		1	

[live - lvejo] Page 40

live 12:16,23	load 67:10,18	146:13 150:23	52:2,13 99:22
24:4 36:16	67:22 68:10,15	155:8	100:14 103:11
38:2,23 89:17	68:19,21 69:3	longer 62:3	107:19 112:1
92:11,16 94:4	69:16 120:17	78:2 97:1	118:1 124:6
97:13 105:9,12	local 11:20	look 55:21	133:6 139:2
106:5,22 107:2	12:20 18:9	56:22 75:7	146:4 155:17
115:17 118:19	23:14 25:10	104:9 157:9	170:4
119:10,12	45:12 49:5	165:1 172:3	lower 36:17
127:3 131:22	57:3 67:4	looking 30:17	50:23 52:5
132:12 133:19	110:19 133:7	61:7,8,17 64:6	54:14 71:10
135:6,14	145:16	68:13 69:1	108:14 131:5
136:19 137:3	located 27:24	131:11	lowest 143:2
143:22 144:16	106:11 112:3	looks 17:2	lucky 97:21
148:21 172:17	113:19 117:10	165:17 172:9	120:23 140:17
lived 98:9	location 54:17	loss 47:24	lunch 8:22
120:6 123:5,19	55:1,2,13,22	lost 93:6	85:18 87:24
123:19 136:15	132:11	102:14 129:24	156:8
141:10	locational 56:1	139:18	lung 91:20 93:1
lives 93:5 99:4	56:5,23	lot 38:5 93:5,6	94:17 96:20,22
107:5,21	locations 6:20	93:6,7,9	97:6,6 104:15
116:21 128:24	locked 34:16	120:10 139:4	105:15,19
135:1,15,15	logistic 132:7	150:3,14	111:12 116:3
136:20 141:17	logistics 118:7	161:15 174:13	120:21 128:19
144:3 149:22	132:2,13,18	175:10	128:20,23,24
150:2,20	133:1	loud 113:14	129:1,1,6
living 19:22	long 13:21 41:1	love 149:15	130:2 139:6
29:6 36:24	45:6 59:7	loved 121:14	141:10
113:13 115:10	87:20 96:20	173:10	lungs 90:1 98:1
119:1,2 125:24	100:18 103:21	loves 148:20	104:9 107:19
128:22 129:10	109:22 113:9	low 14:1,21	138:17
131:22 133:23	113:16 117:22	15:3 24:8	luxury 94:21
134:11,13,16	124:14,18	44:18 45:2	lvds 52:21
134:17 137:12	131:1 136:5	46:22 50:15,19	lvejo 18:4
141:10 149:8	141:15 143:8	51:1,8,20,23	27:15,21

[lvejo - massive] Page 41

119:13 120:6	100:10 102:5	164:3	67:23 68:7
125:13	118:11 134:8	making 4:11	71:17,24 75:8
lvejo's 18:8	majority 84:16	13:13 90:1	75:9,23 79:17
lyons 140:24	122:8	107:3 112:20	79:18 81:5,7
m	make 12:19	122:22 136:2	142:3 158:21
	14:6,6 22:23	malls 55:11	159:15,23
m 40:17,17,17	37:21,23 46:24	manage 146:16	162:8 168:4,10
70:4,7,7	47:15 48:8	management	169:9
127:14	55:20 57:24	44:13 102:12	manufacturing
m.d. 3:13 152:1	59:20 61:9	139:9	139:21 144:6
macias 120:4	63:4,7 93:4	manager 19:20	148:5,14
122:5,7,8	101:22 103:23	50:8 125:2	map 55:17
macro 56:14	106:1 110:1	managing	marginalized
made 54:5	125:18 128:8	102:13,17	90:18 108:16
56:11 67:9 75:18 91:3	133:8 137:17	105:21	market 21:6,13
114:23 121:8	138:7,19	mandates	23:8 52:20
141:3 146:22	140:10 142:4,5	131:18	53:16,18 54:12
165:8 175:12	144:3 147:9	mankowski	55:17 75:7
mail 141:3	148:20	4:21 10:4 31:5	76:2,9 83:9
main 48:11,14	maker 73:8,12	31:11 34:16,22	84:21 107:16
48:16 92:14	74:20 76:16	40:2 49:22	131:13 160:18
maine 163:7,12	80:1,11 159:7	69:24 165:17	160:18,24
163:13	159:8	172:3	161:10 164:5
maintain	makers 72:17	manner 87:12	164:20,24
126:21	72:23 75:3,4,4	manufactured	165:1 167:7
maintaining	75:18 76:4	90:14	169:10
64:2,7 173:16	145:9 157:13	manufacturer	markets 164:2
maintenance	157:20 158:16	59:8 72:21	164:10,14
65:19 66:2,7	159:11	manufacturer's	165:2
139:23	makes 12:5,13	34:3	mask 97:8
major 24:6	47:24 63:16	manufacturers	120:18
52:10 56:20	93:11 115:5	13:12 14:18,24	masked 97:15
57:7 82:1	137:13 148:6	15:22 52:11	massive 91:23
37.7 02.1	158:13 161:13	54:3 58:22	

[massively - midwest]

Page 42

	• 61	22.14.74.2	
massively	meaningful	23:14 74:2	mention 89:14
100:23	11:5 127:6	82:9 122:21	mentioned
match 84:2	meaningfully	meet 17:6 23:9	53:21 57:1
148:16	7:12	41:16 125:18	63:1 66:10
materials 164:8	meaningless	126:4 138:7	75:12 81:8
math 79:14	96:17	144:6 158:22	83:2 89:12
matter 1:4 4:8	means 24:12	175:7	143:9 158:4
12:1 18:17	66:24 133:20	meetings	168:3 169:24
22:12 26:8,14	meant 48:8	154:17	met 159:1
26:16 27:6	measures	megawatt	method 157:20
34:13 35:21	102:21 112:6	68:14	methodologi
92:23 94:4	measuring	megawatts	56:3 64:4
96:17 97:16	57:23	68:13,19	methodology
98:11 100:12	mechanically	melbourne	68:3 73:17
102:8 106:2	15:18	140:8	methods 47:18
119:20 131:24	mechanics	melissa 70:4	metric 50:16
132:22 135:13	21:10	member 4:13	51:13
138:18 139:14	mechanism	4:14,20 10:4	mexico 141:24
142:2 143:4,6	171:15	27:17,22 34:16	mhdvs 52:21
152:17,23	median 98:23	34:22 40:2	michael 4:20
153:9 170:11	medical 19:8	49:22 69:24	michelle 1:12
175:12	92:5 137:23	104:13 165:17	4:14
matters 89:24	149:18 154:9	172:3	microphone
174:21	154:17	members 1:11	88:9
mature 131:2	medications	9:9,13 11:1	mid 133:7
max 75:20 76:5	93:9 100:7,7	28:9 30:15	middle 29:13
mckinley 29:4	102:19 106:3	38:19 39:19,20	100:3 105:6
38:15	medicine 19:6	45:22 94:12	140:17 141:6
mean 51:2	38:24 89:13	99:17 101:19	142:21 149:10
73:10 75:15	91:14 154:4,7	108:10 133:13	156:7
76:24 79:12	154:8,9,12,12	134:23 172:12	midwest 18:20
128:10 159:16	155:1	174:11	41:11,13 44:3
167:7 170:20	medium 6:2	mentally	44:4,5 45:19
	14:23 15:4	114:24	117:16 142:2

[migration - muhammed]

Page 43

	100 01 100 00		
migration	100:24 102:20	moline 6:23	motor 6:1
145:20	missing 95:23	mom 116:5	11:16 12:9
mild 105:14	misunderstood	moment 24:17	23:6 46:17
mile 29:11	162:9	68:4 80:6	47:5,15 48:1
55:23 118:20	mitigate 30:14	107:17 123:16	48:12,19 49:1
131:22	102:22	130:14 150:20	49:4,10,14
mileage 48:7,10	mix 81:20	150:20 175:4	58:5,13,23
miles 132:11	84:20	moments 101:1	59:2,12 61:19
miller 70:7	mixes 170:9	173:1	120:9 161:3,9
million 64:10	mobile 16:3	money 93:9,22	mount 6:24
82:3,13,20	119:17,18	139:5	move 7:23 9:23
86:3 128:22,23	mobility 122:9	monitoring	10:13 26:17
132:8 140:5	model 16:7,16	119:18,18	27:8 34:23
174:23	16:19 51:9	monitors 164:1	36:2,10 39:24
mimi 101:17	54:15 65:3	164:4	40:6 42:7,18
104:6,11	68:12,18 70:24	month 89:16	43:4 57:10
mind 5:8 49:24	72:6,6,9,11,18	116:3	77:10,19,21
mine 106:12,14	72:20,24 73:5	months 97:2	79:15 87:6
107:8,9 108:5	73:5,19 74:13	123:7 130:24	99:5 120:18
135:7,11	74:21 75:5,20	141:13 149:8	153:11 163:16
minnesota	76:5,17,23	moral 150:23	moved 36:3,11
163:2,10	77:2 81:12	150:23	96:21 113:6
minor 95:18	86:10,23 87:11	morales 108:7	145:2 149:19
minority	156:23 157:23	110:6	movement
111:14	161:20 162:1	morning 4:4,5	94:17 95:4
minute 9:1 29:8	162:12 167:2	6:24 10:15	107:17
89:23 105:5	167:15,15,18	25:22 46:10	moving 85:21
172:6	modeled 68:6	50:12 96:14	87:6 105:14
minutes 37:11	modeling 20:8	99:17 154:2	160:16 161:18
88:12 89:23	25:2	mother 115:20	174:20
108:9 153:21	models 157:14	123:10,12	muhammed
misery 93:6	158:6,13	149:3,11 173:3	3:1 5:3 6:17
missed 23:18	modifiable	173:9	7:24 18:20
93:7 95:17	92:6		40:7,17 156:10

[multiple - neighborhoods]

Page 44

		I	1
multiple 27:23	names 88:5	144:17	98:19,22 99:5
116:12 120:8	narrative 64:3	nearby 89:13	109:22 110:4
multiplied	nathaniel 1:18	123:18 144:24	112:9,16
136:11	4:24 10:20	nearly 101:4	135:14 141:16
municipalities	35:7	126:3 134:15	145:16 148:1
147:24	nation 89:12	neatly 13:17	164:17 167:4,8
myrna 19:19	92:14	nebulizer	167:16 168:8
n	national 5:18	123:17	needed 50:7
n 2:1 26:6,6	21:13 35:9	necessarily	52:24 54:10,18
35:15 46:7	41:9 43:23,24	46:18,23 51:17	56:1,19 69:9
50:4 70:5	57:4 75:7 76:9	59:2 73:6,21	82:14 84:19
	95:10 130:3	73:22 74:6	145:2 173:24
nadia 119:8	157:19 164:9	87:12,18	needs 55:5
120:3,5	165:1	159:22 169:13	84:11 91:4
name 4:6 8:18	nationally	171:4	118:14 143:3
9:14 10:15	11:24	necessary 22:4	neglect 124:5
26:3,5,6 35:7	nationwide	23:11 67:8	neighbor 89:20
35:13 40:15	18:23 104:14	93:20 138:20	118:18
46:4 50:3 70:2	136:14 172:19	161:13 164:3	neighborhood
70:7 88:6,7	natural 10:22	necessity 17:8	5:21 10:21
89:2 91:12	18:21 41:9	103:7 136:3	36:14 38:18,20
94:9 96:14	43:22 45:17	need 8:16 9:7	89:19 104:23
99:18 101:20	145:13	9:10 13:12	108:17,24
104:10 108:11	nature 145:7	15:22 22:16	109:13 113:7
110:11 113:1	nausea 140:22	30:4 39:5	114:4,8,9
115:16 117:2	nauseating	40:22 41:2	115:8 117:19
119:10 120:5	115:4	54:8,9,14	120:13,15
122:7 125:1	navito 117:10	56:16 57:13	121:4,23
128:17 130:16	near 12:16 15:1	62:5 66:1 67:8	122:24 133:24
133:17 135:19	24:6 97:11	75:19 78:18	134:3,13 143:6
135:19,21	105:12 106:22	82:16 83:7	172:23
146:9 152:8,15	110:20 112:3	84:5 85:6,12	neighborhoods
154:2 172:14	125:4 133:19	87:1,16 90:19	98:14 106:12
172:16 174:9	134:11,17,17	94:13 95:18	107:8 114:7
		cal Calutions	

[neighbors - numbers]

Page 45

	T		
neighbors	146:24 156:22	northern 114:8	noting 132:23
38:19 107:8	162:14 167:20	northwest	161:3
108:11 113:4	174:14	115:17 130:18	november 7:17
133:16,21	news 6:22,23	136:15 144:20	20:23
134:16	7:1 90:3	northwestern	nox 6:4 14:21
neither 30:19	newspapers	20:4,7 45:10	15:3 44:18
neiu 96:16	6:20,21	94:10 154:8	45:2 46:22
nervous 35:19	night 100:3	nose 115:3	50:15,17,19
net 23:5 25:4	105:6 123:11	notate 56:23	51:1,8,14,20,23
65:9,14 66:5	149:10,18	note 20:18 22:7	52:2,13 67:16
66:23,24	nights 100:4	24:15 43:10	67:16 99:22
102:24 139:11	nine 6:19 7:15	46:21 47:9	100:12 112:1
140:1	nitric 146:2	51:12,13,19	121:21 129:4
network 5:20	nitrogen 14:1	53:20 55:2,14	139:2 146:5
10:17,21 19:20	102:8 146:3	60:14 65:5	nrdc 19:3 41:7
19:21 27:16,18	nitrous 139:14	66:21 67:22	43:20 47:10
27:22,23	noise 38:8	68:20 80:14	60:16
135:23	136:24	85:3 86:7	nuance 13:7
never 96:24	noises 113:14	87:15 155:16	nuanced 13:10
116:2 123:14	nominal 72:1	165:5 168:17	number 4:10
131:10	non 159:18,19	169:19 170:8	17:8 32:13
nevi 56:6 57:1	160:7	170:12,17	37:16 40:21
new 5:22 14:16	noncompliance	noted 16:20	43:8 46:13
23:8 39:11	79:24	51:19 53:22	53:15 54:1,19
44:21 46:22	noon 8:21,22	54:19 60:4	64:5,21 65:5
50:21,23 52:4	85:17	67:16 68:20	68:14 71:21
52:6,20 54:5	norm 112:11	75:8 78:13	81:1 83:5
54:13 70:24	normal 95:19	170:18	139:20 156:17
85:24 87:10,16	119:12	notes 68:2	157:1,5 158:10
112:2,5 118:12	north 98:10	notice 6:19	158:11 159:18
126:22 130:6	132:11 177:17	noticed 39:2	159:19 167:3,5
132:8,10	northeast	90:23	167:13 168:9
139:19 140:8	144:22	notified 165:9	numbers 64:15
141:24 145:3			67:13,15,18

[numbers - once] Page 46

71:8 73:18	occurred 7:3	94:6 96:12	62:14 64:8
82:7 86:18	58:14	99:14 101:16	72:15 74:11
		104:5 108:6	
87:2,4 119:19	occurrence		75:2 76:20
124:4 149:5	172:24	110:5 112:23	79:15 80:17
numerous	occurs 55:15	115:14 116:22	81:18 87:6
53:13 168:3	149:7	119:7 120:2	104:9 153:14
nurse 104:12	october 7:3,16	122:4 124:23	161:24 162:11
0	offer 14:19,19	127:10 128:16	163:1,21,23
o 26:6 35:15	15:2,7 124:8	130:13 133:10	165:15 166:23
50:5,5,7 70:5,7	138:9 157:13	135:17 138:22	168:12,22
127:14,14	157:21	140:12 142:11	172:1,5
152:10 177:2,2	office 9:17	144:14 146:7	old 123:12,13
o'clock 4:2 88:3	20:16 93:8	149:1 151:2,5	149:8,21
oath 93:12	141:4	152:7,11	older 129:9
152:15	officer 2:5 4:1	153:11,14	136:4
obesity 93:2,14	4:4,18 10:2,6	156:1 165:15	oldest 128:21
object 48:22	18:1 19:15	165:19 166:17	olds 93:3 94:2
59:18 77:12,13	22:3 25:14	170:6,18 172:1	omission 64:18
77:21	26:17,19 27:8	172:5,8 174:8	omnibus 6:4
objecting	27:10,19 30:22	175:24	14:1 44:18
170:15	31:7,16 34:23	officer's 20:22	45:2 46:22
objection 60:4	36:2,4,10,12	officers 1:9,14	67:12 90:6
78:13	39:21 40:4,13	4:7 6:6	99:22 112:1
obstructive	40:23 41:3	offset 68:23	121:21 129:5
102:9 129:13	42:7,10,18,21	69:19 131:9	130:11 139:2
obvious 147:22	43:4,7,16 46:1	ohio 144:22	139:10 146:4
obviously	49:17 50:2	oil 90:16	173:22
17:16 93:20	60:4,20 61:1,5	148:21	omnipresent
occupational	62:14,23 63:17	okay 10:1	134:10
19:6 154:4,11	69:22 70:2	25:23 30:22	onboard
occur 52:14	77:21,22 78:2	35:19 37:24	140:20
84:16,18 168:8	78:6,15,20	39:24 42:10	once 55:5 78:16
04.10,10 100.0	85:15 87:19,22	46:1,2 48:11	136:4 149:22
	88:2 91:10	49:8 52:1,17	
		l .	

[ones - overwhelmingly]

amag 12.22	20.10.00.4	anganizations	152.15
ones 12:22	28:10 89:4	organizations	152:15
38:14 55:11	90:24 94:13	27:24 30:9	outsized 149:16
97:22 121:14	95:11 96:2,10	organize 19:24	overall 44:23
121:16 140:8	103:9 104:18	organizer	46:24 47:3
146:15	109:24 124:15	117:3 122:9	53:14,15 56:16
ongoing 13:17	128:19 133:14	original 52:11	58:22 65:6
21:19 98:11	145:11 153:18	53:2	66:23 69:12
onroad 11:18	165:9	originally 45:9	overarching
95:11	opt 171:18	orris 3:13 5:3	14:5 56:24
onset 99:10	opted 171:19	7:24 19:5	overburdened
onslaught	option 133:7	151:7 152:1,8	12:18 121:17
134:6	159:21	152:10,16,20	122:17 129:17
open 17:20	options 100:18	153:6,14,16	134:4
38:3	100:22 114:18	154:2 156:2,5	overcome
opened 77:17	oral 8:23 9:18	outcome	113:12
132:10	25:18 35:3	105:23 175:20	overcomplia
opening 2:5,10	40:9 88:16	outcomes	81:6
8:6,7 10:3,9,12	152:3 156:12	103:17 150:4	overcomply
11:7 40:13	orange 90:22	outdated	76:16 81:8
51:19 65:15	order 7:18 9:21	108:19	overdue 146:13
operate 24:5	20:22 37:21	outdoors 13:2	overflow 147:5
124:10 126:21	54:2 56:16	outlet 55:8,10	overlaps 43:19
operated	58:22 140:7	outline 84:14	oversees 43:23
117:13,16	157:22	outlook 76:9	overstated
operating	organization	outpacing	23:13
30:10 64:1,7	8:18 18:4	98:17	overtime 121:6
65:11	27:15,17,21	outside 29:8	overview 27:13
opinion 39:12	28:22 29:1	37:19,21,24	28:4,10 153:18
82:17 84:8	46:4 50:3 70:3	38:3,7 43:15	overwhelmed
opportunities	108:23 117:4	70:5 93:14	125:19
90:15 126:22	119:14 122:10	94:5 97:8,17	overwhelming
168:10	123:1 125:3	106:7 115:22	137:3
opportunity	128:21 154:19	116:9 120:10	overwhelmin
7:7 24:16		136:21 140:19	130:4

[own - patel] Page 48

	1		1
own 29:7 30:12	paez 110:10	174:15	127:15 136:22
37:8 60:2 80:4	112:24 113:1	participants	142:8,16
128:13 131:4	page 2:6 3:2	6:13 7:16,20	passage 18:10
136:18 160:19	46:12,13,14	8:17 59:17	passed 44:21
175:21,21	63:21 64:16	participate	53:23 119:21
owned 117:16	65:4 67:1 68:4	7:13 95:20	131:16 142:17
144:19	80:3,6 156:20	participation	passenger
owner 63:24	162:21,22	94:24 96:7	14:17
64:18,18 65:7	163:20 166:10	particle 129:11	passengers
65:13,17 66:24	paid 69:7	particular	12:8
130:22,23	pain 113:24	16:16 20:19	passing 29:8
owners 47:13	palatine 144:16	23:1 34:14	89:21 113:21
ownership	pandemic	57:24 147:11	123:2 137:2
131:5,9	140:18,20	169:17	passionate
owning 65:7,9	panic 100:5	particularly	106:1
65:18 85:2	papers 154:22	11:3 12:14	past 30:18
oxide 14:1	parents 100:3	102:4 129:9	72:20 77:7
139:14 146:2	101:2 107:7	particulate	78:3 132:9
oxymoron	121:11 125:16	12:1 92:23	patel 3:1 5:3
173:14	park 29:4,12	98:11 100:12	6:17 7:24
ozone 92:23	38:15,15 114:9	102:8 106:20	18:20,24 21:8
98:11 106:20	120:12 134:2	119:20 131:24	31:24 32:2,4,7
129:11	136:20 137:8	132:22 139:14	32:12,15,17,19
p	part 5:22 12:1	143:4,6	32:21,23 33:3
26 6 40 17	12:5 23:10	parties 14:9	33:5,6,8,10,12
p 26:6 40:17 46:7,7 152:10	27:6 32:14,14	26:23 45:22	33:13,14,15,17
p.m. 8:22,22,22	34:2 44:11	153:3	33:19,20,22,24
8:24 9:2 29:15	49:15 56:5	partner 37:13	34:7,9,11,19,21
176:1	57:20 66:14	89:9	40:6,7,15,17,18
	83:19 84:8	partnership	41:6,18 42:8
pace 84:2 packaged	98:9 100:8	52:9 108:22	42:12,19 43:5
14:12	120:15 122:23	party 31:21	43:8,18 45:5
14.12	150:22 153:8	pass 29:15	45:21 49:19,21
	157:7 158:18	105:3 116:20	50:12 60:15
	I .	ral Calutions	

[patel - phonetic]

	T	I	T
61:2 69:23	peers 124:2	77:3 79:7,9,10	personal 19:21
70:18 77:15	148:16	79:12,12 84:18	96:18 104:21
78:9 79:1	peimer 94:8	86:11 87:15	123:10
110:9,11	96:13,14,15	92:6,7,17 95:9	personally
156:10 165:13	penalties 79:24	97:5 107:1,3	109:10 133:15
165:16 166:5	penalty 80:1,11	109:6,7,7,8	persons 57:16
166:12,15	80:19	112:5 124:3	57:17
168:16 172:2	people 11:13	130:6 136:14	perspective
172:10,11	12:15,23 13:2	139:7 145:24	19:8 22:24
patel's 151:10	23:17,21,24	147:23 156:23	28:4 56:15,15
156:6 170:11	24:4,8,12	161:20 167:1,6	130:19 136:9
path 173:20	38:10 39:10	167:8,15,18,19	153:19
175:9,16	58:3 61:21	169:2,11,17	persuasive
pathway 124:8	85:13 88:13	172:19	11:15
patient 110:18	92:2,9 94:16	percentage	pervasive
patients 23:23	95:1,13,18,23	76:24 79:10	12:11
91:15,21,22	96:3 97:22,22	106:18 159:4	peter 3:13 5:3
92:11,16 93:3	107:1,5 109:6	167:16	7:24 19:5
93:13,21 94:4	114:15,23	perform 7:10	152:1,10,20
94:20 96:8	115:7,7,19	96:1	153:6 154:2
105:23 110:23	127:21 128:12	period 19:4	petition 63:20
patterns 114:3	128:22 129:10	53:12 109:4	107:24 130:10
pause 10:11	137:3,18 138:5	periods 41:1	174:12 175:19
85:15	141:6,19 142:7	permissible	pharmacy 93:8
pay 37:20	147:17,21	17:13	phased 13:10
47:11,14,24	148:17	permitting	59:6 83:3,13
65:7	people's 19:11	18:13	phasing 146:16
paying 47:4	95:20	pernicious	phenomenon
65:12 148:7	peoria 7:1	11:14 12:14	69:11
payment 47:15	percent 48:20	person 5:2,15	phone 88:12
peak 38:7	70:24 71:1,4,4	9:13 57:18	116:8 177:18
67:10,18,22	71:21 74:3,13	88:7,11 96:1	phonetic 4:19
68:10,15,21	74:21 75:5,16	127:1 166:15	117:11
69:3,8,16	76:22 77:2,3,3	168:13	

[phrase - polluted]

phrase 87:14	25:14,16,22	play 37:19	poised 24:18
phrased 161:2	26:5,11,21	106:7 112:17	poison 12:17
phrasing 87:13	27:3,12 28:2	165:2	poisoning
87:15	30:24 31:9,16	please 5:8,13	148:23
physical 94:10	31:19 37:5	8:14,17 9:7,14	policies 13:17
94:16 96:2,8	pino's 27:10	21:7 23:24	21:18 28:19
137:7,9 143:14	31:8	26:3 27:14	41:12 43:24
physically	pipes 100:14	28:3,6 40:23	44:3,5 45:7
103:7 114:24	pivotal 122:14	41:3 46:3 53:5	70:8 90:7,9
physician	122:14	61:11 77:11	103:1,22
19:10 91:13	place 30:4	80:9 99:7	109:22 111:5
150:2 154:4	120:20,20	101:14 108:8	129:20 144:2
155:2	132:19 135:24	135:15 146:1	145:10 148:16
physicians	places 115:21	153:22	policy 18:8
154:11	136:1	plug 55:8	19:16 20:15
pick 141:3	plainfield	130:22 144:18	43:23 45:8,13
156:16	140:15	169:1,3,7,12,15	89:3 91:3
pickup 143:1	plan 9:3 82:2	169:18,24	103:17,21
piece 16:9	132:17	170:2	125:2 126:24
53:20 84:17	planet 141:20	plus 115:6	175:12
pieces 44:14	150:24	pockets 140:3	political 101:5
pile 137:17	planetary	podium 46:3	144:21 147:13
pilsen 18:18	150:19	49:24	147:19
36:16 38:15	planned 59:6	point 8:15 9:1,2	politically
89:5 104:13,23	83:2,13 132:13	15:19 39:6	147:15
105:14 108:11	planning	40:23 59:23	poll 84:23
108:13,20	114:14	60:12 82:3	130:3
109:21 110:1	plans 6:11 91:2	85:23 86:21	pollutants
113:7,9,11	148:20	143:16 147:20	118:23 121:6
115:11 133:17	plant 117:12	162:21 170:12	135:5 155:9
pina 18:8	142:1	pointed 109:1	polluted 24:13
pino 2:14 5:2	plants 117:12	points 77:1	106:6 111:2
7:23 9:24 10:1	117:16 118:4	107:5 124:4	126:6 136:19
10:11 18:2		167:12,16	137:22 139:16

[polluters - pre] Page 51

114 105-2	112.2.17	1_4!	164.10.165.11
polluters 105:2	113:2,17	population	164:18 165:11
106:10	114:11 117:6	93:5 107:4	potentially
polluting 12:8	118:13,21	114:18	47:6,19,22
92:13 111:8	120:10,19	populations	55:12 159:14
118:10	121:2,23	103:16 111:6	162:8
pollution 1:1	122:17,22,22	143:2	poverty 150:7
2:2 4:6 5:23	123:9 124:9,17	port 136:21	power 107:12
11:11,14,15,15	125:7,12,21	portal 89:20	116:20 142:7
11:21 12:13,20	126:9,15 129:8	portion 9:8	174:4
13:6 18:6,17	130:5 132:4,7	88:4	powered
19:10,11 20:2	133:12,22	ports 112:3	129:21 130:21
23:7,14,16,18	134:7,9,21	137:11	131:5 162:15
23:19,22,23	135:2,7 136:14	posed 147:22	169:23
24:3,10,19	138:4,16,23	position 30:15	practicality
25:11 28:13,18	140:21 141:2	60:3,13,19	81:10
29:23 30:2,3	142:23 143:6	103:20 169:3	practice 19:12
30:13 36:21	143:11 144:2,9	173:10	80:19
38:9 41:12,14	147:8,20,24	positioned 21:9	practices 28:19
57:24 58:23	148:18 154:16	21:16,24	114:14
90:3 92:4,15	154:20,20	146:16	practicing
92:18,18 93:10	155:7,16 169:4	positive 90:10	91:17
93:11,18 94:21	170:13 172:19	95:4 130:7	prairie 135:23
95:2,8,9,11	174:1,21	140:21	pre 6:16 8:4
96:3,6 98:12	pollutions	possible 14:7	11:18 14:5,8
98:14 100:11	129:11	22:16 30:5	15:16 16:20
100:16,20	pool 71:17	64:14 108:8	17:12 18:16
101:9,9,10	75:13	120:21 145:17	19:1 21:23
102:4,15	pooled 71:16	post 63:12	22:8,22 26:7
103:15 104:19	71:23	posted 5:8 6:19	26:11,13,15,19
105:8 106:15	poor 95:19	potential 45:3	26:22,22 27:3
106:20 107:18	98:8 106:12	47:16 58:12	27:5 30:1
109:9,16,17	111:3 173:13	59:9,11 73:15	31:22 34:4
111:5,10,15,16	pop 55:16	82:24 112:12	35:20 36:3,6
112:1,7,20		126:20 160:9	36:11 37:4
	Vonitort Loc		

[pre - professionals]

	T	I	
41:18,21,21,24	155:12	previewed 18:1	probably 61:17
42:2,4,8,22,23	premised 161:5	previous 72:10	79:21 81:16
43:5 46:11	161:10	74:24 86:7	problem 12:5
54:23 77:6,7	preparation	109:1 143:24	97:1 106:9
77:23 78:21	26:22 153:1	167:10	138:15 147:19
79:3 80:3,13	prepared 22:14	previously 44:1	problems
81:19 84:24	30:20 38:20	155:20 156:11	137:16 141:15
85:22 87:7,8	43:11 104:11	price 160:2	147:10,14
129:10 152:16	prescribe 93:11	161:12	procedural 5:5
152:20,22	prescribed	prices 160:7	9:22
153:2,2,6,8,12	105:17	primarily	proceed 6:6
153:12 154:3	presence 126:5	114:17 158:14	78:12 151:8
156:17,18	present 4:12	primary 91:13	proceeding 4:8
158:7 161:19	13:10 121:21	105:16	17:19
162:11 163:24	presented	principally	proceedings
precise 24:17	103:10	13:18	1:8 7:18 9:21
55:24	presently	principe 3:4	177:6,9,12
predecessor	147:23	46:6,7 48:23	process 17:20
19:18	preserves	49:16	produce 5:15
predicted	145:5	principles	67:24 81:6
52:22	presidential	97:20	106:19
predicts 25:3	91:2	prior 154:1	produced 20:7
53:1	pressure 69:12	prioritize	production
predominantly	69:15	109:23 120:24	146:23
106:11 109:6	pretrial 154:3	124:16	productivity
preference	pretty 77:16	prioritizing	102:14 103:4
90:20 169:19	prevent 13:9	139:4	103:22
pregnancy	111:22 147:5	private 56:15	profession
140:17	preventable	81:20,21 82:13	94:16
pregnant	119:23 126:8	82:19 83:6,8,9	professional
140:23	preventing	83:20	150:2
premature	129:1 160:24	privilege 136:7	professionals
23:17 29:20	prevention	privileged 5:6	104:16 105:20
107:23 117:19	24:19		

[professor - proven]

Page 53

	I		I
professor 20:3	promote	60:2,9,12,19,21	49:9,11 51:1
20:4,6 91:13	103:23 111:17	78:4 79:22	52:23 58:2,7
94:11 96:15	promoting	94:14 95:14	67:9 84:1
154:5,7	126:15	156:21 166:24	101:24 102:21
profiled 68:24	promulgated	167:7 170:20	102:23 104:20
profit 169:10	14:2 24:21	proportion	125:8 146:12
profitability	promulgating	159:13	153:19 160:21
133:2	57:21	proportional	prospects
program 19:3	promulgation	84:6,7,11	175:6
30:7 56:6 57:1	16:24	85:12	protect 11:13
57:5 86:5,8	pronounce	proportionally	25:10 101:6
135:22 168:2	70:14	84:4	102:1 103:23
171:13	prop 148:1	proposal 5:11	104:2 106:5
programs	properly 61:20	5:21 6:5,9	108:5 111:6,17
20:11 41:11	77:17	13:12 17:1,2	124:13 125:16
43:24 82:5,22	proponent 1:16	46:18 49:8	126:16 127:7
progress 91:3	27:15 36:19	51:3 62:17	144:10 148:10
progressing	62:11 79:24	94:14 95:14	174:19
112:14	80:11 153:5	160:6 163:17	protecting
prohibited	163:24	167:4	24:24 112:18
132:17	proponent's	proposals	122:15 148:17
project 24:24	17:11 156:19	155:6	protection 7:5
147:5	proponents	propose 1:5	13:23 32:10
projected	4:23 5:24 6:9	62:10 65:8	50:6 57:20
67:11 70:22	6:16 7:14,17	174:14	79:23 170:21
95:16 111:22	7:19 8:5,12	proposed 1:5	174:16
projection 71:3	9:23 10:24	4:8,9 5:22 7:8	protections
76:3 156:24	13:20 15:9	13:9,9,14,16	101:9 112:13
projections	16:18 19:13	15:18 16:18	134:22 135:10
21:4 71:5 75:2	20:19 22:8	17:19 19:18	proud 142:15
83:10	25:7 27:2	21:4,10,14,17	143:22 175:20
projects 156:21	28:11 50:14	22:23 23:3,7	proudly 132:1
promises 24:23	52:18 54:20	24:15,23 25:3	proven 144:8
	59:15,19,23	28:5 47:10	175:15

[provide - question]

• • • • • • •	110 10 100 00	110 1	140.10
provide 7:8	119:12 133:23	published	putting 149:13
11:6 15:13	psychotherap	146:21 154:22	q
17:22 24:16	150:3	pulaski 123:3	qualified 80:21
25:4 27:13	pubic 112:7	pulled 86:19	171:24
28:3 30:6	public 3:8 8:23	pulmonary	qualifier 157:5
51:23 56:18	9:8,9,12,13,15	102:9 129:13	qualify 47:8
59:17 62:17,18	9:16,18 19:4	pulmonologist	87:10
63:23 64:3	20:8 30:17	97:4	quality 20:5,9
67:14 80:17,19	34:2,5 49:5	pumping	29:17 37:21
80:21,23 81:17	53:3 55:2,10	100:13	38:11 89:12,12
82:3 86:6	56:12,15 57:2	punishes 132:4	96:7,11 97:9
102:24 109:5	58:1 81:20,22	purchasable	97:13 98:8,24
128:20 129:7	82:5,10,13,14	100:22	107:2,7,14
129:16 137:19	82:19,19 84:2	purchase 64:12	109:20 111:4
153:18 165:10	84:5,11,18,19	65:23 79:17	111:24 112:2
171:7	85:2,6 88:3,18	85:24 158:8	112:11 115:10
provided 25:2	88:20 90:11	160:20	119:15 122:15
30:18 44:14	102:1,23 103:6	purchases 47:5	129:6 130:7
54:7,22 56:6	104:11 108:2,2	purchasing	134:13 138:12
56:22 68:23	111:17 112:18	161:7	140:9 173:13
71:5 83:3,14	115:21 116:16	purely 53:8	173:17
167:2	117:2,14,15,18	67:2 169:16	quantifiable
provider	118:24 119:1	purpose 15:21	23:21
105:16	122:15 128:21	purposes 78:23	quantities
providers	129:22 130:2	pursuant 7:5	100:11
105:24	136:2 138:19	pursuing 17:18	quarter 77:8
provides 57:20	139:7 146:22	put 7:19 59:21	78:18 79:6
85:24	149:2 154:6	60:11 63:11	quarterly
providing	155:6,14	65:5 78:8	77:10 79:5
23:11 69:3	161:10,14,16	93:19 100:6	question 8:18
89:4 172:20	172:12,13	107:22 120:17	21:22,22 22:4
provisions 17:7	174:14	puts 69:15	28:3 31:23
proximity	publications	143:12	32:13 33:2,2,6
103:13 105:1	7:2		32.13 33.2,2,0

[question - ready]

34:6,20 45:5	questions 5:8	168:12,17	141:9
46:13,15,17	5:12 7:16,20	170:9 172:2,4	raises 93:1
47:19 50:12	8:3,17 9:3,20	172:10	ran 43:9
51:6 52:7,17	9:22 14:6,8	quickly 145:17	range 22:14
53:21 54:20	15:8,17 16:20	quit 114:1	ranks 11:24
56:17 58:11	16:22,24 17:17	quite 87:20	136:13
60:6,21,23,24	18:24 20:18,20	100:5 134:14	rao 1:13 4:15
61:12,24 63:13	20:22 21:1,2,7	153:24	62:15,24 63:16
63:18 67:7	21:10,12,23	quo 148:22	66:9 67:6
70:21,22 71:9	22:8,9,11,13,15	161:17 173:16	88:24 91:11,12
75:1,17 76:21	26:22 30:21,24	quote 16:6	91:12
78:23 79:1,15	31:4,6,22,22,24	52:19 62:3	rapid 118:1
79:23 80:7,9	32:9,10 33:5	98:9 170:20	rate 69:10
80:10,15,18	34:1,4,7,18	r	83:24
81:18 83:18,23	36:6 39:19,22	r 35:15 46:7	rated 131:13
84:3,9 85:21	40:1,3,21	50:5,5,7,7 70:5	rates 29:24
86:14,15,16	41:21 42:3,23	70:7 127:14	69:12,15 99:9
87:1,7,13	43:9,11,14	152:10,10,10	140:3 154:23
97:19 153:17	45:22 46:10	r2024-017	rather 23:1
156:17 157:15	49:18,21,23	155:5	24:2 63:13
160:16,16,23	50:13 57:9	r24-17 1:5 4:11	71:1 175:22
161:18 162:10	59:20 62:19,20	racial 123:23	ratio 159:4
162:24 163:22	63:11 69:23	150:17 154:23	reach 76:23
166:10,11,12	70:1,10,19,21	racism 106:13	77:1 107:11
166:19 168:13	72:3 73:23	150:6	140:5 167:1,17
168:14,24	76:10 77:15	racist 28:19	175:5
169:19 170:10	78:1,12 85:19	114:14	read 8:5 21:23
170:16,19	87:20 151:7	radius 55:24	135:19
171:9,16,24	153:2 155:19	railroad 143:17	readily 100:22
questioners	155:21 156:3,4	raise 15:7	173:21
15:12	162:19,21	22:10	ready 4:2 25:12
questioning	163:19 165:12	raised 14:5	39:10 106:1
70:14 156:8	165:16,18	21:1 115:18	155:21
	166:7,8 167:11	41.1 113.10	

[real - regulated]

	T.		
real 110:1	recalled 156:11	58:22 95:12	referenced 44:7
reality 126:12	receive 74:21	96:3 100:23,24	79:2 81:24
137:4	received 20:19	101:1 107:13	86:20 170:10
realize 136:9	32:9 177:12	112:6 118:16	referencing
136:16	receiving 117:2	118:23,24	67:14 160:19
realized 149:10	recent 79:4	119:1 121:16	referred 160:18
149:11	113:4 122:23	138:12 145:20	referring 51:7
really 55:18	174:20 175:12	146:2 168:5	55:4 64:19,20
58:10,21 79:2	recently 23:3	reduced 66:3	64:21 68:9
97:15,19,20	24:21 91:23	66:20 67:4	71:12,12,14
107:9 127:19	recognize	96:6	74:16 80:4
128:7,11	132:1	reduces 118:13	83:4,5 86:14
160:17 174:15	recognizes	reducing 41:13	87:2 158:11,13
175:11,21	13:12	57:24 94:21	166:8 171:10
reaping 90:16	recommend	102:20 103:2	refers 55:6
reason 30:11	140:10	103:14,21	71:10 81:19
42:2 52:8	record 1:8 5:7	109:19 124:14	160:9 169:19
156:20	5:10 6:11 11:9	126:14 130:1	reflect 5:11
reasonableness	14:6,14 15:15	159:16	95:10 124:5
57:23 61:20	21:24 26:4	reduction	regarding 7:21
reasoning	35:14 40:16	50:23 53:12,18	19:1,16 50:12
158:14	42:9,20 43:6	58:5 135:5	52:17 57:9
reasons 6:16	58:2 63:2	174:21	63:18 67:7
11:17 12:10	77:11 78:9,24	reductions 51:3	76:8,16 168:24
13:20 21:3	87:23 88:1,2	51:4,13 52:5	regardless 97:9
22:21 25:3	130:14,15	59:1 61:18	127:3
42:2 44:10,11	151:3,4,6,9	reeks 136:23	region 41:16
44:19 53:7	152:9 153:13	refer 10:23	register 6:22
58:8 64:16	170:17 172:5,7	15:13 22:5	7:2
84:12 125:10	172:9	64:14 71:8	registration
156:20	recorded 123:2	reference 37:3	17:7 47:14,23
rebate 66:19	recovery 97:18	58:13 68:24	48:3,12 58:20
rebates 82:3	reduce 23:9	80:3 87:2	regulated
85:24	30:5 49:13	156:21	67:20 121:3

[regulating - requires]

magulating	molativaly 67.10	manage 24.10	monmoganta
regulating 93:17	relatively 67:19 relaxed 78:7	repeat 34:18 61:11 80:9	represents 126:13
, , , ,			
regulation	released 123:6	repeated 8:16	reprieve 147:7
170:22 175:6	130:3	repeatedly	request 13:21
regulations 6:1	relevant 5:5	17:14 117:22	28:11 31:11,15
14:2 44:15	15:14 73:23	repeating	61:16 63:4
49:9 57:21,22	reliably 54:18	155:21	107:24 130:9
71:10 72:7	reliant 175:4	repetitious 5:6	requested 5:24
87:9 101:24	relief 30:6	rephrase 48:23	7:4,8 54:16
103:19 132:19	134:10 135:6	replace 85:6	59:16 61:8
138:8,11 144:5	173:24	131:2	requesting
150:12 164:14	relieved 143:22	replacement	61:14
171:2,14	rely 12:8	49:9	requests 95:14
regulatory	relying 175:22	report 77:9	require 147:1
15:19 16:13,14	remain 41:15	78:18 79:3,5	157:6 167:23
17:4,9 20:15	remains 106:16	86:9,22 87:3	171:22
22:10 50:8	remarks 11:7	95:7 123:22	required 47:14
94:14 95:14	51:19	129:19 157:2	51:4 57:6,11
103:6 175:2	remedy 30:18	reported 1:21	62:1,2 63:5
relate 175:5	remember	177:8	81:24 84:1
related 14:11	14:10 89:10	reporter 5:13	114:6 160:21
21:2 45:13	90:22 98:3	7:24 8:14 10:7	requirement
48:3 96:7,10	107:21 149:5	70:11 87:23	16:10,11,15
102:15 116:3	remind 11:10	88:9 152:12	17:3 70:23
relatedly 15:16	88:15	172:15 177:5	71:4,4 72:2
relates 15:19	reminder 22:20	reporting	80:24 86:11
171:5	105:8	177:6	158:4 161:21
relation 94:15	remote 7:22	reports 77:10	165:6 168:6
relationship	remotely 18:4	represent 8:19	170:5
27:14	removing	10:17 23:22	requirements
relative 45:3	145:8	50:3 124:4	71:11 159:3
50:23 52:6	renewable	representing	requires 13:7
66:4 121:10	98:17	70:3 128:22	14:24 112:4

[requiring - review]

requiring	resilient 108:13	responded 7:10	restore 145:4
81:21 139:22	122:19	responding	restricted
research 20:5,7	resolve 111:5	166:6 167:12	95:18 139:17
90:10 122:9	resource 44:13	response 21:14	result 54:13
129:2 143:16	45:17	50:13 51:12,24	58:6 67:9
154:22	resourced	52:18 54:22	89:11 94:17
researched	12:22	59:20 70:21	95:23 106:13
			157:12 173:12
19:9	resources 5:18	71:9 76:21	
reside 101:20	10:23 13:15	77:22 79:1,16	resulted 53:17
residence 66:10	14:2 18:21	79:22 80:10,18	resulting 68:21
resident 89:5	19:15 35:9	80:21,23 81:17	90:19 139:15
96:16 99:20	41:10 43:22	81:19 82:12	results 69:11
104:1,12 113:9	52:10,12 62:5	85:22,23 86:1	74:9 90:10
122:10 126:3	74:1 139:9	87:7,8 155:19	140:2
130:17 133:17	164:1,4,8,13,16	156:18 158:7	resume 8:24
134:11	164:23 165:3,8	160:17 161:3	retirement
residential	respect 63:19	161:19 162:11	29:13
140:4	67:8	163:24 166:6	return 112:12
residents 25:10	respectfully	responses 7:9	revealed
29:17 37:14	13:20	22:8 41:20	123:24
64:10 91:8	respiratory	54:19 75:13	revenue 46:19
107:15 109:6	5:19 10:18	responsibilities	48:1,13,20,24
109:10,23	20:11 95:7	96:5	49:10
110:16,22	98:4 102:6	responsible	revenues 47:7
111:24 112:21	106:21 107:9	103:7 171:20	47:22 49:15
117:18 118:19	109:15 110:24	responsibly	57:14 58:6
121:2,5 124:7	111:13 119:22	30:16	68:23 69:5,19
124:13 125:14	123:22 126:4	responsive	reversed
127:18 129:16	129:12 143:20	78:11	146:20 147:6
131:20 132:4	146:3 155:11	rest 14:7 31:13	reversing
132:15 135:10	respond 54:20	restate 28:7	147:15
136:11 143:18	60:7 87:13	restatement	review 14:14
144:4	168:21	84:8	59:17

[reviewed - rules] Page 59

reviewed 78:10	rivian 148:5	romo 19:19	94:14 95:14
rich 108:17	rizo 104:7	20:3	99:22,22,23
ride 114:16	108:7,10,11	roof 93:19	112:1 153:5
141:5	road 47:20	room 9:11	161:5,22,23
riding 134:6	69:4 83:11,16	100:4 102:19	166:23 167:7
right 36:13	106:19 123:3	117:20 137:24	170:20
38:1,4 41:6	173:1 174:24	149:14 168:9	rule's 52:2
43:18 47:13	174:24	rory 50:7	rulemaking 1:5
51:10 58:10	roads 12:2	rose 110:6	1:19 4:8,21
68:4 70:1 72:3	45:13 47:12	127:12	5:21,22 7:13
72:17 76:20	57:3 136:5	roughly 23:18	57:10,16 78:6
77:5 85:16	roadways 24:6	79:12,13 86:12	171:23
88:8 90:4	robert 1:17	139:24	rules 5:5,23 6:4
97:15 98:20,23	4:24 10:15	round 97:1	7:8 11:13 13:9
100:6 110:17	roccaforte 3:5	route 144:17	13:10,14,16
120:1 127:1,19	50:4,4,11	row 65:3 66:1	15:3,5,9,12,18
128:1,2,3,5,12	61:11,17 62:7	rule 4:11,23	17:19 19:18
141:19 142:6	62:10,15 63:17	10:24 13:20	21:4,11,14,17
162:18 172:8	63:18 67:7	14:15,21,22,24	22:23 23:4,8
172:21	69:21 166:2,3	15:9,15 16:11	24:15,24 25:3
rights 89:6	166:3,13,15,19	16:17,18 17:11	28:5 30:4,14
rip 101:8	166:23 168:20	19:13 20:19	30:17 36:19
rise 85:12	170:19	22:7 25:7 27:2	46:21 47:2
119:22	rockford 7:2	27:15 28:11	50:19 51:1
rising 145:18	role 13:15	47:1,10 48:2,4	52:23 55:4
risk 91:24	20:13 45:19	50:14,15,15	57:12 62:10
107:22 134:12	112:17 119:13	51:8,20,23	64:9 67:12
134:19 143:13	roll 105:7	52:13,18 54:11	68:8 101:6,12
risks 111:12	133:3	54:20,20 58:2	101:14,24
125:21	rolled 24:22	58:7 59:15,19	102:23 104:2
river 135:23	51:22	59:22 62:11	115:9,13
146:20 147:6	rolling 72:12	67:9,15,16,16	116:21 118:23
147:15	72:22	67:16 73:24	121:19 122:2
		83:14 90:6,6,7	122:13,14

[rules - sector] Page 60

124:7,8,12,20	169:4,7	150:24	140:16,24
139:2,5,10,13	sales 47:3	saved 65:17	141:1 142:21
139:19 146:1	58:21 59:8	saving 139:4	142:21,22,24
153:19 160:22	71:1,4 73:5,18	savings 103:3	143:5,5 154:6
169:1 173:23	74:2,12,13	131:6 139:23	154:8,9
run 100:2	75:5,19,24	140:1,4	schools 154:15
117:23	76:1,22 79:11	saw 97:15	154:18
runner 37:19	79:12 80:24	110:21 114:11	scientific 102:2
rush 107:7	83:24 84:4,6	saying 7:11	154:17
154:9	84:12,19 85:13	47:23 60:5,6	scientist 4:15
rushed 123:14	86:10,11,23	84:3 167:22	4:16
149:12,13	87:16 112:5	says 177:5	scope 17:1
ryan 94:7,9,9	131:18 140:2	scale 56:2	22:11
S	156:23 157:4,7	148:3	scramble 101:2
s 35:15,15 50:7	157:11,24	scales 133:11	seas 145:18
70:4,4 127:14	158:4,17,23	133:12	seasonal 97:1
152:10	159:1,13,14,17	scarce 81:15	second 6:12,12
saddens 119:21	161:20 166:24	scary 117:24	15:16 23:6
sadden 119:21 sadder 119:23	167:6,8,13,14	scenario 64:9	53:20 65:3
safe 37:22	167:15,20,21	68:5,6,16 71:6	77:8 78:18
114:5 116:16	167:23 168:6	71:7 170:24	79:6 84:17
safeguards	169:1,11	scenarios 52:22	85:16 88:7,19
174:15	salgado 19:19	53:5 84:17	88:20
safer 126:18	20:3	schedules 6:11	seconds 137:2
safety 91:7	salt 4:19	schmidt 142:12	secretary 48:5
109:9,23	sanitation	142:13	section 7:5
174:18	146:22	school 29:6,8	15:20,21 16:3
sake 5:13 80:5	santoya 122:6	29:13 89:24,24	16:12 17:5,14
sale 14:19,19	124:24 125:1,1	101:1 102:20	57:19 71:18
15:2 87:9	saturating	106:7 107:6	sections 13:22
157:14 158:6	123:7	113:19 114:17	sector 13:7,11
158:10 159:7	save 128:24	114:17 115:24	98:17 118:14
159:20 160:2	139:7 141:20	116:13 117:14	118:14 130:2
137.20 100.2	147:21 150:24	126:9 134:3,4	145:21 174:3
L		ral Calutions	1

[sector - shulock] Page 61

175:2	sell 76:4 81:1	sets 14:11 15:3	shipped 90:14
see 9:9 17:17	169:10,14,15	15:23 101:24	shipping 11:22
30:23 31:1	selling 161:8	162:19	shoaff 1:18
38:6,7,8 39:5,5	sending 175:19	setting 58:21	2:21 3:3 4:24
39:7 41:22	senior 4:17	144:11	10:20 35:6,7
45:4 49:19	41:8 70:8	seven 123:13	36:2,5,10,13
54:7 55:11,16	117:3 122:8	149:13	39:18 40:12,15
56:3 58:23	154:3	seventh 92:13	41:6 42:7,12
67:1 69:16,16	seniors 109:15	111:7	42:18,22 43:4
77:18 85:6	sense 22:23	several 12:12	43:8 45:21
87:1 94:2	63:16 103:24	53:7	shoot 119:20
96:10 115:3	142:5	severe 29:21	shop 134:1
119:19 138:4	senses 169:22	117:23 123:13	shopping 55:12
145:8,14 150:4	sentinels 6:24	134:14	short 8:6,7
150:5	separate 14:11	severity 96:22	134:14 138:1
seeing 74:9	september 7:15	sewage 147:5	143:7 162:18
86:19 92:1	62:4	shaken 136:15	shortfall 47:16
98:16 150:7	serious 126:7	shakes 105:7	79:17
169:10 173:9	128:11	shannon 1:19	shortfalls 58:13
seem 80:14	served 78:4	4:21	59:10,12
seemed 136:5	154:18	shaped 123:16	shorthand
seems 58:18	services 9:7	share 28:16	14:22 177:5,8
seen 19:10	133:5	39:15 47:12	shortness 116:4
38:18 67:19	serving 18:11	94:13 106:20	show 44:24
71:2 90:21	27:24	130:19 156:22	85:4 91:4
118:1 125:6	sessions 125:15	167:7	103:14 105:11
126:8 136:18	set 6:8,12,12	shares 15:1	130:3 173:19
159:22	9:15 11:7	106:24	showing 133:6
sees 113:22	13:19 22:21	sheet 9:11 88:5	148:21
170:23	25:15 83:1	sheetal 88:24	shown 65:14
selection	87:20 88:19,20	91:11,12	148:2
131:13	112:1 144:5	shift 126:21	shows 74:1
self 128:13	163:19 174:22	173:20	shulock 86:9,18
			86:22 87:2

[shut - sounds] Page 62

	I	I	
shut 118:3	signs 145:16	slight 10:4	solely 5:9 58:16
sick 93:22	similar 12:23	slowing 23:10	59:2,12
sickness 93:6	48:7 63:6 86:6	slowly 115:8	solidifying
side 36:17	103:1 163:6,9	small 39:3	173:17
98:10 103:4	163:10	106:18	solution 90:4
104:12 114:22	simple 22:23	smell 113:21	107:11 111:19
115:17 117:10	73:18 95:5	115:4 116:1,2	138:9 143:16
132:11 141:9	136:22	134:1	147:3
149:9	simplest 58:9	smelled 97:18	solutions
sides 98:12	simply 17:1	smelly 100:13	173:21
123:21	138:7	smith 140:13	solve 147:9
sidewalk 115:1	sinclair 146:21	smoke 38:8	somebody
sierra 5:17	single 12:4,7	97:16 100:13	36:22 37:17
10:19,20 35:8	79:19 109:3	110:22 115:4	38:4
35:8 59:19	145:23 150:13	120:22 145:15	somewhat
110:12 144:20	150:14 160:12	173:18	143:22
146:10 174:10	sister 115:20	smooth 11:8	son 134:1 149:8
sign 9:11,12	sisterhood	snow 145:1	150:5
39:10 88:5	142:15	social 12:19	soon 30:5
91:6	sisters 142:14	24:10 92:8	soothes 145:8
signal 107:16	sit 9:7 44:2	161:16	sorry 10:9 61:6
signature	88:8 99:3	societal 118:12	62:8 68:8 72:2
177:15	146:19	139:11	76:13 86:14,17
signed 52:9	site 118:20	society 15:7	135:19 162:5,9
significant 29:9	sites 97:12	95:20 140:7	166:8 168:22
48:19 65:16	sitting 128:13	socioeconomic	sort 17:19 23:8
82:10 103:15	situated 36:16	127:4	47:8 56:6,13
119:20	six 97:2 115:19	softly 8:16	sorts 17:17
significantly	144:17 167:3	solano 116:24	soul 145:8
28:13,17 53:17	skill 143:13	119:8,10,10	sounds 10:14
53:19 54:14	skin 120:19	sold 74:20	22:6 25:14
65:12 66:20	sky 90:22	158:20 159:9	85:20 87:22
81:6 115:11	slash 139:13	160:21 167:3,4	147:15 165:19
		167:5,19	

[source - standards]

Page 63

11.10	100.1	11 02 20	1 2416
source 11:19	speakers 109:1	spending 82:20	stand 24:16
16:3 23:7	speaking 5:14	93:22	140:19
48:19 92:15	41:1 55:2 81:9	spent 93:8,9	standard 16:15
102:21 111:9	98:20	120:10 144:23	44:16 49:15
112:19 143:16	special 142:20	147:4 154:24	51:9 54:3 59:3
174:1	143:3	spoke 37:11	59:4,5 68:1,1
sources 20:2	specializing	sports 37:19	74:10,16 87:15
24:9 83:21	20:5	spot 59:22	156:22 157:8
121:2	specific 17:13	60:11 63:15	157:10,10,22
south 39:8	21:7 22:9 23:1	spread 146:24	157:23 158:1,3
98:12 109:2,3	47:19 48:15	spreading	158:5,16,18
123:20 141:9	49:7 58:21	69:13	159:12 160:10
149:9	63:2 64:5	springfield	160:12 164:17
southwest	73:20 74:7	4:20 6:22 9:22	167:14 170:2
104:12 114:9	82:8 83:15,19	31:2,3,6,12	standards 1:5
114:22 117:10	87:4 114:3	39:24 40:1	4:9 13:24
space 132:9	118:20 165:2	49:19,20 69:23	14:12,16,17
spanish 9:5,10	specifically	156:4 165:16	15:6,6,24 16:4
11:4	21:5 41:10	172:2	16:5,7,12,19,21
spatial 56:6,18	45:7 46:21	springs 141:1	16:22,23 17:5
speak 5:14 18:5	47:14 48:8	square 55:23	23:3 24:22
22:14 36:18,24	49:2,11 54:24	132:8	28:12 44:18,21
44:9 54:23	56:22 71:22	ss 177:1	45:4 47:21
55:4,13 66:16	74:8,17,24	staff 4:17,19	49:11,12 50:21
72:20 73:14,21	75:22 76:7	5:9 11:2 17:15	50:22 51:5,21
74:8,23 75:6	82:18 155:4	25:8 28:9	51:22 52:3,4
76:11,19 79:21	157:17 159:1	99:18 133:13	52:13,21 53:24
82:6 84:13	spell 26:3 35:13	142:23 174:11	58:11,20 59:13
91:14 94:19	40:15 152:8	staggering	67:21 68:21
99:12 104:18	172:14	123:6 136:12	78:7 83:1,4
133:14 137:18	spelled 26:5,6	stake 128:14	90:5 91:7
158:2 164:23	spelling 152:15	142:1	92:21 94:1,15
170:7 171:4	spend 64:10	stakes 22:20	94:22 95:15
	145:7	23:13	98:15 99:7

[standards - stockyards]

Page 64

[stood - sullivan] Page 65

	1		
stood 38:23	129:14	105:11	subparts 32:13
stop 8:14 87:21	strong 113:20	study 7:7,11	subscribed
98:2 145:16	113:23 147:13	21:2 28:22	177:20
147:10 148:12	175:2	29:1,5 37:4,4,8	subsequently
stopped 149:9	stronger	37:9,11 61:24	16:23 95:12
stopping 9:1	100:20 130:5	62:1,3,9,13	substantial
store 136:22	strongly 101:23	63:1 69:1,5	102:13
storm 13:1	107:24 109:17	108:22 113:4	substantiated
147:3	127:5	117:15 122:24	22:22
story 39:15	structural	studying	substantive
123:18 149:5,7	29:23	110:13	13:23 16:6
straight 14:13	structure 16:14	stuff 36:22 39:1	22:14 57:21
strategies	164:10	39:2	suburbs 130:18
13:18 105:22	structures	stymied 132:21	136:15
stream 48:13	17:10	subject 22:12	successfully
49:10	struggle 96:9	34:13 80:1,11	111:20
street 113:21	107:9 123:15	100:17 170:11	succinctly
114:18 177:17	126:10 149:17	171:13	56:17
streets 108:21	struggles	submissions	suffer 13:2
109:13 120:20	125:23	22:17	24:14 99:9,24
122:22 172:23	struggling	submit 9:16	109:15
strength 132:2	111:1 125:11	26:7 35:20	suffered 96:19
strengthened	125:18 137:23	57:11 82:2	suffering 24:10
23:3 111:4	173:2,11	152:16	99:8
stressors 121:7	student 10:16	submitted 14:9	sufficient 76:23
stricter 112:1	110:13	15:10 18:16	77:1 167:1
138:11	students 29:6	26:23 34:2	sufficiently
string 72:3	108:15 114:17	41:18,20 44:8	132:15
87:20	116:15 140:19	44:10 77:12,13	sugar 93:1
stringent 16:4	141:5 143:10	153:3 154:14	suggest 159:23
stroke 141:14	studies 44:9,12	155:4,20	suggests 38:18
strokes 91:22	56:4,4 62:19	submitting	suite 177:17
91:24 92:1	63:6 96:16	122:12	sullivan 140:13
93:2,3 94:3	102:13 103:14		140:14

[summary - targets]

summary 8:2,7	supporting	switching	take 8:24 26:2
28:10 43:19	21:20 28:11	139:6	31:14 32:14
summer 72:12	95:4 103:17	sworn 25:17	52:19 57:22
90:23 110:21	120:12 129:20	26:14,15 27:6	60:3,13,19
114:5 120:19	supportive	35:2 40:8	68:7 71:24
173:18	47:18 82:23	152:2,13,23	75:9,11 83:6
summertime	supports 21:19	153:9 156:12	85:18 95:13
96:24 97:11	90:10	177:4,20	109:24 115:21
summon 142:1	sure 31:19	system 9:17	115:24 117:22
142:8	36:16 37:22,24	12:15 19:7	120:22 124:20
sun 6:21	61:9 63:5,7	69:7 161:6	127:6 133:3,24
super 141:22	76:15 79:14	systematically	134:1 136:2
supplement	81:3,9,13,16	28:20	148:10,16,23
83:21	84:13 87:5	systemic	153:17 155:20
supplemental	89:9 100:5	106:10,13	165:1 168:4
41:20 42:13,15	147:16 164:21	124:5 137:7	taken 100:6
42:19	surely 147:12	systems 45:15	105:16 113:17
supply 160:4	surplus 79:18	104:14,16	145:20 175:1
support 37:1	surprised	105:24 121:5	takes 73:18
47:18 54:18	113:10	t	115:22 125:7
56:1,16 69:3	surrounding	t 35:15 40:17	talk 59:22
82:4,21 92:20	38:13	50:5 70:7	64:17 89:4
94:1,13 95:12	survival 97:5	152:10	145:10
95:13,22	125:10	table 54:7 88:8	talked 62:9
104:19 107:16	survive 90:19	93:19	talking 8:15
107:24 109:17	sustainability	tailored 17:9	158:9
113:2 117:6	91:5 136:8	tailoring 17:13	tangible 138:9
121:22 122:12	sustainable	17:17	tank 85:7
129:3 130:2,4	39:11	tailpipe 49:12	target 39:7
130:9 142:18	sway 147:13	135:4 160:11	118:8,10
146:11,12	swear 8:1 10:6	171:14	167:14 168:7
148:7,11 155:5	10:7 152:12	tailpipes 49:13	targets 58:21
supported	swearing 9:23	tampipes 49.13	59:9 74:3 83:1
56:21			144:7 158:22

[task - thankfully]

Page 67

task 18:13	89:20 91:5	testimony 5:12	54:16 57:9
95:16	108:24 122:24	6:17 7:14,21	61:23 62:13
tasks 95:5 96:2	130:20 133:6	7:22,23 8:3,4,8	63:8 67:6
96:9	148:18	8:9,24 11:18	69:21 70:18
taught 140:24	tell 36:14 37:7	15:10 18:16	71:9 76:20
tax 46:17 47:5	39:16 93:13,21	19:1 22:22	78:14 83:23
47:15 48:1,12	94:3 141:2	26:7,11,13,14	85:20 89:3
48:19 49:1,4	149:5	26:15,16,20	91:9,15 93:24
49:10,14 58:6	telling 148:10	27:3,6,13 28:4	94:12 96:12
58:13 59:2,12	tenant 118:8	31:8 35:20	99:12,14
66:11,12,13,14	tend 69:10	36:3 37:4	101:15 104:4,5
teach 105:20	72:12 106:7	39:23 41:19,20	104:18 108:4
154:15	term 16:1 59:8	41:22,24 42:4	110:3 112:22
teacher 140:15	70:11 76:14,15	42:5,8,13,15,16	115:14 116:22
142:20,22	103:21 124:14	42:19 43:2	117:1 119:6
teaches 140:15	134:14 143:7,8	44:6 75:12	120:1 122:3,4
teaching	155:8 170:10	151:9,10	124:22 127:9
125:14	terms 162:7	152:16,20,22	128:15,16
team 41:9 44:2	164:20 169:22	152:23 153:9	130:12,13
120:12 121:9	174:22	153:12,14,18	133:9,13
146:10	terrified 101:1	154:1,3 155:4	135:17 138:21
teams 114:20	terrifying	155:19,23	142:10,11
technical 4:15	173:11,19	156:6 160:9	144:12,12
15:6,23 16:5	territory 66:17	176:3	145:10 146:6
16:12 28:24	terror 123:15	text 17:4	148:24 151:1,2
technician	149:15	thank 10:14	152:7 153:23
110:19	testified 25:18	11:4 22:6 25:8	155:24,24
technological	35:3,16 40:9	28:2,8,8 30:20	156:1,16
21:13 67:2	152:3 156:13	31:9,19 34:22	165:12,13,14
technologies	testify 19:7,21	35:12 36:1	168:20 174:7
41:15 157:21	20:6 89:10	37:3 38:17	174:10 175:18
technology	153:24	39:13,18 40:5	thankfully
5:21 10:22	testifying 99:20	44:7 45:5,21	107:11
35:10 66:4	155:2	49:16 52:17	

[thanking - today]

thanking 11:1	37:2,4,15,16	threaten 93:18	170:22 174:13
thanks 40:4	39:6,10,17	146:3	174:19
45:23 49:17	40:22 46:12,13	three 5:24	timeframe
91:10 94:6	46:21 47:8,17	14:11 15:9	113:5
101:16 108:6	53:8 55:14	19:12 46:20	timer 88:12
110:5 112:23	56:2 58:8,19	56:23 92:20	times 6:21 53:2
119:7 120:2	59:1,11 61:4	94:1 101:24	70:12 96:20
124:23 127:10	62:15 63:13	116:8 142:8	97:2 116:12
128:19 133:10	65:4 66:21	146:1 153:21	123:24 167:3
140:12 142:13	73:23 77:16,23	throw 147:14	173:7
149:1 172:11	78:22 84:12	tides 118:11	tips 150:22
174:8 175:24	86:5 90:17	tied 109:16	title 78:19
176:4	91:23 95:5	tim 4:18	titled 26:11
thanksgiving	97:19 98:6	time 5:14 13:13	27:2 77:9
77:17	116:15 127:22	14:20 20:13	152:19 153:5
theoretically	127:22 128:2	26:2 28:6	titles 48:13
158:22	134:12 150:14	30:20 31:15	today 4:12,23
therapist 94:10	152:14 156:7	39:14 40:3	5:1,9 6:7 7:18
94:16 96:8	156:20 160:13	41:4 45:24	7:22 8:17,20
thick 100:13	160:14 161:1	53:8,12,17	9:2,5,13,16
thing 46:14	165:5 169:6	55:6 62:23	10:18,24 11:2
61:9 98:4	171:16 174:16	65:2 77:5 82:3	11:2,8 13:8
120:1 127:19	third 16:1 17:3	87:19,21 88:20	15:13 17:24
128:1,2,3,5,12	23:13 29:11	90:21,21 98:24	18:2 23:20
136:6 157:23	thought 97:14	100:18 104:4	25:8 31:14
things 14:7	116:10	108:4 110:3,8	35:8 36:6
16:9 25:12	thousand 66:18	115:12 116:7	39:14,20 41:22
38:9,22 63:8	115:6	120:10 122:3	42:5,16 43:2
96:20 99:1	thousands	127:9 131:14	43:12 44:15
101:10 116:8	107:21 117:22	144:12 145:7	70:6 88:13,17
144:4	123:8 133:20	147:13,18,24	89:5,10 92:4
think 10:4 22:3	134:16,23	148:13,21	92:19 94:19
22:4 30:22	threat 145:12	151:1 155:20	99:13,20
31:7 36:20	147:22	165:6,13	101:23 102:21

[today - travel] Page 69

1011011717			
104:18 115:13	took 53:24 54:4	township	transitioning
127:24 128:8	93:12	140:24	118:15 138:10
128:20 129:19	tools 98:15	toxic 109:11	transitions
143:18 145:12	top 91:23 92:16	125:7 134:24	131:15
146:13 153:17	98:4,5,5,6	137:9	translation
153:24 155:3,6	100:16 136:14	toxins 126:11	11:4
155:20 161:4	147:23 172:18	track 41:15	transplant 97:6
172:18 174:12	topical 17:23	76:4 98:13	99:3,6
175:8,10	topically 20:24	traditional	transplants
today's 7:18	topics 22:14	91:24 98:18	97:7
9:21 88:4	31:13 59:16	traffic 24:7	transport
176:1	154:15	28:21,23 29:2	116:16
together 10:16	torn 126:8	29:7 104:24	transportation
14:12,22 44:4	torres 133:11	105:12 108:21	12:6,13,15
47:2 54:12	135:18,21,22	113:13,14	13:4,7,11 18:6
55:4 160:17	total 48:19	132:1,7 134:6	18:20 19:23
told 140:16	50:22 52:4	136:5	41:8,9,12 45:6
141:10	57:18 67:10	train 116:1	45:8,12,15
toll 23:23	74:13 86:10,22	trains 116:17	46:16,19 48:13
113:17 125:7	95:16 159:13	transcribed	48:21 62:3,5
137:9	169:11,17	177:9	63:1 92:14
tom 19:14 70:6	touch 22:10	transcript 5:16	106:16 107:17
176:3	tovar 115:15	177:10	111:8,10,16
tomorrow 6:10	116:23 117:1,2	transit 49:6	114:6 115:21
9:4 13:9 17:24	toward 20:18	136:2	118:14 126:22
19:13 20:12	31:23 101:13	transition	129:15,21
66:15 74:8	109:19 122:14	13:13 37:1	130:1 132:2
176:2	124:21 164:23	38:21 58:9,12	143:19 144:1
tomorrow's	towards 6:10	59:6 107:16	145:22 146:10
88:22	31:13 85:9	118:2,9 129:15	174:3 175:5
ton 50:16,20,24	112:14 126:14	129:20 130:21	trapping
51:13 52:2	150:22 169:9	132:23 173:15	137:21
tons 95:10	town 122:11	transitioned	travel 56:20
		117:3	131:1

[treat - under] Page 70

treat 91:19	127:6 132:1,6	true 23:22	149:5 153:21
92:19	132:19,23	177:11	159:6,8 162:18
treated 170:3	136:21 155:5	trust 152:14	165:6 168:12
treating 19:10	truckdrivers	try 60:1 61:16	172:12
102:17	134:20,22	108:8 138:24	type 57:24
treatment	trucking 52:11	153:20	typical 63:24
106:4 149:19	89:18 90:16	trying 16:2	typically 8:4
trees 144:23	106:6,18 133:8	125:16 138:7	38:23 160:2
trembles 105:7	trucks 6:3	171:17	u
trends 21:6	14:21 29:2,7	tunnel 147:5	u 26:6 35:15
154:22	29:15 36:21	turkey 77:17	40:17
trial 8:4	37:16 44:17	turn 10:8 25:12	u.s. 41:17 54:2
tribune 7:1	45:1 47:2 52:9	31:2 49:19	u.s. 41.17 34.2 ui 154:5,5
trifecta 144:1	67:24 73:24	76:10 77:5	uic 91:14
trips 89:14	74:5,10 89:21	145:22	ultimately
trouble 38:5	90:6,8,13 91:7	turned 90:22	112:20 113:24
170:6	99:22 100:9,20	105:15 108:19	unacceptable
truck 1:5 4:9	105:3,7 109:1	turning 14:4	126:12
11:23 14:1	109:11,18	76:20 81:18	unavoidable
24:7 28:12,21	111:22 113:6	118:11	122:23
28:23 29:8	113:21,22	turnovers 59:7	uncertain
34:2 39:3	114:11 115:2,6	59:9	175:7,22
89:19 90:5	116:18,20	turtles 144:24	uncertainty
92:20 94:1,15	118:16 119:21	twice 105:12	30:10,11
94:22 95:15	120:16 122:21	two 6:7,17	174:18
104:20,24	123:2 124:10	15:23,23 33:21	unclear 170:20
113:13 114:3	125:21 126:5	36:6 38:23	undeniably
114:11,19,21	129:4 130:5,11	44:12,14 53:8	114:10
115:5,9 117:7	133:4,19,20	53:10 54:12	under 13:15,21
118:12,22	134:7,17	67:18 89:15	17:5,13 44:1
120:22 121:20	136:24 137:2	91:22 97:1	51:1,3 52:22
122:13,22,23	138:8 139:1,10	98:4,5,5 116:3	54:10 57:22
124:12,20	139:24 146:4	123:4 131:16	62:1 67:21
125:9 126:13	161:23 173:22	136:20 139:5	02.1 07.21
	1	l .	

[under - variability]

68:8,16 71:6	unhealthy	upgrades 69:9	130:24 136:10
82:20 84:1,16	137:12 138:6	69:18	162:13,17
109:7 157:12	unique 13:15	upper 141:6	168:7
157:16 158:3	30:16 90:15	ups 107:6	useful 22:1
159:3 160:21	123:18	166:22 168:21	user 48:11,14
161:24 167:4	unit 4:15 50:8	upton 146:21	66:16
170:4,24	united 11:22	urbaszewski	users 69:14
undergraduate	units 86:11,12	20:10 21:16	uses 16:1 71:22
110:13	86:24	66:16 82:6	using 65:21
underresourced	universities	86:6	89:15 159:23
150:3	154:17	urge 91:6 96:9	usually 113:10
underscore	university 19:7	101:23 104:1	138:5
103:5	20:4 94:10	109:24 115:12	utilities 81:24
understand	110:14 149:14	118:21 122:1	82:1 83:22
40:18 48:16	154:5,8	124:19 125:8	utility 81:20,21
51:6 52:7	university's	127:5 130:9	82:14,20 140:3
56:14 60:8	154:9	138:19 174:4	utilize 75:14
62:12 167:22	unprecedented	urgent 105:16	v
understanding	145:19	107:18 112:9	v 50:7
48:6,6 52:8	unquote 98:9	use 11:12 13:21	valid 93:17
57:6 59:7	170:23	14:22 30:15	values 71:13,16
72:19 80:20	unsafe 109:12	39:1 58:16	72:4,5,6,24
86:2 101:21	114:23 125:12	62:18 65:13	73:9,13 74:12
164:7,11,12,16	unwaivered	70:13 87:14	74:22 75:21
164:24 171:5	135:9	116:11,20	76:6 79:18
understood	unzueta 119:8	130:19 131:3	97:21 99:4
60:20	120:3	132:23 133:20	169:17
unescapable	update 44:20	158:16,17	van 1:12 4:14
29:9	44:24	159:11,14	vanessa 1:9,15
unfathomable	updated 52:24	169:4	4:1,6
134:12	53:2,10 54:12	used 28:23 29:2	vans 100:9
unfortunately	63:23 65:21	54:6 55:9	variability
106:2,15 173:2	71:7	71:18 76:15	55:14 56:9
		77:24 85:24	

[variety - vulnerable]

Page 72

			,
variety 47:18	77:9 79:17	58:17,24 62:4	video 4:20 5:2
55:17 82:22	80:2,12 82:5,9	65:9,18 67:20	28:23 29:2
83:20 84:12	85:1,1,3 87:16	68:22,24 69:4	view 58:4 94:13
155:10 157:21	89:3 99:7	69:6,7,17,20	viewpoint 23:1
158:6	102:1,5 103:2	73:4,6 74:2	village 18:3
various 13:17	103:5,13 108:1	82:15 83:10,11	29:5 38:15
41:12,14 44:4	111:19 112:5	83:16 85:14	108:22 117:4,9
44:15 55:1	112:16 113:3	87:10,11 90:8	119:4,11,13
57:8 59:13	115:9 119:24	100:19 106:19	120:7,8,11
84:21 129:11	122:13 124:7,8	109:12 126:15	122:9,10,18
160:14 169:20	124:20 129:3	126:20 130:21	123:1 125:2,6
vary 55:23 68:2	130:10,19,22	133:4 138:10	125:23 126:17
varying 164:8	130:23 131:3,5	138:11 142:19	village's 119:15
vast 100:11	131:11,16	148:3 157:16	visibility
vehicle 6:1	135:3,8,16	158:10,12,20	113:14
11:18 12:10	140:2 142:8	159:9 160:14	visibly 119:19
14:24 15:22	146:12,14	161:1,8 162:13	visits 93:23
16:2 17:7	155:7,9 157:4	162:15,17	100:23 102:19
18:12,22 20:9	157:7,21	169:2,2,3,8,20	117:20 139:15
23:8 28:16	159:17 160:10	169:21,22,23	vitae 154:14
29:16 30:5	161:3,9,11,12	169:24 170:13	vital 112:17
38:20 39:4	164:2,5,10	170:16 173:1	vitally 173:23
43:24 48:10	167:20,21	173:15 174:23	voice 146:12
49:11 53:23	170:4,14 174:5	174:24	volt 144:18
57:5 59:7,9	vehicle's 131:8	veloz 99:16	volume 132:6
63:24 64:17,18	vehicles 6:2	101:17,19,20	voluntary
64:20 65:1,7	11:16,21 12:2	vernon 6:24	128:21
65:15,17,20,24	12:8 14:17,23	versions 19:18	volunteer
66:6,8,24 67:3	15:2,4,5 16:1	versus 114:9	110:12
67:17 70:12	19:3,16 23:6	vetted 17:20	vote 124:19
71:13,16 72:4	28:13 37:1	viable 101:12	voters 130:4,6
72:5,24 73:8	39:3 43:22	147:15	vulnerable
73:13 74:12,22	47:3,4,11,13,20	vibrant 122:18	29:10,22 90:2
75:21 76:6	54:1 58:10,16		103:16 111:6

[vulnerable - wiggle]

Page 73

126:7 127:2	155:3 170:8	54:24,24 55:15	34:19 43:9
129:18	171:12 175:11	55:16 67:20,22	48:22 51:19
\mathbf{w}	175:18	78:12 93:11	61:5,6,13,23
w 70:5	wanted 31:17	96:4 119:20	62:8,12 63:3
wading 144:23	62:24 63:4,10	140:18 145:13	77:12 78:14
wait 30:19	68:9 77:24	147:17 160:23	151:11 152:6
107:18 120:17	78:23 166:5	161:2 173:12	152:14 153:11
138:15 146:19	174:10	175:14	153:16 166:11
waiting 93:8	wants 37:18	ways 85:13	166:14,17,20
113:10	55:20	159:6 168:11	168:16 170:8
wake 100:14	ward 104:13	we've 54:24	welcome 4:4,5
walgreens	134:16	70:11 91:3	17:15 35:11
38:24 89:13	warehouse	99:1 129:18	went 97:24
walk 89:23	100:15 118:2,7	142:16 143:18	113:20 116:5
93:14 94:5	118:8 132:9,13	150:3 175:1,8	140:19 149:13
106:7 116:17	134:19	175:12	west 36:17
119:16 133:24	warehouses	wealthy 115:19	98:12 123:21
136:1,22	12:16 112:3	weather 112:10	131:22 132:10
walking 109:10	134:18	website 48:18	141:23
113:10 115:20	warm 90:23	wednesday	western 113:19
120:13 172:23	warming 90:20	20:23 77:7	113:21 136:23
wall 55:8	washington	78:3	141:1
wallet 116:8	142:3 175:23	week 41:1	westside
want 10:2 11:1	waste 115:12	weeks 97:2	108:14
11:6 22:2 25:7	watching	123:7	wheel 63:7
46:12 60:1,11	123:15 144:24	weighs 9:18	wheezing 101:2
60:17 61:9	water 13:1	88:16	white 124:2
62:12 63:7	101:9 125:2,12	weinstock 1:17	wide 82:21
84:22 85:2	125:13,15	2:16 3:15 4:3	157:7 158:5,18
94:2,3 97:14	126:1 146:19	4:24 10:2,8,12	widespread
107:4 114:20	147:3 174:19	10:15,16 22:6	160:24
115:5 141:3	waving 9:6	25:21 26:17,21	wie 1:12 4:14
149:5 150:16	way 15:20 21:4	27:8,12 28:2,8	wiggle 168:9
177.5 150.10	39:11 41:13	31:12,17,19	

[wild - year] Page 74

		T	
wild 97:16	witnessed	98:16 102:20	worry 141:13
110:22 145:15	142:22	104:15 105:19	141:14,16
173:17	witnesses 5:1	108:5 109:11	worse 96:21
willing 59:15	6:9,14,17 7:15	110:18 113:20	117:24 137:13
60:10,16,16,22	7:19 8:1,2,7	115:22 120:6	worsen 106:21
wind 97:11	12:12 13:8	120:14 126:10	worsening
windows 38:4	14:4 15:13	136:7 146:17	112:11 129:13
wing 60:1	17:23 20:19,21	146:21 148:3	worsens 92:18
winging 60:18	20:24 22:9,13	171:15	92:24
winter 123:11	29:18 31:14	workdays	worst 13:3
winters 90:23	33:21 34:6	95:17 129:24	25:11 89:12
wise 67:21	59:21 60:11	139:18	93:16 100:3
wish 59:21	63:14	worked 44:1	119:15 136:17
60:14 173:7	witnessing	45:6 142:16	172:19
withdraw	111:3	150:3	worth 29:19
170:22 171:1	woke 123:12	workers 108:14	132:23
171:12	wonder 92:3	124:13 134:20	write 9:14
witness 8:24	100:6	143:16 148:11	writing 60:7,22
9:23 10:14	wondering	working 18:14	written 7:14,16
19:13 20:12	22:5 61:13	45:8,11 47:2	7:17,21 9:16
21:9,16,24	77:20	113:18 120:11	9:18 22:17
22:12,19 25:13	woods 144:22	121:6 124:7	26:19 39:23
25:17 27:21	word 17:3	137:19	75:13 88:16,18
31:4 34:10	worded 14:10	works 15:21	X
35:2 40:6,8	words 148:21	41:11 94:16	x 2:1
41:5 60:1,18	work 13:1	96:8 115:22	
61:4 66:13	14:22 18:8	125:5 128:24	y
82:6 86:5	19:23 20:8	workshops	y 50:7
152:2,12,13	23:19 24:4	125:13	yeah 10:9 37:2
156:11 165:14	39:14 41:7,11	world 141:22	37:9 41:8
166:22 168:19	43:19,20,22	145:13 148:9	year 12:4 16:7
witness's	44:4 45:17,18	154:19	16:16,19 23:16
153:12	89:3 93:21	worried 116:5	23:17,19 24:22
	95:23 96:2,5	141:15	44:22 48:17

[year - zoning] Page 75

		1
49:2 51:9 53:9	93:6 94:2 97:5	90:8,13 91:24
53:23 54:14,15	98:24 99:3	112:5 126:15
64:11 65:3,22	116:2 117:13	129:15,20
68:9,12,18	121:11 123:5,7	139:24 157:3,6
70:24 72:6,6,9	123:12 124:5	169:21 173:15
72:10,13,18,20	130:23 131:8	zeroing 129:19
72:24 73:1,5,5	131:12,16	zev 64:18 66:24
74:4,13,21	132:9 141:1	70:13,14,24
75:20 76:5,18	142:16,21	71:16,23 74:12
76:23 77:1,2	147:4 149:7	75:5,7,19 76:9
81:12 86:8,10	155:1 174:20	76:22 79:6,11
86:23 87:11	175:13	79:12 80:24
93:3 95:10	yesterday	81:5 84:4,12
96:19 97:1,9	148:1	84:19 85:12
97:23 102:18	yield 103:3	156:23 157:11
107:21 113:18	younger 92:2	157:14,24
117:14 121:9	99:10,11	158:4,17,22
123:13 130:3	123:10	159:1,3,7,13,18
130:17 132:3,5	youngest 143:2	160:7 161:20
149:21 150:11	youth 29:10	166:24 167:7
150:13 156:24	Z	167:23 170:1
161:20 162:1	z 127:14	zevs 74:21 76:5
162:12 165:6	zealand 140:8	158:8 159:19
167:2,6,9,13,15	zero 15:1,1	160:21 167:3,5
167:15,17,18	19:16 47:3,4	167:8
167:24 168:2	47:11,20 54:1	zip 28:18
169:18	58:9,17 63:24	zitlaloi 110:10
year's 145:15	64:17,20,24	112:24 113:1
145:15	65:15,17,24	zones 103:14
years 20:13	66:8 67:3,17	114:15
36:24 45:20	68:22 69:17	zoning 108:19
72:11 73:19	70:11 74:2	
75:5,23 76:17	83:11 87:10,16	
85:8,10 91:17		
		ral Calutions